

BB: (416) 671-2607

E-mail: Halyna.Perun2@ontario.ca

Notice

This communication may be solicitor/client privileged and contain confidential information intended only for the person(s) to whom it is addressed. Any dissemination or use of this information by others than the intended recipient(s) is prohibited. If you have received this message in error please notify the writer and permanently delete the message and all attachments. Thank you.

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Sent: November-08-11 5:19 PM
To: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY); Nutter, George (ENERGY); Sharkawi, Rula (ENERGY); Gerard, Paul (ENERGY)
Cc: Cooper, Linda (ENERGY); Smith, Mark (ENERGY)
Subject: Time-Sensitive: Comments on the Record

Importance: High

Hi – Legal has asked if we could check our records for any public correspondence re greenfield. I'm getting more details re time-frame, specifics, but am sharing now as this is likely an urgent request. See below.

From: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Sent: November 8, 2011 4:45 PM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Comments on the Record

I had asked one of our admins to do a correspondence check but was unable to find anything. Sylvia, can you check to see what comms has received?

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: November 8, 2011 4:03 PM
To: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Subject: Fw: Comments on the Record

Please see questions below - can you assist please?

Carolyn

From: Slater, Craig (JUS)
To: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Cc: Lung, Ken (JUS); Salim, Fateh (JUS); Marsello, Leonard (JUS); McKinlay, Tom (JUS); Compton, Shona (JUS); Scarfone, Janet (JUS); Wong, Taia (JUS)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 15:59:44 2011
Subject: Comments on the Record

We think that the record for review by Minister Bentley is a little thin.

It contains nothing from the public. I would be very surprised if there were no correspondence from citizens or ratepayer groups. I would think that your Communications Branch or the Communications Branch at the PO did not have such correspondence.

Was there any public consultation on the Gas Plant, by OPA or the Ministry? If the OPA did the public consultations, was this shared with the Ministry?

There is only one document between 2006 and 2011. Are there any others?

In the second paragraph of the record, there is a reference to "public commitment". Surely, you mean "public comment".

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Sent: November-08-11 5:35 PM
To: Poinen, Ruby (ENERGY)
Subject: Emailing: MC-2008-2437--Mayor McCallion re Eastern Power_Greenfield South-RJ edits.doc
Attachments: MC-2008-2437--Mayor McCallion re Eastern Power_Greenfield South-RJ edits.doc

Ruby can you track down this correspondence final version? It's to due with Eastern Power/Greenfield The message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

MC-2008-2437--Mayor McCallion re Eastern Power_Greenfield South-RJ edits.doc

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.

Her Worship Hazel McCallion
Mayor
City of Mississauga
300 City Centre Drive
Mississauga ON L5B 3C1

Dear Mayor McCallion:

Thank you for your letter regarding the status of power procurement activities in the southwestern Greater Toronto Area. I was pleased that the government was able to respect the community's wishes, as represented by Resolution 0048-2008 of the Council of the City of Mississauga, and rule out the Lakeview site for electricity generation.

I can appreciate residents' interest in suitable sites to meet the community's growing energy needs. The government is committed to protecting the health of Ontarians and improving air quality by replacing coal-fired generation facilities with cleaner sources of generation such as natural gas facilities.

Some residents may be **unaware** that, when compared to coal, natural gas is a cleaner energy option that can achieve:

- a 60% reduction in carbon dioxide, a climate change-causing greenhouse gas;
- a 100% reduction in mercury, a toxic heavy metal that exists as a trace element in certain fossil fuels, including coal;
- a 95% reduction of nitrogen oxides, which contribute to acid gas emissions;
- a 80-90% reduction of particulate matter; and
- close to a 100% reduction in sulphur dioxide, which contributes to acid gas emissions. **(Source Ministry of Environment Messaging)**

(Depending on when direction is issued, use one of the following paragraphs:)

I am enclosing a copy of my direction to the Ontario Power Authority (OPA) to launch a competitive bidding process for a combined-cycle natural gas plant of about 850 megawatts (MW) in the area. You will note that the **boundary for the purposes of the RFP regarding** the Southwest GTA is approximately defined by the areas of southern

Mississauga, southeast Oakville and southwest Toronto in the vicinity of the transmission corridor from the Oakville to Manby transformer stations in Etobicoke.

or

My direction to the Ontario Power Authority (OPA) to launch a competitive bidding process for a combined-cycle natural gas plant of about 850 megawatts (MW) in the area is imminent. At this time I can advise you that the boundary for the purposes of the RFP regarding the Southwest GTA is approximately defined by the areas of southern Mississauga, southeast Oakville and southwest Toronto in the vicinity of the transmission corridor from the Oakville to Manby transformer stations in Etobicoke. When directions are received by the OPA, they are available to the public on the OPA website at www.powerauthority.on.ca.

The 280 MW Greenfield South project has a contract with the OPA. As you note, the Minister of the Environment confirmed the Director of the Environmental Assessment and Approval Branch's decision not to require an individual Environmental Assessment for the project, as it was determined that the requirements of the *Environmental Assessment Act* have been met. The Ministry of the Environment will require Greenfield South to monitor emissions from the plant, and make available to the public an annual report on monitoring results, mitigation measures and impact management activities. The project proponents will also be required to establish a community advisory committee. In addition, the plant will need to meet additional environmental protection requirements in its Certificate of Approval, which will be subject to a thorough scientific review by ministry officials.

Please (note) or (be assured) that my direction to the OPA includes the expectation that local officials and residents will be provided with information regarding why a new gas-fired generation facility is required in the Southwest GTA.

Thank you again for writing. I look forward to ongoing dialogue as we move forward.

Sincerely,

George Smitherman
Deputy Premier, Minister

(Enclosure?)

c: Charles Sousa, MPP, Mississauga South
Dr. Jan Carr, Ontario Power Authority
Cc also the Mississauga councillors.

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: November-08-11 5:38 PM
To: King, Ryan (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: Comments on the Record

Ryan, are you aware of any public consultation? I think Greenfield held some meetings over the summer. Did the OPA or the Ministry in the context of the original RFP ever hold public consults?

From: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 17:36:09 2011
Subject: RE: Comments on the Record

I've tracked down in our files a draft letter to mayor maccallion regarding the plant. I've asked admin to find this final version

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: November 8, 2011 5:35 PM
To: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY); King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: Comments on the Record

Deadline is asap, I'm afraid (and with apologies). The request only pertains to Greenfield/the Mississauga plant.

Carolyn

From: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
To: King, Ryan (ENERGY); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 16:59:01 2011
Subject: RE: Comments on the Record

Will do – do you have a deadline? (assuming ASAP but a definite time/date always helpful).

Also, is the request below pertaining only to greenfield?

From: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Sent: November 8, 2011 4:45 PM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Comments on the Record

I had asked one our admins to do a correspondence check but was unable to find anything. Sylvia, can you check to see what comms has received?

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: November 8, 2011 4:03 PM
To: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Subject: Fw: Comments on the Record

Please see questions below - can you assist please?

Carolyn

From: Slater, Craig (JUS)

To: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)

Cc: Lung, Ken (JUS); Salim, Fateh (JUS); Marsello, Leonard (JUS); McKinlay, Tom (JUS); Compton, Shona (JUS); Scarfone, Janet (JUS); Wong, Taia (JUS)

Sent: Tue Nov 08 15:59:44 2011

Subject: Comments on the Record

We think that the record for review by Minister Bentley is a little thin.

It contains nothing from the public. I would be very surprised if there were no correspondence from citizens or ratepayer groups. I would think that your Communications Branch or the Communications Branch at the PO did not have such correspondence.

Was there any public consultation on the Gas Plant, by OPA or the Ministry? If the OPA did the public consultations, was this shared with the Ministry?

There is only one document between 2006 and 2011. Are there any others?

In the second paragraph of the record, there is a reference to "public commitment". Surely, you mean "public comment".

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Sent: November-08-11 5:49 PM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY); King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: Comments on the Record

We've got correspondence and about 100 emails. Will tomorrow around 10ish work?

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
To: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY); King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 17:35:11 2011
Subject: Re: Comments on the Record

Deadline is asap, I'm afraid (and with apologies). The request only pertains to Greenfield/the Mississauga plant.

Carolyn

From: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
To: King, Ryan (ENERGY); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 16:59:01 2011
Subject: RE: Comments on the Record

Will do – do you have a deadline? (assuming ASAP but a definite time/date always helpful).

Also, is the request below pertaining only to greenfield?

From: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Sent: November 8, 2011 4:45 PM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Comments on the Record

I had asked one of our admins to do a correspondence check but was unable to find anything. Sylvia, can you check to see what comms has received?

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: November 8, 2011 4:03 PM
To: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Subject: Fw: Comments on the Record

Please see questions below - can you assist please?

Carolyn

From: Slater, Craig (JUS)
To: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)

Cc: Lung, Ken (JUS); Salim, Fateh (JUS); Marsello, Leonard (JUS); McKinlay, Tom (JUS); Compton, Shona (JUS); Scarfone, Janet (JUS); Wong, Taia (JUS)

Sent: Tue Nov 08 15:59:44 2011

Subject: Comments on the Record

We think that the record for review by Minister Bentley is a little thin.

It contains nothing from the public. I would be very surprised if there were no correspondence from citizens or ratepayer groups. I would think that your Communications Branch or the Communications Branch at the PO did not have such correspondence.

Was there any public consultation on the Gas Plant, by OPA or the Ministry? If the OPA did the public consultations, was this shared with the Ministry?

There is only one document between 2006 and 2011. Are there any others?

In the second paragraph of the record, there is a reference to "public commitment". Surely, you mean "public comment".

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: November-08-11 6:37 PM
To: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY); King, Ryan (ENERGY); Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Cc: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Time-Sensitive: Comments on the Record

Thanks, Sylvia.

Doreen, I would be happy to explain the context to you by phone. I can advise that this is urgent.

We want everything from the public back to 2005.

Doreen, I apologize for the urgency of the request – please treat this with priority and call me if you have any questions.

Carolyn

Carolyn Calwell
Deputy Director
Ministry of Energy & Ministry of Infrastructure
Legal Services Branch
Ministry of the Attorney General
777 Bay Street, Suite 425
Toronto ON M5G 2E5
416.212.5409

From: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Sent: November 8, 2011 6:07 PM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY); King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Subject: Fw: Time-Sensitive: Comments on the Record

Hi - see below. Would you be able to provide more direction in terms of what is required?

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
To: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Cc: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 17:58:57 2011
Subject: RE: Time-Sensitive: Comments on the Record

What is the context for this? Are they looking for copies of everything we've received from the public on this issue? Going back how far? That could take some time.

Also, who are the copies going to be shared with?

Ken, is there any privacy issue with this?

I'm leaving now but please let me know the details so I can figure out how early I have to come in tomorrow!

Thanks,
Doreen

From: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Sent: November 8, 2011 5:49 PM
To: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Cc: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: Time-Sensitive: Comments on the Record

Copies.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
To: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Cc: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 17:48:20 2011
Subject: RE: Time-Sensitive: Comments on the Record

What do they need?

From: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Sent: November 8, 2011 5:48 PM
To: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Cc: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: Time-Sensitive: Comments on the Record

Hi doreen - legal needs this asap. Is tomorrow am around 10am doable?

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
To: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Cc: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 17:40:59 2011
Subject: RE: Time-Sensitive: Comments on the Record

Hi Sylvia,

I was just going out the door when Ken forwarded your email. Should I stick around? Is this something that's needed tonight?

I'm not clear on what is being asked for but we had public correspondence about the plant back around 2005/2006 but then it started up again this year when they started construction. We've received close to 100 emails (mostly) since then.

Can you let me know if anything more is needed from us tonight?

Thanks,
Doreen

From: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY)
Sent: November 8, 2011 5:32 PM
To: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: Time-Sensitive: Comments on the Record

Can u call sylvia?

From: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
To: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 17:28:18 2011
Subject: RE: Time-Sensitive: Comments on the Record

Yes. But I was just leaving. What is this about? Do I need to stay?

From: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY)
Sent: November 8, 2011 5:21 PM
To: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Subject: Fw: Time-Sensitive: Comments on the Record
Importance: High

U still there?

From: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
To: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY); Nutter, George (ENERGY); Sharkawi, Rula (ENERGY); Gerard, Paul (ENERGY)
Cc: Cooper, Linda (ENERGY); Smith, Mark (ENERGY)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 17:19:14 2011
Subject: Time-Sensitive: Comments on the Record

Hi – Legal has asked if we could check our records for any public correspondence re greenfield. I'm getting more details re time-frame, specifics, but am sharing now as this is likely an urgent request. See below.

From: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Sent: November 8, 2011 4:45 PM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Comments on the Record

I had asked one our admins to do a correspondence check but was unable to find anything. Sylvia, can you check to see what comms has received?

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: November 8, 2011 4:03 PM
To: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Subject: Fw: Comments on the Record

Please see questions below - can you assist please?

Carolyn

From: Slater, Craig (JUS)

To: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)

Cc: Lung, Ken (JUS); Salim, Fateh (JUS); Marsello, Leonard (JUS); McKinlay, Tom (JUS); Compton, Shona (JUS); Scarfone, Janet (JUS); Wong, Taia (JUS)

Sent: Tue Nov 08 15:59:44 2011

Subject: Comments on the Record

We think that the record for review by Minister Bentley is a little thin.

It contains nothing from the public. I would be very surprised if there were no correspondence from citizens or ratepayer groups. I would think that your Communications Branch or the Communications Branch at the PO did not have such correspondence.

Was there any public consultation on the Gas Plant, by OPA or the Ministry? If the OPA did the public consultations, was this shared with the Ministry?

There is only one document between 2006 and 2011. Are there any others?

In the second paragraph of the record, there is a reference to "public commitment". Surely, you mean "public comment".

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY)
Sent: November-08-11 7:11 PM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: Mississauga

Looking at this now - Mallihs's asking for the draft

Halyna

Halyna N. Perun
A/Director
Legal Services Branch
Ministries of Energy & Infrastructure
777 Bay Street, 4th Floor, Suite 425
Toronto, ON M5G 2E5
Ph: (416) 325-6681 / Fax: (416) 325-1781
BB: (416) 671-2607
E-mail: Halyna.Perun2@ontario.ca

Notice

This communication may be solicitor/client privileged and contain confidential information intended only for the person(s) to whom it is addressed. Any dissemination or use of this information by others than the intended recipient(s) is prohibited. If you have received this message in error please notify the writer and permanently delete the message and all attachments. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----

From: McKinlay, Tom (JUS)
Sent: November 8, 2011 7:07 PM
To: Wilson, Malliha (JUS)
Cc: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY); Slater, Craig (JUS); Scarfone, Janet (JUS); Marsello, Leonard (JUS); Compton, Shona (JUS)
Subject: RE: Mississauga

Malliha,

As requested, Halyna and I spoke earlier this evening about CLOC's suggestion that MEI provide some further explanation of the government's position in the response to the OPA's request for "support" for the termination of the ARCES Contract. I suggested that there would be some advantage to elaborating a little bit on the public policy rationale behind the Minister's decision to support the termination of the agreement. In essence, MEI would build on the statements already in the letter that "community opposition to the Greenfield South Generation Facility is ongoing and well documented" and that the government is responding to the "strong and persistent opposition to the plant". I suggested that they could provide more substantive illustrations of community opposition and offer a more detailed explanation of the nature of the community's objection to the location of this plant.

I suggested that these kinds of details would be useful in rebutting any inference that the Minister (or the government) has been motivated by any improper or unlawful purpose in this case. As we have discussed previously, this kind of evidence would be helpful if Greenfield sought judicial review of the Minister's decision to lend his support to the OPA in this case, or if Greenfield commenced a civil action against the Minister personally based on an allegation of

misfeasance in public office. Although the practical risk of either of these kinds of proceedings is difficult to quantify with certainty at this early stage, Halyna and I agreed that there was little or no legal risk associated with a fuller explanation of the Minister's reasons in this case.

Significantly, however, Halyna indicated that it might be difficult for MEI to develop a more detailed rationale than is already set out in the letter. As Craig noted in his e-mail earlier today, the "record" that MEI has pulled together is a bit thin at this point and does not include the kind of primary material that would be necessary to support a more detailed description of the community opposition to the Mississauga power plant. Halyna indicated that MEI is going to do its best to locate any additional supporting material, but she cautioned that her MO might not be able to locate the sort of material we were hoping for, particularly in light of the limited time that is available (e.g., public correspondence, stakeholder consultations, position papers, etc.). In this respect, Halyna noted that Minister Bentley wanted to be in a position to share the draft with his Cabinet colleagues in the very near future.

In light of these practical concerns, Halyna indicated that MEI would do its best to flesh out the reasons for the Minister's decision in this case. I noted that we would be happy to review any further drafts and to help out in whatever way we can.

Tom.

-----Original Message-----

From: Scarfone, Janet (JUS)

Sent: Tue 11/8/2011 5:34 PM

To: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY)

Cc: Wilson, Malliha (JUS); McKinlay, Tom (JUS); Slater, Craig (JUS)

Subject: Mississauga

I understand that you would like to speak to Tom. Could you give a phone number where you can be reached.

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY)
Sent: November-08-11 7:22 PM
To: McKinlay, Tom (JUS)
Cc: Slater, Craig (JUS); Scarfone, Janet (JUS); Marsello, Leonard (JUS); Compton, Shona (JUS); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY); Wilson, Malliha (JUS)
Subject: RE: Mississauga
Attachments: Energy Letter to the OPA 08 11 2011 next 7 pm.doc

Privileged and Confidential

Hi - Tom - for your review - As noted, Energy's records is not robust - we've asked clients to keep digging.

The edits reflect changes requested by the Energy Minister.

Halyna

Halyna N. Perun
A/Director
Legal Services Branch
Ministries of Energy & Infrastructure
777 Bay Street, 4th Floor, Suite 425
Toronto, ON M5G 2E5
Ph: (416) 325-6681 / Fax: (416) 325-1781
BB: (416) 671-2607
E-mail: Halyna.Perun2@ontario.ca

Notice

This communication may be solicitor/client privileged and contain confidential information intended only for the person(s) to whom it is addressed. Any dissemination or use of this information by others than the intended recipient(s) is prohibited. If you have received this message in error please notify the writer and permanently delete the message and all attachments. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----

From: Wilson, Malliha (JUS)
Sent: November 8, 2011 7:16 PM
To: McKinlay, Tom (JUS)
Cc: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY); Slater, Craig (JUS); Scarfone, Janet (JUS); Marsello, Leonard (JUS); Compton, Shona (JUS)
Subject: Re: Mississauga

Thx Tom. Waiting for copy of draft letter to review when u have it

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Device

----- Original Message -----

From: McKinlay, Tom (JUS)
To: Wilson, Malliha (JUS)

Cc: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY); Slater, Craig (JUS); Scarfone, Janet (JUS); Marsello, Leonard (JUS); Compton, Shona (JUS)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 19:06:48 2011
Subject: RE: Mississauga

Malliha,

As requested, Halyna and I spoke earlier this evening about CLOC's suggestion that MEI provide some further explanation of the government's position in the response to the OPA's request for "support" for the termination of the ARCES Contract. I suggested that there would be some advantage to elaborating a little bit on the public policy rationale behind the Minister's decision to support the termination of the agreement. In essence, MEI would build on the statements already in the letter that "community opposition to the Greenfield South Generation Facility is ongoing and well documented" and that the government is responding to the "strong and persistent opposition to the plant". I suggested that they could provide more substantive illustrations of community opposition and offer a more detailed explanation of the nature of the community's objection to the location of this plant.

I suggested that these kinds of details would be useful in rebutting any inference that the Minister (or the government) has been motivated by any improper or unlawful purpose in this case. As we have discussed previously, this kind of evidence would be helpful if Greenfield sought judicial review of the Minister's decision to lend his support to the OPA in this case, or if Greenfield commenced a civil action against the Minister personally based on an allegation of misfeasance in public office. Although the practical risk of either of these kinds of proceedings is difficult to quantify with certainty at this early stage, Halyna and I agreed that there was little or no legal risk associated with a fuller explanation of the Minister's reasons in this case.

Significantly, however, Halyna indicated that it might be difficult for MEI to develop a more detailed rationale than is already set out in the letter. As Craig noted in his e-mail earlier today, the "record" that MEI has pulled together is a bit thin at this point and does not include the kind of primary material that would be necessary to support a more detailed description of the community opposition to the Mississauga power plant. Halyna indicated that MEI is going to do its best to locate any additional supporting material, but she cautioned that her MO might not be able to locate the sort of material we were hoping for, particularly in light of the limited time that is available (e.g., public correspondence, stakeholder consultations, position papers, etc.). In this respect, Halyna noted that Minister Bentley wanted to be in a position to share the draft with his Cabinet colleagues in the very near future.

In light of these practical concerns, Halyna indicated that MEI would do its best to flesh out the reasons for the Minister's decision in this case. I noted that we would be happy to review any further drafts and to help out in whatever way we can.

Tom.

-----Original Message-----

From: Scarfone, Janet (JUS)
Sent: Tue 11/8/2011 5:34 PM
To: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY)
Cc: Wilson, Malliha (JUS); McKinlay, Tom (JUS); Slater, Craig (JUS)
Subject: Mississauga

I understand that you would like to speak to Tom. Could you give a phone number where you can be reached.

**CONFIDENTIAL & PRIVILEGED – IN CONTEMPLATION OF LITIGATION -- DRAFT
FOR DISCUSSION**

Dear Mr. Hinds:

In response to your letter of [date] and in recognition that community opposition to the Greenfield South Generation Facility is ongoing and well documented, I am writing to acknowledge that the OPA's efforts to negotiate with the developer of the facility, Greenfield South Power Corporation, with an aim to achieving a satisfactory resolution of the Mississauga site. The government remains committed to having the plant relocated.

While full recognition must be given to rate-payer value and the fair treatment of contractual counterparties, as suggested in your letter, in light of the strong and persistent opposition to the plant, the government supports the OPA's decision to terminate the contract and any other appropriate commercial and other steps that the OPA must take in seeking to stop construction of the gas plant at its current location.

The government is responding to unique circumstances in Mississauga. The government remains committed to a strong, stable supply of electricity for Ontario and continued support of those making investments in Ontario's electricity system.

Sincerely,

Chris Bentley,
Minister

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Wilson, Malliha (JUS)
Sent: November-08-11 7:28 PM
To: McKinlay, Tom (JUS)
Cc: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY); Slater, Craig (JUS); Scarfone, Janet (JUS); Marsello, Leonard (JUS); Compton, Shona (JUS)
Subject: Re: Mississauga

Also, can u help mei lsb re cloaking with privilege. We can have priv re litigation and what they need is priv to cover communication btw mo staff to co and po.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Device

----- Original Message -----

From: McKinlay, Tom (JUS)
To: Wilson, Malliha (JUS)
Cc: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY); Slater, Craig (JUS); Scarfone, Janet (JUS); Marsello, Leonard (JUS); Compton, Shona (JUS)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 19:06:48 2011
Subject: RE: Mississauga

Malliha,

As requested, Halyna and I spoke earlier this evening about CLOC's suggestion that MEI provide some further explanation of the government's position in the response to the OPA's request for "support" for the termination of the ARCES Contract. I suggested that there would be some advantage to elaborating a little bit on the public policy rationale behind the Minister's decision to support the termination of the agreement. In essence, MEI would build on the statements already in the letter that "community opposition to the Greenfield South Generation Facility is ongoing and well documented" and that the government is responding to the "strong and persistent opposition to the plant". I suggested that they could provide more substantive illustrations of community opposition and offer a more detailed explanation of the nature of the community's objection to the location of this plant.

I suggested that these kinds of details would be useful in rebutting any inference that the Minister (or the government) has been motivated by any improper or unlawful purpose in this case. As we have discussed previously, this kind of evidence would be helpful if Greenfield sought judicial review of the Minister's decision to lend his support to the OPA in this case, or if Greenfield commenced a civil action against the Minister personally based on an allegation of misfeasance in public office. Although the practical risk of either of these kinds of proceedings is difficult to quantify with certainty at this early stage, Halyna and I agreed that there was little or no legal risk associated with a fuller explanation of the Minister's reasons in this case.

Significantly, however, Halyna indicated that it might be difficult for MEI to develop a more detailed rationale than is already set out in the letter. As Craig noted in his e-mail earlier today, the "record" that MEI has pulled together is a bit thin at this point and does not include the kind of primary material that would be necessary to support a more detailed description of the community opposition to the Mississauga power plant. Halyna indicated that MEI is going to do its best to locate any additional supporting material, but she cautioned that her MO might not be able to locate the sort of material we were hoping for, particularly in light of the limited time that is available (e.g., public correspondence, stakeholder consultations, position papers, etc.). In this respect, Halyna noted that Minister Bentley wanted to be in a position to share the draft with his Cabinet colleagues in the very near future.

In light of these practical concerns, Halyna indicated that MEI would do its best to flesh out the reasons for the Minister's decision in this case. I noted that we would be happy to review any further drafts and to help out in whatever way we can.

Tom.

-----Original Message-----

From: Scarfone, Janet (JUS)

Sent: Tue 11/8/2011 5:34 PM

To: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY)

Cc: Wilson, Malliha (JUS); McKinlay, Tom (JUS); Slater, Craig (JUS)

Subject: Mississauga

I understand that you would like to speak to Tom. Could you give a phone number where you can be reached.

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Wilson, Malliha (JUS)
Sent: November-08-11 7:29 PM
To: Slater, Craig (JUS); Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY); McKinlay, Tom (JUS)
Cc: Scarfone, Janet (JUS); Marsello, Leonard (JUS); Compton, Shona (JUS); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: Mississauga

Will wait for cloc comments and then revised letter can be reviewed by me and dag

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Device

----- Original Message -----

From: Slater, Craig (JUS)
To: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY); McKinlay, Tom (JUS)
Cc: Scarfone, Janet (JUS); Marsello, Leonard (JUS); Compton, Shona (JUS); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY); Wilson, Malliha (JUS)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 19:25:48 2011
Subject: Re: Mississauga

I agree with Tom that more reference to the record would be recommended. However, we will look at this and provide comments.

Sent using BlackBerry

----- Original Message -----

From: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY)
To: McKinlay, Tom (JUS)
Cc: Slater, Craig (JUS); Scarfone, Janet (JUS); Marsello, Leonard (JUS); Compton, Shona (JUS); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY); Wilson, Malliha (JUS)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 19:22:07 2011
Subject: RE: Mississauga

Privileged and Confidential

Hi - Tom - for your review - As noted, Energy's records is not robust - we've asked clients to keep digging.

The edits reflect changes requested by the Energy Minister.

Halyna

Halyna N. Perun
A/Director
Legal Services Branch
Ministries of Energy & Infrastructure
777 Bay Street, 4th Floor, Suite 425
Toronto, ON M5G 2E5

Ph: (416) 325-6681 / Fax: (416) 325-1781

BB: (416) 671-2607

E-mail: Halyna.Perun2@ontario.ca

Notice

This communication may be solicitor/client privileged and contain confidential information intended only for the person(s) to whom it is addressed. Any dissemination or use of this information by others than the intended recipient(s) is prohibited. If you have received this message in error please notify the writer and permanently delete the message and all attachments. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----

From: Wilson, Malliha (JUS)

Sent: November 8, 2011 7:16 PM

To: McKinlay, Tom (JUS)

Cc: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY); Slater, Craig (JUS); Scarfone, Janet (JUS); Marsello, Leonard (JUS); Compton, Shona (JUS)

Subject: Re: Mississauga

Thx Tom. Waiting for copy of draft letter to review when u have it

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Device

----- Original Message -----

From: McKinlay, Tom (JUS)

To: Wilson, Malliha (JUS)

Cc: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY); Slater, Craig (JUS); Scarfone, Janet (JUS); Marsello, Leonard (JUS); Compton, Shona (JUS)

Sent: Tue Nov 08 19:06:48 2011

Subject: RE: Mississauga

Malliha,

As requested, Halyna and I spoke earlier this evening about CLOC's suggestion that MEI provide some further explanation of the government's position in the response to the OPA's request for "support" for the termination of the ARCES Contract. I suggested that there would be some advantage to elaborating a little bit on the public policy rationale behind the Minister's decision to support the termination of the agreement. In essence, MEI would build on the statements already in the letter that "community opposition to the Greenfield South Generation Facility is ongoing and well documented" and that the government is responding to the "strong and persistent opposition to the plant". I suggested that they could provide more substantive illustrations of community opposition and offer a more detailed explanation of the nature of the community's objection to the location of this plant.

I suggested that these kinds of details would be useful in rebutting any inference that the Minister (or the government) has been motivated by any improper or unlawful purpose in this case. As we have discussed previously, this kind of evidence would be helpful if Greenfield sought judicial review of the Minister's decision to lend his support to the OPA in this case, or if Greenfield commenced a civil action against the Minister personally based on an allegation of misfeasance in public office. Although the practical risk of either of these kinds of proceedings is difficult to quantify with certainty at this early stage, Halyna and I agreed that there was little or no legal risk associated with a fuller explanation of the Minister's reasons in this case.

Significantly, however, Halyna indicated that it might be difficult for MEI to develop a more detailed rationale than is already set out in the letter. As Craig noted in his e-mail earlier today, the “record” that MEI has pulled together is a bit thin at this point and does not include the kind of primary material that would be necessary to support a more detailed description of the community opposition to the Mississauga power plant. Halyna indicated that MEI is going to do its best to locate any additional supporting material, but she cautioned that her MO might not be able to locate the sort of material we were hoping for, particularly in light of the limited time that is available (e.g., public correspondence, stakeholder consultations, position papers, etc.). In this respect, Halyna noted that Minister Bentley wanted to be in a position to share the draft with his Cabinet colleagues in the very near future.

In light of these practical concerns, Halyna indicated that MEI would do its best to flesh out the reasons for the Minister’s decision in this case. I noted that we would be happy to review any further drafts and to help out in whatever way we can.

Tom.

-----Original Message-----

From: Scarfone, Janet (JUS)

Sent: Tue 11/8/2011 5:34 PM

To: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY)

Cc: Wilson, Malliha (JUS); McKinlay, Tom (JUS); Slater, Craig (JUS)

Subject: Mississauga

I understand that you would like to speak to Tom. Could you give a phone number where you can be reached.

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY)
Sent: November-08-11 9:05 PM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Subject: Fw: Mississauga

Fyi

Halyna Perun
A\Director
Ph: 416 325 6681
BB: 416 671 2607

Sent using BlackBerry

----- Original Message -----

From: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY)
To: Slater, Craig (JUS)
Cc: Scarfone, Janet (JUS)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 21:02:44 2011
Subject: Re: Mississauga

Ok good to know! I will wait to receive whatever is approved then

Halyna Perun
A\Director
Ph: 416 325 6681
BB: 416 671 2607

Sent using BlackBerry

----- Original Message -----

From: Slater, Craig (JUS)
To: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY)
Cc: Scarfone, Janet (JUS)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 20:59:53 2011
Subject: Re: Mississauga

We have responded.

Sent using BlackBerry

----- Original Message -----

From: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY)
To: Slater, Craig (JUS)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 20:48:55 2011

Subject: Fw: Mississauga

Hi Craig - the draft is with your shop now - will you be responding to Malliha? Please let me know as I won't weigh in til I hear from you - thank you!

Halyna Perun
A\Director
Ph: 416 325 6681
BB: 416 671 2607

Sent using BlackBerry

----- Original Message -----

From: Wilson, Malliha (JUS)
To: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY); McKinlay, Tom (JUS)
Cc: Slater, Craig (JUS); Scarfone, Janet (JUS); Marsello, Leonard (JUS); Compton, Shona (JUS); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 20:43:01 2011
Subject: Re: Mississauga

Will need in an hour

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Device

----- Original Message -----

From: Wilson, Malliha (JUS)
To: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY); McKinlay, Tom (JUS)
Cc: Slater, Craig (JUS); Scarfone, Janet (JUS); Marsello, Leonard (JUS); Compton, Shona (JUS); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 20:42:08 2011
Subject: Re: Mississauga

How are we doing with the letter

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Device

----- Original Message -----

From: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY)
To: McKinlay, Tom (JUS)
Cc: Slater, Craig (JUS); Scarfone, Janet (JUS); Marsello, Leonard (JUS); Compton, Shona (JUS); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY); Wilson, Malliha (JUS)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 19:22:07 2011
Subject: RE: Mississauga

Privileged and Confidential

Hi - Tom - for your review - As noted, Energy's records is not robust - we've asked clients to keep digging.

The edits reflect changes requested by the Energy Minister.

Halyna

Halyna N. Perun
A/Director
Legal Services Branch
Ministries of Energy & Infrastructure
777 Bay Street, 4th Floor, Suite 425
Toronto, ON M5G 2E5
Ph: (416) 325-6681 / Fax: (416) 325-1781
BB: (416) 671-2607
E-mail: Halyna.Perun2@ontario.ca

Notice

This communication may be solicitor/client privileged and contain confidential information intended only for the person(s) to whom it is addressed. Any dissemination or use of this information by others than the intended recipient(s) is prohibited. If you have received this message in error please notify the writer and permanently delete the message and all attachments. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----

From: Wilson, Malliha (JUS)
Sent: November 8, 2011 7:16 PM
To: McKinlay, Tom (JUS)
Cc: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY); Slater, Craig (JUS); Scarfone, Janet (JUS); Marsello, Leonard (JUS); Compton, Shona (JUS)
Subject: Re: Mississauga

Thx Tom. Waiting for copy of draft letter to review when u have it

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Device

----- Original Message -----

From: McKinlay, Tom (JUS)
To: Wilson, Malliha (JUS)
Cc: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY); Slater, Craig (JUS); Scarfone, Janet (JUS); Marsello, Leonard (JUS); Compton, Shona (JUS)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 19:06:48 2011
Subject: RE: Mississauga

Malliha,

As requested, Halyna and I spoke earlier this evening about CLOC's suggestion that MEI provide some further explanation of the government's position in the response to the OPA's request for "support" for the termination of the ARCES Contract. I suggested that there would be some advantage to elaborating a little bit on the public policy rationale behind the Minister's decision to support the termination of the agreement. In essence, MEI would build on the statements already in the letter that "community opposition to the Greenfield South Generation Facility is ongoing and well documented" and that the government is responding to the "strong and persistent opposition to the plant". I suggested that they could provide more substantive illustrations of community opposition and offer a more detailed explanation of the nature of the community's objection to the location of this plant.

I suggested that these kinds of details would be useful in rebutting any inference that the Minister (or the government) has been motivated by any improper or unlawful purpose in this case. As we have discussed previously, this kind of evidence would be helpful if Greenfield sought judicial review of the Minister's decision to lend his support to the OPA in this case, or if Greenfield commenced a civil action against the Minister personally based on an allegation of

misfeasance in public office. Although the practical risk of either of these kinds of proceedings is difficult to quantify with certainty at this early stage, Halyna and I agreed that there was little or no legal risk associated with a fuller explanation of the Minister's reasons in this case.

Significantly, however, Halyna indicated that it might be difficult for MEI to develop a more detailed rationale than is already set out in the letter. As Craig noted in his e-mail earlier today, the "record" that MEI has pulled together is a bit thin at this point and does not include the kind of primary material that would be necessary to support a more detailed description of the community opposition to the Mississauga power plant. Halyna indicated that MEI is going to do its best to locate any additional supporting material, but she cautioned that her MO might not be able to locate the sort of material we were hoping for, particularly in light of the limited time that is available (e.g., public correspondence, stakeholder consultations, position papers, etc.). In this respect, Halyna noted that Minister Bentley wanted to be in a position to share the draft with his Cabinet colleagues in the very near future.

In light of these practical concerns, Halyna indicated that MEI would do its best to flesh out the reasons for the Minister's decision in this case. I noted that we would be happy to review any further drafts and to help out in whatever way we can.

Tom.

-----Original Message-----

From: Scarfone, Janet (JUS)

Sent: Tue 11/8/2011 5:34 PM

To: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY)

Cc: Wilson, Malliha (JUS); McKinlay, Tom (JUS); Slater, Craig (JUS)

Subject: Mississauga

I understand that you would like to speak to Tom. Could you give a phone number where you can be reached.

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Wilson, Malliha (JUS)
Sent: November-09-11 8:16 AM
To: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: Mississauga Power letters

From: Wilson, Malliha (JUS)
Sent: November 9, 2011 12:01 AM
To: 'Halyna.Perun@ontario.ca'; Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Cc: Wong, Taia (JUS)
Subject: Fw: Mississauga Power letters

Fyi. Have told them am sending to u as well. Also when u forward this to minister in your cover email make sure you mention that he reminds people when he discusses this with them that he has to review the record before he can sign the letter

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Device

From: Wilson, Malliha (JUS)
To: Brown, Meredith (JUS); Di Ciano, Sandra (JUS)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 23:54:14 2011
Subject: Re: Mississauga Power letters

Thx meredith. Dag and I think opa letter ok. Minister letter has to be worked on. "Hopeful" has to be replaced with stronger word - per the Premiers lang - "our intention" "our desire" etc. Also , minister letter shld have some phrase like - "after careful consideration and weighing of all of the facts of ythis matter" etc. Will u send back to Energy? Thx

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Device

From: Brown, Meredith (JUS)
To: Di Ciano, Sandra (JUS); Wilson, Malliha (JUS)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 22:53:10 2011
Subject: Fw: Mississauga Power letters

We will need to get the revised letters over to energy quickly but should pass by the dag first to make sure they accord with his understanding.

Malliha - hope the evening was a success!

Sent using my BlackBerry device.

From: Silva, Joseph (ENERGY)
To: Hume, Steen (CAB)
Cc: Brown, Meredith (JUS)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 20:44:17 2011
Subject: Fw: Mississauga Power letters

Hello steen

Hope you're having a good evening.

Wanted to give you a quick update/heads up...

This evening, Energy and MAG staff briefed Minister Bentley on Greenfield. The focus of the conversation were the attached draft letters (OPA to Minister, and the proposed response). Our Minister asked for changes (I wasn't in the room), and MAG/Energy Legal was going to apply the changes. The letters will then be shared back with our Minister for sharing upwards.

I'll keep you posted as I hear and see more.

Joseph

Sent using BlackBerry Wireless

From: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY)
To: Jennings, Rick (ENERGY); Silva, Joseph (ENERGY)
Cc: King, Ryan (ENERGY); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 14:44:54 2011
Subject: Mississauga Power letters

Privileged and Confidential

We've heard back from OPA's counsel – please see attached proposed changes. These revisions have also now been sent to the MAG for review.

Halyna

Halyna N. Perun
A/Director
Legal Services Branch
Ministries of Energy & Infrastructure
777 Bay Street, 4th Floor, Suite 425
Toronto, ON M5G 2E5
Ph: (416) 325-6681 / Fax: (416) 325-1781
BB: (416) 671-2607
E-mail: Halyna.Perun2@ontario.ca

Notice

This communication may be solicitor/client privileged and contain confidential information intended only for the person(s) to whom it is addressed. Any dissemination or use of this information by others than the intended recipient(s) is prohibited. If you have received this message in error please notify the writer and permanently delete the message and all attachments. Thank you.

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Sent: November-09-11 8:46 AM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Comments on the Record
Attachments: Greenfield South Power Quarterly Report Sep-05.pdf; Greenfield South - Completion of Screening Report and Public Review.pdf; Greenfield South - Tracking - December 22.doc

None that I am aware of though I will check with OPA. I am attaching what was a tracking document. I recall hearing about some town or meeting they were having over the summer.

We would not normally be participating in any public consultation as they are a private company. It would be down to them to hold the meetings, get the guy-in etc. Should also emphasize, that this plant met all of its municipal approvals.

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: November 8, 2011 5:38 PM
To: King, Ryan (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: Comments on the Record

Ryan, are you aware of any public consultation? I think Greenfield held some meetings over the summer. Did the OPA or the Ministry in the context of the original RFP ever hold public consults?

From: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 17:36:09 2011
Subject: RE: Comments on the Record

I've tracked down in our files a draft letter to mayor maccallion regarding the plant. I've asked admin to find this final version

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: November 8, 2011 5:35 PM
To: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY); King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: Comments on the Record

Deadline is asap, I'm afraid (and with apologies). The request only pertains to Greenfield/the Mississauga plant.

Carolyn

From: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
To: King, Ryan (ENERGY); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 16:59:01 2011
Subject: RE: Comments on the Record

Will do – do you have a deadline? (assuming ASAP but a definite time/date always helpful).

Also, is the request below pertaining only to greenfield?

From: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Sent: November 8, 2011 4:45 PM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Comments on the Record

I had asked one our admins to do a correspondence check but was unable to find anything. Sylvia, can you check to see what comms has received?

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: November 8, 2011 4:03 PM
To: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Subject: Fw: Comments on the Record

Please see questions below - can you assist please?

Carolyn

From: Slater, Craig (JUS)
To: Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Cc: Lung, Ken (JUS); Salim, Fateh (JUS); Marsello, Leonard (JUS); McKinlay, Tom (JUS); Compton, Shona (JUS); Scarfone, Janet (JUS); Wong, Taia (JUS)
Sent: Tue Nov 08 15:59:44 2011
Subject: Comments on the Record

We think that the record for review by Minister Bentley is a little thin.

It contains nothing from the public. I would be very surprised if there were no correspondence from citizens or ratepayer groups. I would think that your Communications Branch or the Communications Branch at the PO did not have such correspondence.

Was there any public consultation on the Gas Plant, by OPA or the Ministry? If the OPA did the public consultations, was this shared with the Ministry?

There is only one document between 2006 and 2011. Are there any others?

In the second paragraph of the record, there is a reference to "public commitment". Surely, you mean "public comment".

GREENFIELD SOUTH POWER CORPORATION

2275 LAKE SHORE BLVD. WEST, SUITE 401
TORONTO, ONTARIO M8V 3Y3

TEL (416) 234-1301
FAX (416) 234-8336

October 17, 2005

Mr. Guy Raffaele
Director, Generation Development
Ontario Power Authority
175 Bloor Street East
North Tower, Suite 606
Toronto, ON M4W 3R8

Tel (416) 969-6044
Fax (416) 967-1947

Email: guy.raffaele@powerauthority.on.ca

Dear Mr. Raffaele:


Re: Quarterly Report for Greenfield South Project, September 2005

In your capacity as OPA contract manager, I am pleased to provide you the following milestone chart incorporating a statement on status as of September 2005 that will meet your requirement for quarterly reports for the Greenfield South Project.

Please confirm receipt of this report and that it is correctly addressed.

Yours sincerely:

GREENFIELD SOUTH POWER CORPORATION



Matt Larmour, P.Eng.
Project Manager


Encl:

GREENFIELD SOUTH PROJECT
MILESTONE EVENTS AND MILESTONE

	MILESTONE EVENT	MILESTONE DATE (dd/mm/yyyy)	STATUS
1	Obtaining Project and Site Approvals and Permitting	17/07/2007 + FM*	The Environmental Screening and Review Report has been submitted to the Ministry of the Environment (MOE) on August 19, 2005 and the 30 day public comment period ended on September 19, 2005. There have been 9 requests to the MOE to elevate the process to a full EA which will require an additional unpredictable schedule extension.
2	Completion of connection assessments including receipt of approvals from the IESO, the Transmitter, the LDC, and the End-User, as applicable	17/07/2007 + FM	A meeting has been held with IESO and Hydro One to identify their information requirements for carrying out the connection assessments
3	Engineering, equipment procurement, and construction contract(s) executed, which shall occur no later than the later of: (i) 2½ years before the milestone date for Commercial Operation, and (ii) six (6) months after signing the CES Contract.	10/10/2005 + FM	Greenfield South Power Corporation will be acting as general contractor with support from Eastern Power Limited as per the proposal.
4	Financial closing, which shall occur no later than the later of: (i) 2½ years before the milestone date for Commercial Operation, and (ii) twelve (12) months after signing the CES Contract	12/04/2006 + FM	The required due diligence is underway.
5	Equipment Order	18/05/2007 + FM	Engineering staff are currently investigating key equipment on the secondary market from several parties.
6	Equipment Delivered	16/08/2007 + FM	
7	Commencement of Construction	18/05/2007 + FM	
8	Completion of Construction	12/02/2008 + FM	
9	Connection of the Contract Facility to the Transmission System, Local Distribution System, or End-User	12/02/2008 + FM	
10	Commercial Operation	12/02/2008 + FM	

* Force Majeure (FM): As we have informed OPA by letter, we are experiencing Force Majeure delays which are arising during the EA process due to requests to the MOE for elevation to full EA. The full extent of the delays are not yet know.

**NOTICE OF COMPLETION OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING AND REVIEW
REPORT AND PUBLIC REVIEW**



Greenfield South Power Corporation, an affiliate of Eastern Power Limited, is proposing to construct and operate a natural gas fueled electricity generating facility located east of Loreland Ave., immediately north of the Canadian Pacific Railway in the City of Mississauga. The main components of the facility will be gas turbines, steam turbines, heat recovery boilers, cooling towers and electrical substation. The facility will be operated by qualified stationary engineers and will meet all applicable regulations, by-laws and legislation. This power project is being developed in response to the Government of Ontario's

request for 2500 MW of new clean energy generation and demand side projects, as part of its plan to provide for adequate electricity supply while phasing out all coal-fired electricity generation in Ontario.

This project is being reviewed under the Ontario Ministry of the Environment's Environmental Screening Process for electricity projects, including an environmental review stage in which the air and noise impacts were studied in further detail.

The environmental screening and environmental review has found that with the mitigation measures detailed in the Environmental Screening and Review Report, the project will not have Net Effects and the proponent intends to proceed with the project subject to mitigation and other approvals. The Environmental Screening and Review Report may be reviewed at the following locations:

Burnhamthorpe Branch Library
1350 Burnhamthorpe Rd. E.
Mississauga, ON L4Y 3V9
Tel: 905-615-4635

Alderwood Branch Library
2 Orianna Drive
Toronto, ON M8W 4Y1
Tel: 416-394-5310

If there remain environmental concerns regarding the Greenfield South Power Project, please raise them with the proponent in writing, by mail, e-mail or fax as follows:

Hubert S. Vogt, Vice President
Eastern Power Limited
2275 Lake Shore Boulevard West, Suite 401
Toronto, ON M8V 3Y3
E-mail: estrn timer@interlog.com
Fax: 416-234-8336

If the matter is not reasonably resolved, a written request may be made to the Director of the Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch, Ontario Ministry of the Environment (at the address below) to elevate the project to an individual environmental assessment. An elevation request must be made in accordance with the provisions set out in the Ministry of the Environment's Environmental Screening Process for Electricity Projects. A copy of the elevation request must also be sent to the proponent. The last day that comments and elevation requests will be received is September 19, 2005.

James O'Mara, Director
Environmental Assessment & Approvals Branch
Ontario Ministry of the Environment
2 St. Clair Avenue West, Floor 12A
Toronto, ON M4V 1L5

Report Date: December 22, 2005

2,500 MW RFP Winner:
Greenfield South Power Project

Schedule:

Approvals	Connection Assessment	EPC Contract	Financial Closing	Equipment Order
17-Jul-07	17-Jul-07	10-Oct-2005	12-Apr-06	18-May-07

Equipment Delivered	Commencement of Construction	Construction Completion	Connection	Commercial operation
16-Aug-07	18-May-07	12-Feb-08	12-Feb-08	12-Feb-08

* Milestone dates subject to liquidate damages.

Current Status:

Site Control:

- City, Ministry of Energy and Eastern Power officials met to discuss City's concerns with the South site.
- City of Mississauga officials have indicated a preference for the site attached to the terminated North Contract.
- The company is reluctant to agree to a site switch for several reasons, including lack of certainty over zoning for the North site (the South site has appropriate zoning) and economic disadvantages with the North site.
- OPA has sent a letter to Mississauga and Eastern Power stating that it would not object to substituting the North site if requested to do so by the proponent.
- Company needs to have its site plan approved by the city. In light of the City's concerns, this will likely be a source of contention.
- Significant resident concerns; protest rally held on June 20 with Mayor McCallion

EA:

- City and seven other parties (including medical officers of health for Toronto and Peel) requested the Ministry of Environment to elevate the project to a full EA.
- Ministry of Environment also had a number of questions regarding the Company's environmental review document, which they forwarded to Eastern Power.
- Eastern responded to the issues raised in the elevation requests and MOE's technical questions. MOE then reviewed these responses. On January 19, 2006, the Director rejected requests to require a full environmental assessment of the project.

Other:

- No gas deal in place
- No deal for turbines in place
- Very vague on financing; no evidence of an equity partner, which will be required to obtain necessary debt financing.

Project Info

Proponent: Greenfield South Power Project (Eastern Power)

Location: Mississauga (see map below)

Prepared by: Perry Cecchini
December 22, 2005
Approved by: Rick Jennings
December 22, 2005

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Poinen, Ruby (ENERGY)
Sent: November-09-11 9:18 AM
To: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Emailing: MC-2008-2437--Mayor McCallion re Eastern Power_Greenfield South-RJ edits.doc
Attachments: MAYOR MCCALLION RE EASTERN POWER.pdf

Good morning Ryan,

Pls see attached the final version.

Thanks
R

-----Original Message-----

From: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Sent: November 8, 2011 5:35 PM
To: Poinen, Ruby (ENERGY)
Subject: Emailing: MC-2008-2437--Mayor McCallion re Eastern Power_Greenfield South-RJ edits.doc

Ruby can you track down this correspondence final version? It's to due with Eastern Power/Greenfield The message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

MC-2008-2437--Mayor McCallion re Eastern Power_Greenfield South-RJ edits.doc

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.

Minister of Energy
and Infrastructure

Office of the Deputy Premier

4th Floor, Hearst Block
900 Bay Street
Toronto ON M7A 2E1
Tel.: 416-325-2201
Fax: 416-327-6754
www.energy.gov.on.ca

Ministre de l'Énergie
et de l'infrastructure

Bureau du vice-premier ministre

4^e étage, édifice Hearst
900, rue Bay
Toronto (Ontario) M7A 2E1
Tél. : 416 325-2201
Téléc. : 416 327-6754
www.energy.gov.on.ca



AUG 20 2008

Her Worship Hazel McCallion
Mayor
City of Mississauga
300 City Centre Drive
Mississauga ON L5B 3C1

Dear Mayor McCallion: *Hazel*

Thank you for your letter regarding the status of power procurement activities in the southwest Greater Toronto Area (GTA). I was pleased that you were able to join me a few weeks ago when the government announced that the Lakeview site will not be used for future power generation, and that a competitive process will be launched to meet the electricity needs of the southwest GTA.

I can appreciate residents' interest in ensuring suitable sites to meet the community's growing energy needs. The government is committed to protecting the health of Ontarians and improving air quality by replacing coal-fired generation facilities with cleaner sources of generation such as natural gas facilities.

Some residents may be unaware that, when compared to coal, natural gas is a cleaner energy option that can achieve:

- a 60% reduction in carbon dioxide, a climate change-causing greenhouse gas;
- a 100% reduction in mercury, a toxic heavy metal that exists as a trace element in certain fossil fuels, including coal;
- a 95% reduction of nitrogen oxides, which contribute to acid gas emissions;
- a 80-90% reduction of particulate matter; and
- close to a 100% reduction in sulphur dioxide, which contributes to acid gas emissions.

I am enclosing a copy of my direction to the Ontario Power Authority (OPA) to launch a competitive bidding process for a combined-cycle natural gas plant of about 850 megawatts (MW) in the area. You will note that the boundary for the purposes of the request for proposals regarding the southwest GTA is approximately defined by the areas of south Mississauga, southeast Oakville and southwest Toronto in the vicinity of the transmission corridor from the Oakville transformer station to the transformer station in Etobicoke known as Manby.

.../cont'd

The 280 MW Greenfield South project has a contract with the OPA. As you note, the Minister of the Environment confirmed the decision of the Director of the Environmental Assessment and Approval Branch not to require an individual Environmental Assessment for the project, as it was determined that the requirements of the *Environmental Assessment Act* have been met. The Ministry of the Environment will require Greenfield South to monitor emissions from the plant, and make available to the public an annual report on monitoring results, mitigation measures and impact management activities. The project proponents will also be required to establish a community advisory committee. In addition, the plant will need to meet additional environmental protection requirements in its Certificate of Approval, which will be subject to a thorough scientific review by ministry officials.

Please note that my direction to the OPA includes the expectation that a transparent public forum will be arranged to provide information to local officials and residents regarding the need for a new gas-fired generation facility in the southwest GTA.

Thank you again for writing. I look forward to ongoing dialogue as we move forward.

Sincerely,



George Smitherman
Deputy Premier, Minister

Enclosure

c: Charles Sousa, MPP, Mississauga South
Dr. Jan Carr, Ontario Power Authority

Minister of Energy
and Infrastructure

Office of the Deputy Premier

4th Floor, Hearst Block
900 Bay Street
Toronto ON M7A 2E1
Tel.: 416-325-2201
Fax: 416-327-6754
www.energy.gov.on.ca

Ministre de l'Énergie
et de l'Infrastructure

Bureau du vice-premier ministre

4^e étage, édifice Hearst
900, rue Bay
Toronto (Ontario) M7A 2E1
Tél. : 416 325-2201
Téléc. : 416 327-6754
www.energy.gov.on.ca



AUG 18 2008

Dr. Jan Carr
Chief Executive Officer
Ontario Power Authority
1600-120 Adelaide Street West
Toronto ON M5H 1T1

Dear Dr. Carr:

Re: Southwest Greater Toronto Area (GTA) Supply

I write pursuant to my authority as the Minister of Energy and Infrastructure in order to exercise the statutory power of ministerial direction that I have in respect of the Ontario Power Authority (the "OPA") under section 25.32 of the *Electricity Act, 1998*.

Background

As noted in the proposed Integrated Power System Plan (IPSP), submitted to the Ontario Energy Board (the "OEB") by the Ontario Power Authority (the "OPA") in August 2007, there are challenges facing the ability of the current electricity system to support coal-fired generation replacement, address system supply adequacy and meet increasing demands in the GTA.

The GTA has experienced robust growth in the past few years, particularly in the west and north regions. In addition, generation in the GTA continues to be significantly less than the GTA load, which has placed a heavy reliance on the transmission system. The ability of existing infrastructure to service this area is expected to fall short by 2015 or sooner.

To respond to these pressures, the IPSP planned aggressive conservation savings for this area. The IPSP calls for some 500 MW of conservation to be in place in the West GTA region by 2014, which is part of a broader 1,100 MW amount that is planned for the GTA.

In addition to these conservation initiatives, the OPA has also advised the Ministry of Energy and Infrastructure that new electricity generation in the southwest Greater Toronto Area ("Southwest GTA") is needed not only to support coal-fired generation replacement by 2014 and meet system supply adequacy, but also to address reliability

.../cont'd

issues in the area, including local supply and voltage support. Generation in this area will also defer transmission needs in the western GTA. The electrical boundary of the Southwest GTA is approximately defined by the areas of southern Mississauga, southeast Oakville and southwest Toronto in the vicinity of the transmission corridor from the Oakville to Manby transformer stations.

The Ministry has determined that it is prudent and necessary to initiate a competitive procurement process for a combined-cycle natural gas-fired electricity generation facility with a rated capacity of up to approximately 850 MW for deployment in the Southwest GTA and that this process should be concluded by the end of June 2009 (the "initiative"). This timing is necessary in light of the significant lead time required to procure, site, permit and construct a new gas-fired generating facility of adequate size and type in respect of the initiative.

Direction

Therefore, pursuant to my statutory authority under subsection 25.32(4) of the Act, I hereby direct the OPA to assume responsibility for the Crown, including the Ministry, for the initiative. It is expected that the OPA will procure this generation facility through a competitive procurement process, and that the facility will have an in-service date of not later than December 31, 2013. In addition to relieving local supply inadequacy, it is also expected that the new facility be capable of contributing to the Province's overall need for increased gas-fired generation capacity in an efficient, cost-effective and environmentally sound manner.


As with all electricity generation projects procured by the OPA, the facility selected under this process shall be required to undergo all local, municipal and environmental approvals to ensure it meets or exceeds regulated standards, including those for air quality, noise, odour and vibration.

Quite in keeping with its past practice, it is expected that the OPA would move expeditiously and responsibly in order to fulfil its accountabilities under this direction. It would be prudent to finish this procurement process by the end of June 2009.

Finally, please arrange a transparent public forum to provide information to local officials and residents regarding the need for a new gas-fired generation facility in the Southwest GTA. I will participate in this forum, as the Government of Ontario has an obligation to explain to the public the need for this facility and how it fits into the government's overall electricity supply plan.

This direction shall be effective and binding as of the date hereof.

Sincerely,



George Smitherman
Deputy Premier, Minister

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Sent: November-09-11 9:20 AM
To: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: Emailing: MC-2008-2437--Mayor McCallion re Eastern Power_Greenfield South-RJ edits.doc
Attachments: MAYOR MCCALLION RE EASTERN POWER.pdf

Duplicate attachment removed

Found a Minister's letter to the Mississauga.

-----Original Message-----

From: Poinen, Ruby (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 9:18 AM
To: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Emailing: MC-2008-2437--Mayor McCallion re Eastern Power_Greenfield South-RJ edits.doc

Good morning Ryan,

Pls see attached the final version.

Thanks

R

-----Original Message-----

From: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Sent: November 8, 2011 5:35 PM
To: Poinen, Ruby (ENERGY)
Subject: Emailing: MC-2008-2437--Mayor McCallion re Eastern Power_Greenfield South-RJ edits.doc

Ruby can you track down this correspondence final version? It's to do with Eastern Power/Greenfield The message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

MC-2008-2437--Mayor McCallion re Eastern Power_Greenfield South-RJ edits.doc

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Sent: November-09-11 10:03 AM
To: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Subject: URGENT - required by 10:45am
Attachments: QA - epudiation.Nov10.doc

Importance: High

Hi Ryan - CO has asked for some additional q's and a media protocol re greenfield repudiation. Attached and below. I've sent this to Carolyn as well.

Please feel free to add any q's missed/revise as necessary. Always appreciate your input.

Thank you. Need to send legal-approved to CO by 11am today.

KEY MESSAGES

- I understand that the OPA has had discussions with the proponent (Eastern Power) and has notified them that the OPA will not proceed with the contract.
- The OPA will continue the process for finding another site for the gas plant.
- The government will continue to ensure that the best interests of Ontario's communities and ratepayers remain the primary priority

(See media protocol on page 3)

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q. Who terminated the contract?

I understand the OPA has had discussions with the proponent (Eastern Power) and has notified them that the OPA will not proceed with the contract.

Q. Why? Were other solutions not viable?

Contract negotiations are commercially sensitive. These discussions are confidential. We are confident the OPA negotiated in the best interests of Ontarians.

Q. Did the OPA terminate the contract at the government's request?

No. The OPA, as the contract holder, has been in discussions with Eastern Power to resolve this matter in the best interests of Ontarians while honouring the conditions of the contract.

Q. Why wasn't the contract terminated sooner?

Discussions began as soon as they could between OPA and Eastern. This decision is the result of those discussions.

Q. If the OPA terminating the contract, how can you get the company (Eastern) to work with you to find another location?

The OPA is in discussion with the proponent.

Q. Will Eastern Power be the company to build the relocated plant? Do you have assurances from them on that?

The OPA is in discussion with Eastern Power.

Q. Will you put this back out to tender?

At this time, the OPA is in discussions with Eastern Power to find a satisfactory resolution.

Q. What is the process for finding another site?

The OPA considers available locations, local generation needs and transmission and distribution support. Once options are identified, the public will be consulted.

Construction

Q. Now that the OPA has terminated the contract, will work stop at the site?

My understanding is that the OPA has notified the proponent that it is not proceeding with the contract. The OPA continues to have discussions about stopping work at the site.

Q. Will legislation be required to stop construction?

Legislation is an option, however, the best option, and the one we are choosing at this time, is to have the OPA work with Eastern Power to find satisfactory resolution.

It is our expectation the OPA and Eastern Power will work together to find a satisfactory resolution to the site.

Q. Minister, your spokesperson said that legislation was not needed, is this true?

The best option, and the one we are choosing at this time, is to have the OPA work with Eastern Power to find satisfactory resolution to the site.

Contract Value

Q. Why should anyone want to contract with OPA or government after this?

The government and our agencies have long, successful track records for negotiating and fulfilling contracts in the best interest of Ontario taxpayers. Like any other business, energy partners work together to respond to changing conditions. Contracts are renegotiated or terminated on a small and large scale across businesses of all types.

Q. What's the status of negotiations with TransCanada?

OPA is in discussions with TransCanada. We do not have an update at this time.

Will the cost of these contract cancellations be made public knowledge at some time?

Our government is committed to conducting business in an open and transparent manner.

MEDIA PROTOCOL

Who Says What

Generally the Minister's Office responds to strategic questions and OPA responds to operational questions:

OPA (Colin Anderson or Kristen Jenkins)

- Status of contract negotiations, and process for finding another site
- History of Eastern site selection (required approvals, public consultation, etc).

Minister's Office (Minister or Erika Botond)

- Government's decision to relocate the plant
- Government's commitment to relocate the site.

Process

- The OPA immediately notifies the Ministry of Energy of any Greenfield-related media call (Communications Director, Media Manager and Spokesperson).
 - The Ministry immediately notifies Minister's Office, Deputy Minister's Office, Legal and Cabinet Office.
 - As appropriate, OPA or Ministry drafts response; the ministry secures approvals (Cabinet Office, DMO, Legal, Policy).
 - The Minister's Office confirms who takes the call.
-

Greenfield Contract Termination (Repudiation)

November 10, 2011

KEY MESSAGES

- I understand that the OPA has had discussions with the proponent (Eastern Power) and has notified them that the OPA will not proceed with the contract.
- The OPA will continue the process for finding another site for the gas plant.
- The government will continue to ensure that the best interests of Ontario's communities and ratepayers remain the primary priority

(See media protocol on page 3)

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q. Who terminated the contract?

I understand the OPA has had discussions with the proponent (Eastern Power) and has notified them that the OPA will not proceed with the contract.

Q. Why? Were other solutions not viable?

Contract negotiations are commercially sensitive. These discussions are confidential. We are confident the OPA negotiated in the best interests of Ontarians.

Q. Did the OPA terminate the contract at the government's request?

No. The OPA, as the contract holder, has been in discussions with Eastern Power to resolve this matter in the best interests of Ontarians while honouring the conditions of the contract.

Q. Why wasn't the contract terminated sooner?

Discussions began as soon as they could between OPA and Eastern. This decision is the result of those discussions.

Q. If the OPA terminating the contract, how can you get the company (Eastern) to work with you to find another location?

The OPA is in discussion with the proponent.

Q. Will Eastern Power be the company to build the relocated plant? Do you have assurances from them on that?

The OPA is in discussion with Eastern Power.

Q. Will you put this back out to tender?

At this time, the OPA is in discussions with Eastern Power to find a satisfactory resolution.

Q. What is the process for finding another site?

The OPA considers available locations, local generation needs and transmission and distribution support. Once options are identified, the public will be consulted.

Construction

Q. Now that the OPA has terminated the contract, will work stop at the site?

My understanding is that the OPA has notified the proponent that it is not proceeding with the contract. The OPA continues to have discussions about stopping work at the site.

Q. Will legislation be required to stop construction?

Legislation is an option, however, the best option, and the one we are choosing at this time, is to have the OPA work with Eastern Power to find satisfactory resolution.

It is our expectation the OPA and Eastern Power will work together to find a satisfactory resolution to the site.

Q. Minister, your spokesperson said that legislation was not needed, is this true?

The best option, and the one we are choosing at this time, is to have the OPA work with Eastern Power to find satisfactory resolution to the site.

Contract Value

Q. Why should anyone want to contract with OPA or government after this?

The government and our agencies have long, successful track records for negotiating and fulfilling contracts in the best interest of Ontario taxpayers. Like any other business, energy partners work together to respond to changing conditions. Contracts are renegotiated or terminated on a small and large scale across businesses of all types.

Q. What's the status of negotiations with TransCanada?

OPA is in discussions with TransCanada. We do not have an update at this time.

Will the cost of these contract cancellations be made public knowledge at some time?

Our government is committed to conducting business in an open and transparent manner.

MEDIA PROTOCOL

Who Says What

Generally the Minister's Office responds to strategic questions and OPA responds to operational questions:

OPA (Colin Anderson or Kristen Jenkins)

- Status of contract negotiations, and process for finding another site
- History of Eastern site selection (required approvals, public consultation, etc).

Minister's Office (Minister or Erika Botond)

- Government's decision to relocate the plant
- Government's commitment to relocate the site.

Process

- The OPA immediately notifies the Ministry of Energy of any Greenfield-related media call (Communications Director, Media Manager and Spokesperson).
- The Ministry immediately notifies Minister's Office, Deputy Minister's Office, Legal and Cabinet Office.
- As appropriate, OPA or Ministry drafts response; the ministry secures approvals (Cabinet Office, DMO, Legal, Policy).
- The Minister's Office confirms who takes the call.

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Sent: November-09-11 10:16 AM
To: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: URGENT - required by 10:45am
Attachments: QA - epudiation Nov10(rk).doc

My suggested edits for LSB comment. I don't have all of the latest information but what I gather is that the discussions with the proponent about relocation were a non-starter hence the requirement to cancel the contract. If this is still the case then we should no longer be talking about relocating the plant but rather its cancellation and how this will be mitigated.

From: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 10:03 AM
To: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Subject: URGENT - required by 10:45am
Importance: High

Hi Ryan - CO has asked for some additional q's and a media protocol re greenfield repudiation. Attached and below. I've sent this to Carolyn as well.

Please feel free to add any q's missed/revise as necessary. Always appreciate your input.

Thank you. Need to send legal-approved to CO by 11am today.

KEY MESSAGES

- I understand that the OPA has had discussions with the proponent (Eastern Power) and has notified them that the OPA will not proceed with the contract.
- The OPA will continue the process for finding another site for the gas plant.
- The government will continue to ensure that the best interests of Ontario's communities and ratepayers remain the primary priority

(See media protocol on page 3)

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q. Who terminated the contract?

I understand the OPA has had discussions with the proponent (Eastern Power) and has notified them that the OPA will not proceed with the contract.

Q. Why? Were other solutions not viable?

Contract negotiations are commercially sensitive. These discussions are confidential. We are confident the OPA negotiated in the best interests of Ontarians.

Q. Did the OPA terminate the contract at the government's request?

No. The OPA, as the contract holder, has been in discussions with Eastern Power to resolve this matter in the best interests of Ontarians while honouring the conditions of the contract.

Q. Why wasn't the contract terminated sooner?

Discussions began as soon as they could between OPA and Eastern. This decision is the result of those discussions.

Q. If the OPA terminating the contract, how can you get the company (Eastern) to work with you to find another location?

The OPA is in discussion with the proponent.

Q. Will Eastern Power be the company to build the relocated plant? Do you have assurances from them on that?

The OPA is in discussion with Eastern Power.

Q. Will you put this back out to tender?

At this time, the OPA is in discussions with Eastern Power to find a satisfactory resolution.

Q. What is the process for finding another site?

The OPA considers available locations, local generation needs and transmission and distribution support. Once options are identified, the public will be consulted.

Construction

Q. Now that the OPA has terminated the contract, will work stop at the site?

My understanding is that the OPA has notified the proponent that it is not proceeding with the contract. The OPA continues to have discussions about stopping work at the site.

Q. Will legislation be required to stop construction?

Legislation is an option, however, the best option, and the one we are choosing at this time, is to have the OPA work with Eastern Power to find satisfactory resolution.

It is our expectation the OPA and Eastern Power will work together to find a satisfactory resolution to the site.

Q. Minister, your spokesperson said that legislation was not needed, is this true?

The best option, and the one we are choosing at this time, is to have the OPA work with Eastern Power to find satisfactory resolution to the site.

Contract Value

Q. Why should anyone want to contract with OPA or government after this?

The government and our agencies have long, successful track records for negotiating and fulfilling contracts in the best interest of Ontario taxpayers. Like any other business, energy partners work together to respond to changing conditions. Contracts are renegotiated or terminated on a small and large scale across businesses of all types.

Q. What's the status of negotiations with TransCanada?

OPA is in discussions with TransCanada. We do not have an update at this time.

Will the cost of these contract cancellations be made public knowledge at some time?

Our government is committed to conducting business in an open and transparent manner.

MEDIA PROTOCOL

Who Says What

Generally the Minister's Office responds to strategic questions and OPA responds to operational questions:

OPA (Colin Anderson or Kristen Jenkins)

- Status of contract negotiations, and process for finding another site
- History of Eastern site selection (required approvals, public consultation, etc).

Minister's Office (Minister or Erika Botond)

- Government's decision to relocate the plant
- Government's commitment to relocate the site.

Process

- The OPA immediately notifies the Ministry of Energy of any Greenfield-related media call (Communications Director, Media Manager and Spokesperson).
 - The Ministry immediately notifies Minister's Office, Deputy Minister's Office, Legal and Cabinet Office.
 - As appropriate, OPA or Ministry drafts response; the ministry secures approvals (Cabinet Office, DMO, Legal, Policy).
 - The Minister's Office confirms who takes the call.
-

Greenfield Contract Termination (Repudiation)

November 10, 2011

KEY MESSAGES

- I understand that the OPA has had discussions with the proponent (Eastern Power) and has notified them that the OPA will not proceed with the contract.
- ~~The OPA will continue the process for finding another site for the gas plant.~~
- The government will continue to ensure that the best interests of Ontario's communities and ratepayers remain the primary priority

(See media protocol on page 3)

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q. Who terminated the contract?

I understand the OPA has had discussions with the proponent (Eastern Power) and has notified them that the OPA will not proceed with the contract.

Q. Why? Were other solutions not viable?

Contract negotiations are commercially sensitive. These discussions are confidential. We are confident the OPA negotiated in the best interests of Ontarians.

Q. Did the OPA terminate the contract at the government's request?

No. The OPA, as the contract holder, has been in discussions with Eastern Power to resolve this matter in the best interests of Ontarians, ~~while honouring the conditions of the contract.~~

Q. Why wasn't the contract terminated sooner?

Discussions began as soon as they could between OPA and Eastern. The initial discussions sought to find a suitable alternative location for the plant but were not successful. This decision ~~is to not proceed with the contract is~~ the result of those discussions.

Q. If the OPA terminating the contract, how can you get the company (Eastern) to work with you to find another location?

The initial discussions sought to find a suitable alternative location for the plant but were not successful. This decision to not proceed with the contract is the result of those discussions. The OPA is in discussion with the proponent.

Q. Will Eastern Power be the company to build the relocated plant? Do you have assurances from them on that?

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Comment [k1]: As I understand it, the contract termination is because the relocation discussions were unsuccessful.

The initial discussions sought to find a suitable alternative location for the plant but were not successful. This decision to not proceed with the contract is the result of those discussions. The OPA is in discussion with Eastern Power.

Q. Will you put this back out to tender?

~~The initial discussions sought to find a suitable alternative location for the plant but were not successful. This decision to not proceed with the contract is the result of those discussions. At this time, the OPA is in discussions with Eastern Power to find a satisfactory resolution.~~

Q. What is the process for finding another site?

~~The initial discussions sought to find a suitable alternative location for the plant but were not successful. This decision to not proceed with the contract is the result of those discussions. The OPA considers available locations, local generation needs and transmission and distribution support. Once options are identified, the public will be consulted.~~

Construction

Q. Now that the OPA has terminated the contract, will work stop at the site?

My understanding is that the OPA has notified the proponent that it is not proceeding with the contract. The OPA continues to have discussions about stopping work at the site.

Q. Will legislation be required to stop construction?

Legislation is an option, however, the best option, and the one we are choosing at this time, is to have the OPA work with Eastern Power to find satisfactory resolution.

It is our expectation the OPA and Eastern Power will work together to find a satisfactory resolution to the site.

Q. Minister, your spokesperson said that legislation was not needed, is this true?

The best option, and the one we are choosing at this time, is to have the OPA work with Eastern Power to find satisfactory resolution to the site.

Contract Value

Q. Why should anyone want to contract with OPA or government after this?

The government and our agencies have ~~long~~ successful track records for negotiating and fulfilling contracts in the best interest of Ontario taxpayers. This is a unique case and should not be used to apply to other contracts or issues. Like any other business, energy partners work together to respond to changing conditions. ~~Contracts are renegotiated or terminated on a small and large scale across businesses of all types.~~

Q. What's the status of negotiations with TransCanada?

OPA is in discussions with TransCanada. We do not have an update at this time.

Will the cost of these contract cancellations be made public knowledge at some time?

Our government is committed to conducting business in an open and transparent manner.

MEDIA PROTOCOL

Who Says What

Generally the Minister's Office responds to strategic questions and OPA responds to operational questions:

OPA (Colin Anderson or Kristen Jenkins)

- Status of contract negotiations, and process for finding another site
- History of Eastern site selection (required approvals, public consultation, etc).

Minister's Office (Minister or Erika Botond)

- Government's decision to relocate the plant
- Government's commitment to relocate the site.

Comment [k2]: Please double check this. My understanding is that the proponent is not interested in a relocation.

Process

- The OPA immediately notifies the Ministry of Energy of any Greenfield-related media call (Communications Director, Media Manager and Spokesperson).
- The Ministry immediately notifies Minister's Office, Deputy Minister's Office, Legal and Cabinet Office.
- As appropriate, OPA or Ministry drafts response; the ministry secures approvals (Cabinet Office, DMO, Legal, Policy).
- The Minister's Office confirms who takes the call.

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Poinen, Ruby (ENERGY)
Sent: November-09-11 10:21 AM
To: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: Letters relating to Greenfield South
Attachments: DRC-2011-3312--Gabon--GTA New Supply.doc; DRC-2011-3332-- Scott--GTA New Supply.doc; DUE JULY 4- DRC-2011-2755--GOTTSCHLING--GTA NEW SUPPLY.doc; DUE JULY 6 - DRC-2011-2773--Serratore--GTA New Supply.doc; DUE JULY 6 - DRC-2011-2776--Bettencourt--GTA New Supply.doc

If you need final version of these letters pls let me know. They are all e-mails sent from write2us account.

Thanks

From: Jenkins, Allan (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 9:58 AM
To: Poinen, Ruby (ENERGY)
Subject: Letters relating to Greenfield South

Note that these are my versions and may not be what was sent.

From: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 9:31 AM
To: Poinen, Ruby (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Emailing: MC-2008-2437--Mayor McCallion re Eastern Power_Greenfield South-RJ edits.doc

Ok, I seem to recall a similar piece of correspondence that Allan would have done earlier this year. It would have been pertaining to Greenfield approvals.



Ministry of Energy

Director's Correspondence Approval Form

Log No: **DRC-2011-3312**

Type of Correspondence:

Action Required:

- ☒ Letter/e-mail
☐ Social Invitation
☐ Letter of Greetings/Regrets

- ☐ Draft response letter for Director's signature
☒ Draft response e-mail for Director's signature
☐ Draft response letter for ADM's approval

From: ☐ MPP ☒ General Public ☐ Stakeholders

Standard Update req'd ☒

New Standard req'd ☐

Incoming Letter From: Mrs. Irene Gabon

Subject: GTA New Supply

Due to Dir.: Aug.23, 2011

Author: Allan Jenkins, Energy Markets

Date	Assigned To	Description
Aug. 16/11	Allan Jenkins	PLS DRAFT RESPONSE

Comments

Approved by:	Date Sent for Approval/Signature	Signature & Date Approved (dd/m m/yy)	Signature & Date Rejected (dd/m m/yy)
Director			
ADM as required			
Comm. Dir as required.			
Deputy Minister as required			
Minister's Office as required			

bc: ☐ ADM/EA
☐ Director
☐ Author

Copy: No
Enclosure: No
Revision: ☐

ir37@rogers.com

Dear Mrs. Gabon:

Thank you for your email requesting information on the subject of conversion of Nanticoke Generating Station units to fire on natural gas.

Councilor Tovey is correct in that Ontario Power Generation is examining the feasibility of converting two units at Nanticoke to fire on natural gas. The possibility of conversion for system reliability purposes was identified in Ontario's Long Term Energy Plan. No decision has been made to proceed with conversion at this time.

Your information is also correct in that conversion of Nanticoke to natural gas has been previously studied and rejected. Electricity system planning is a continuous process and plans change with time as technologies advance and availability and costs of various forms of generation change.

Natural gas is now available at a much lower cost and future availability is projected to be much greater than before, making conversion somewhat more economical than the previous study indicated.

Having said this, conversion is still a relatively expensive option for other than peak generation purposes, and converted coal units are much less efficient and produce higher emissions than the combined cycle generating stations

The Councilor is not correct in asserting that converting the Nanticoke units is a solution for producing power for the South West GTA. If converted, Nanticoke GS would be run as a peaking plant, to stabilize power in its region of the grid, while minimizing the cost and impacts of the generation. In comparison to the combined cycle generating stations located within the SWGTA, Nanticoke would be too costly and have too high an impact on the environment to run to the extent needed to provide reliable power to the SWGTA.

I trust you will find this information helpful, and thank you for writing.

Allan Jenkins
Senior Policy Specialist
Energy Markets



Ministry of Energy

Director's Correspondence Approval Form

Log No: **DRC-2011-3332**

Type of Correspondence:

Action Required:

- ☒ Letter/e-mail
☐ Social Invitation
☐ Letter of Greetings/Regrets

- ☐ Draft response letter for Director's signature
☒ Draft response e-mail for Director's signature
☐ Draft response letter for ADM's approval

From: ☐ MPP ☒ General Public ☐ Stakeholders

Standard Update req'd ☒
 New Standard req'd ☐

Incoming Letter From: Peter and Nancy Scott

Subject: GTA New Supply

Due to Dir.: Aug.23, 2011

Author: Allan Jenkins, Energy Markets

Date	Assigned To	Description
Aug.16/11	Allan Jenkins	PLS DRAFT RESPONSE

Comments

Approved by:	Date Sent for Approval/Signature	Signature & Date Approved (dd/m m/yy)	Signature & Date Rejected (dd/m m/yy)
Director			
ADM as required			
Comm. Dir as required.			
Deputy Minister as required			
Minister's Office as required			

bc: ☐ ADM/EA
☐ Director
☐ Author

Copy: No
 Enclosure: No
 Revision: ☐

petermancy@sentex.net

Dear Peter and Nancy Scott:

Your email concerning the York Energy Centre gas fired generating station has been forwarded by the Premier to the Ministry of Energy for response,

I would like to begin by thanking you for participating in the microFIT program and helping to build a clean energy economy in Ontario.

Electricity generated with natural gas is also part of that clean energy economy. Natural gas is helping us to shut down our coal plants by providing a much cleaner form of generation that also enables the use of intermittent renewable energies like solar and wind power by providing reliable power when renewables are not available to meet demand.

Counties that are seen as leaders in renewable energy, like Germany and Denmark, still depend on coal to fill in when their renewables are not generating. Coal generation emits 50 % more greenhouse gases than natural gas and orders of magnitude greater levels of criteria pollutants such as NO_x, SO_x and toxins such as mercury.

With coal generation replaced by natural gas the net emissions over the Holland Marsh and the rest of Ontario will be lower than before, even when the gas plants are running.

The impacts of the York Energy Centre's emissions on the Holland Marsh and the rest of the surrounding ecosystem were critical considerations and were intensively reviewed by the Ministry of the Environment before they issued the environmental approval for the facility.

The gas turbine and emissions treatment technologies used by the York Energy Centre will result in very low emissions from the plant. It is not uncommon for gas generating facilities to be located within prime agricultural regions, and negative impacts on produce are not seen. In fact, Ontario greenhouse growers vent the emissions from their natural gas fired electricity generators directly into their greenhouses because the carbon dioxide has a positive effect on growth and quality; which allows them to sell at a premium. This is a practice imported from European producers. Holland Marsh produce will not receive anywhere near this level of emissions from the York Energy Centre.

It is unfortunate that you find these facilities frightening, as experience has shown that the risk from these is lower than many other forms of infrastructure and life activities that Ontarians routinely accept. If you use natural gas in your

house or if it is used in your neighbourhood a natural gas generating facility is no less safe, and is arguably safer as Ontario safety standards require them to be built, operated and maintained to much higher standards than other infrastructure.

I trust you will find this information helpful, and thank you for writing.

Allan Jenkins
Senior Policy Specialist
Energy Markets



Ministry of Energy

Director's Correspondence Approval Form

Log No: **DRC-2011-2755**

Type of Correspondence:

- ☒ Letter/e-mail
☐ Social Invitation
☐ Letter of Greetings/Regrets

Action Required:

- ☒ Draft response letter for Director's signature
☒ Draft response e-mail for Director's signature
☐ Draft response letter for ADM's approval

From: ☐ MPP ☒ General Public ☐ Stakeholders

Standard Update req'd ☒
New Standard req'd ☐

Incoming Letter From: ERDMUTE GOTTSCHLING

Subject: GTA NEW SUPPLY

Due to Dir.: JULY 4

Author: Allan Jenkins

Date	Assigned To	Description
JUN 28	Allan	PLS DRAFT RESPONSE

Comments

--

Approved by:	Date Sent for Approval/Signature	Signature & Date Approved (dd/m m/yy)	Signature & Date Rejected (dd/m m/yy)
Director			
ADM as required			
Comm. Dir as required.			
Deputy Minister as required			
Minister's Office as required			

bc: ☐ ADM/EA
☐ Director
☐ Author

Copy: No
Enclosure: No
Revision: ☐

egottschling@sympatico.ca

MINISTRY REPLY CSU# 931832

Dear Mr. Gottschling:

Your email concerning the Greenfield South Generating Station has been forwarded by the Premier to the Ministry of Energy for response,

Please understand that the Government of Ontario has set health, safety and environmental protection for individuals and communities as top priorities when we design and operate Ontario's electrical system.

The Greenfield South plant is replacing generation from the Lakeview coal plant and other coal plants which had a much higher health and environmental impact on your neighbourhood than the gas plant, even though the coal plants were farther away. The gas plant also will provide back up support for renewable generation that is helping to further reduce emissions, but cannot meet demand within urban communities such as yours

The need for reliable supply to your community remains, and the combined cycle gas generation technology to be used at Greenfield South is the cleanest form of reliable generation available. The impact of the plant operation will be much less than other neighbourhood sources such as furnaces, vehicles, barbeques and yard equipment.

With respect to your concern about the environmental review process, Greenfield South's environmental report was subjected to a rigorous public and Ministry of the Environment review. Before any approvals were issued significant design and operational improvements were made to reduce environmental impact further.

While normally companies are only required to meet the standards and conditions that were applicable at the time the approvals were issued, the Ministry of the Environment is taking the unusual step to review the environmental impact of Greenfield South again to ensure that the plant meets or better the current standards and conditions.

Gas and other fuelled generation facilities are commonly located and have operated safely within communities around the world, some large plants less than 200 meters from residences and other occupied buildings. Gas generation facilities at smaller scales are often attached to hospitals, to provide uninterruptible power.

Ontario's gas safety standards are very high and gas generating facilities are built and operated to the highest standards, so that they have proven to be of very low risk.

I understand that you will continue to have concerns about Greenfield South., this is common in many communities when generating facilities have been introduced. This happened, for example, when Toronto's Portlands Energy Centre, a 550 MW gas generating plant, was being planned and built. Portlands has now operated for several years without complaint or concern expressed by the local community, and it has become a destination for school and other plant tours.

I trust you will find this information helpful, and thank you for writing.

Sincerely,

Doug MacCallum
Manager
Energy Markets



Ministry of Energy

Director's Correspondence Approval Form

Log No: **DRC-2011-2773**

Type of Correspondence:

Action Required:

- ☒ Letter/e-mail
☐ Social Invitation
☐ Letter of Greetings/Regrets

- ☐ Draft response letter for Director's signature
☒ Draft response e-mail for Director's signature
☐ Draft response letter for ADM's approval

From: ☐ MPP ☒ General Public ☐ Stakeholders

Standard Update req'd ☒
 New Standard req'd ☐

Incoming Letter From: Ms. Maria Serratore

Subject: GTA New Supply

Due to Dir.: July 6, 2011

Author: Allan Jenkins, Energy Markets

Date	Assigned To	Description
June 29/11	Allan Jenkins	PLS DRAFT RESPONSE

Comments

Approved by:	Date Sent for Approval/Signature	Signature & Date Approved (dd/m m/yy)	Signature & Date Rejected (dd/m m/yy)
Director			
ADM as required			
Comm. Dir as required.			
Deputy Minister as required			
Minister's Office as required			

bc: ☐ ADM/EA
☐ Director
☐ Author

Copy: No
 Enclosure: No
 Revision: ☐

mariaserratore@rogers.com

CSU # 932229

Dear Ms. Serratore:

Your email concerning the Greenfield South Generating Station has been forwarded by the Premier to the Ministry of Energy for response,

Please understand that the Government of Ontario has set health, safety and environmental protection for individuals and communities as top priorities when we plan, build, and operate Ontario's electrical system.

The Greenfield South plant is replacing generation from the Lakeview coal plant and other coal plants which had a much higher health and environmental impact on your neighbourhood than the gas plant, even though the coal plants were farther away. The gas plant also will provide back up support for renewable generation that is helping to further reduce emissions, but cannot meet demand within urban communities such as yours

The need for reliable supply to your community remains, and the combined cycle gas generation technology to be used at Greenfield South is the cleanest form of reliable generation available. The impact of the plant operation will be much less than neighbourhood sources of emissions such as furnaces, vehicles, barbeques and yard equipment.

With respect to your concern about the Etobicoke Creek ecosystem, the environmental approval process included an extensive public and Ministry of Environment review of the potential impacts. Before any approvals were issued significant improvements were made to the design and proposed operation of the plant to ensure that it will not materially impact the ecosystem.

While normally companies are only required to meet the standards and conditions that were applicable at the time the approvals were issued, the Ministry of the Environment is taking the unusual step to review the environmental impact of Greenfield South again to ensure that the plant meets or better the current standards and conditions in the surrounding communities and the Etobicoke Creek ecosystem.

Gas and other fuelled generation facilities are commonly located and have operated safely within communities around the world, some large plants less than 200 meters from residences and other occupied buildings. Gas generation facilities at smaller scales are often attached to hospitals, to provide uninterruptible power.

Ontario's gas safety standards are very high and gas generating facilities are built and operated to the highest standards, so that they have proven to be of very low risk.

The Greenfield South plant is located in an industrial area of Mississauga, zoned by the City for industrial uses, including electrical generation. The zoning was also reviewed through a public process and approved by the Ontario Municipal Board.

I am not aware of any case where the location of a gas generation plant has negatively affected the value of property in nearby communities. In New York for example several large gas generation plants have been located near to multimillion dollar residential properties in Manhattan and their value has continued to rise. These plants can be seen by the residents, Greenfield South will not be seen from Etobicoke.

I understand that you will continue to have concerns about Greenfield South., this is common in many communities when generating facilities have been introduced. This happened, for example, when Toronto's Portlands Energy Centre, a 550 MW gas generating plant, was being planned and built. Portlands has now operated for several years without complaint or concern expressed by the local community, and it has become a destination for school and other plant tours.

I trust you will find this information helpful, and thank you for writing.

Allan Jenkins
Senior Policy Specialist
Energy Markets



Ministry of Energy

Director's Correspondence Approval Form

Log No: **DRC-2011-2776**

Type of Correspondence:

- ☒ Letter/e-mail
☐ Social Invitation
☐ Letter of Greetings/Regrets

Action Required:

- ☐ Draft response letter for Director's signature
☒ Draft response e-mail for Director's signature
☐ Draft response letter for ADM's approval

From: ☐ MPP ☒ General Public ☐ Stakeholders

Standard Update req'd ☒

New Standard req'd ☐

Incoming Letter From: Mr. Brian Bettencourt

Subject: GTA New Supply

Due to Dir.: July 6/11

Author: Allan Jenkins, Energy Markets

Date	Assigned To	Description
June 29/11	Allan Jenkins	PLS DRAFT RESPONSE

Comments

Approved by:	Date Sent for Approval/Signature	Signature & Date Approved (dd/m m/yy)	Signature & Date Rejected (dd/m m/yy)
Director			
ADM as required			
Comm. Dir as required.			
Deputy Minister as required			
Minister's Office as required			

bc: ☐ ADM/EA
☐ Director
☐ Author

Copy: No
Enclosure: No
Revision: ☐

bbettencourt@pens.com

CSU # 932250

Dear Mr. Bettencourt:

Your email concerning the Greenfield South Generating Station has been forwarded by the Premier to the Ministry of Energy for response,

Please understand that the Government of Ontario has set health, safety and environmental protection for individuals and communities as top priorities when we plan build and operate Ontario's electrical system.

The Greenfield South plant is replacing generation from the Lakeview coal plant and other coal plants which had a much higher health and environmental impact on your neighbourhood than the gas plant, even though the coal plants were farther away. The gas plant also will provide back up support for renewable generation that is helping to further reduce emissions, but cannot meet demand within urban communities such as yours

The combined cycle gas generation technology to be used at Greenfield South is the cleanest form of reliable generation available. The impact of the plant operation will be much less than the emissions from a small portion of sources such as furnaces, automobiles, trains, barbecues and yard equipment that typically operate in your neighbourhood.

Greenfield South was subjected to a rigorous public and Ministry of the Environment review of its environmental impact. Before any approvals were issued significant design and operational improvements were made to reduce environmental impact further.

While normally companies are only required to meet the standards and conditions that were applicable at the time the approvals were issued, the Ministry of the Environment is taking the unusual step to review the environmental impact of Greenfield South again to ensure that the plant meets or better the current standards and conditions.

Ontario's gas safety standards are very high and gas generating facilities are built and operated to the highest standards, so that they have proven to be of very low risk.

The Greenfield South plant is located in an industrial area of Mississauga, zoned by the City for industrial uses, including electrical generation. The zoning was

also reviewed through a public process and approved by the Ontario Municipal Board.

I understand that you will continue to have concerns about Greenfield South., this is common in many communities when new generating facilities have been introduced. This happened, for example, when the Portlands Energy Centre, a 550 MW gas generating plant, was being planned and built. It partly replaced the Hearn coal fired generating station near downtown Toronto and communities such as Riverdale and the Beach. Portlands has now operated for several years without complaint or concern expressed by the local communities, and it has become a destination for school and other plant tours and recreation in the Portlands area.

I trust you will find this information helpful, and thank you for writing.

Allan Jenkins
Senior Policy Specialist
Energy Markets

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Poinen, Ruby (ENERGY)
Sent: November-09-11 10:25 AM
To: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Letters relating to Greenfield South
Attachments: YORK ENERGY CENTRE GAS.pdf; CONVERSION OF NANTICOKE GENERATING STATION UNITS.pdf; GREENFILED SOUTH GENERATING STATION(1).pdf; GREENFILED SOUTH GENERATING STATION(2).pdf; GREENFILED SOUTH GENERATING STATION.pdf

Here they are!

From: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 10:24 AM
To: Poinen, Ruby (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Letters relating to Greenfield South

Yes please

From: Poinen, Ruby (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 10:21 AM
To: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: Letters relating to Greenfield South

If you need final version of these letters pls let me know. They are all e-mails sent from write2us account.

Thanks

From: Jenkins, Allan (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 9:58 AM
To: Poinen, Ruby (ENERGY)
Subject: Letters relating to Greenfield South

Note that these are my versions and may not be what was sent.

From: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 9:31 AM
To: Poinen, Ruby (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Emailing: MC-2008-2437--Mayor McCallion re Eastern Power_Greenfield South-RJ edits.doc

Ok, I seem to recall a similar piece of correspondence that Allan would have done earlier this year. It would have been pertaining to Greenfield approvals.

Poinen, Ruby (ENERGY)

From: Write2us (ENERGY)
Sent: August 24, 2011 4:30 PM
To: 'peternancy@sentex.net'
Subject: York Energy Centre Gas

Dear Peter and Nancy Scott:

Your email concerning the York Energy Centre gas fired generating station has been forwarded by the Premier to the Ministry of Energy for response,

I would like to begin by thanking you for participating in the microFIT program and helping to build a clean energy economy in Ontario.

Electricity generated with natural gas is also part of that clean energy economy. Natural gas is helping us to shut down our coal plants by providing a much cleaner form of generation that also enables the use of intermittent renewable energies like solar and wind power by providing reliable power when renewables are not available to meet demand.

Counties that are seen as leaders in renewable energy, like Germany and Denmark, still depend on coal to fill in when their renewables are not generating. Coal generation emits 50 % more greenhouse gases than natural gas and orders of magnitude greater levels of criteria pollutants such as NO_x, SO_x and toxins such as mercury.

With coal generation replaced by natural gas the net emissions over the Holland Marsh and the rest of Ontario will be lower than before, even when the gas plants are running.

The impacts of the York Energy Centre's emissions on the Holland Marsh and the rest of the surrounding ecosystem were critical considerations and were intensively reviewed by the Ministry of the Environment before they issued the environmental approval for the facility.

The gas turbine and emissions treatment technologies used by the York Energy Centre will result in very low emissions from the plant. It is not uncommon for gas generating facilities to be located within prime agricultural regions, and negative impacts on produce are not seen. In fact, Ontario greenhouse growers vent the emissions from their natural gas fired electricity generators directly into their greenhouses because the carbon dioxide has a positive effect on growth and quality; which allows them to sell at a premium. This is a practice imported from European producers. Holland Marsh produce will not receive anywhere near this level of emissions from the York Energy Centre.

It is unfortunate that you find these facilities frightening, as experience has shown that the risk from these is lower than many other forms of infrastructure and life activities that Ontarians routinely accept. If you use natural gas in your house or if it is used in your neighbourhood a natural gas generating facility is no less safe, and is arguably safer as Ontario safety standards require them to be built, operated and maintained to much higher standards than other infrastructure.

I trust you will find this information helpful, and thank you for writing.

08/24/2011

Allan Jenkins
Senior Policy Specialist
Energy Markets

Poinen, Ruby (ENERGY)

From: Write2us (ENERGY)
Sent: August 25, 2011 11:38 AM
To: 'lr37@rogers.com'
Subject: Conversion of Nanticoke Generating Station units

Dear Mrs. Gabon:

Thank you for your email requesting information on the subject of conversion of Nanticoke Generating Station units to fire on natural gas.

Councilor Tovey is correct in that Ontario Power Generation is examining the feasibility of converting two units at Nanticoke to fire on natural gas. The possibility of conversion for system reliability purposes was identified in Ontario's Long Term Energy Plan. No decision has been made to proceed with conversion at this time.

Your information is also correct in that conversion of Nanticoke to natural gas has been previously studied and rejected. Electricity system planning is a continuous process and plans change with time as technologies advance and availability and costs of various forms of generation change.

Natural gas is now available at a much lower cost and future availability is projected to be much greater than before, making conversion somewhat more economical than the previous study indicated.

Having said this, conversion is still a relatively expensive option for other than peak generation purposes, and converted coal units are much less efficient and produce higher emissions than the combined cycle generating stations

The Councilor is not correct in asserting that converting the Nanticoke units is a solution for producing power for the South West GTA. If converted, Nanticoke GS would be run as a peaking plant, to stabilize power in its region of the grid, while minimizing the cost and impacts of the generation. In comparison to the combined cycle generating stations located within the SWGTA, Nanticoke would be too costly and have too high an impact on the environment to run to the extent needed to provide reliable power to the SWGTA.

I trust you will find this information helpful, and thank you for writing.

Allan Jenkins
Senior Policy Specialist
Energy Markets

08/25/2011

Poinen, Ruby (ENERGY)

From: Write2us (ENERGY)
Sent: July 7, 2011 2:50 PM
To: 'mariaserratore@rogers.com'
Subject: Your email concerning Greenfield South Generating Station

Dear Ms. Serratore:

Your email concerning the Greenfield South Generating Station has been forwarded by the Premier to the Ministry of Energy for response,

Please understand that the Government of Ontario has set health, safety and environmental protection for individuals and communities as top priorities in the design and operation of Ontario's electrical system.

The Greenfield South plant is replacing generation from the Lakeview coal plant and other coal plants which had a much higher health and environmental impact on your neighbourhood than the gas plant, even though the coal plants were farther away. The gas plant also will provide back up support for renewable generation that is helping to further reduce emissions, but cannot meet demand within urban communities such as yours.

The need for reliable supply to your community remains, and the combined cycle gas generation technology to be used at Greenfield South is the cleanest form of reliable generation available. The impact of the plant operation will be much less than neighbourhood sources of emissions such as furnaces, vehicles, barbeques and yard equipment.

With respect to your concern about the Etobicoke Creek ecosystem, the environmental approval process included an extensive public and Ministry of Environment review of the potential impacts. Before any approvals were issued significant improvements were made to the design and proposed operation of the plant to ensure that it will not materially impact the ecosystem.

While normally companies are only required to meet the standards and conditions that were applicable at the time the approvals were issued, the Ministry of the Environment is taking the unusual step to review the environmental impact of Greenfield South again to ensure that the plant meets or betters the current standards and conditions in the surrounding communities and the Etobicoke Creek ecosystem.

Gas and other fuelled generation facilities are commonly located and have operated safely within communities around the world, with some large plants less than 200 meters from residences and other occupied buildings. Gas generation facilities at smaller scales are often attached to hospitals, to provide uninterruptible power.

Ontario's gas safety standards are very high and gas generating facilities are built and operated to the highest standards, so that they have proven to be of very low risk.

The Greenfield South plant is located in an industrial area of Mississauga, zoned by the City for industrial uses, including electrical generation. The zoning was also reviewed through a

public process and approved by the Ontario Municipal Board.

I am not aware of any case where the location of a gas generation plant has negatively affected the value of property in nearby communities. In New York for example several large gas generation plants have been located near to multimillion dollar residential properties in Manhattan and their value has continued to rise. These plants can be seen by the residents, Greenfield South will not be seen from Etobicoke.

I understand that you may continue to have concerns about Greenfield South. This is common in many communities when generating facilities have been introduced. This happened, for example, when Toronto's Portlands Energy Centre, a 550 MW gas generating plant, was being planned and built. Portlands has now operated for several years without complaint or concern expressed by the local community, and it has become a destination for school and other plant tours.

I trust you will find this information helpful, and thank you for writing.

Allan Jenkins
Senior Policy Specialist
Energy Markets

Poinen, Ruby (ENERGY)

From: Write2us (ENERGY)
Sent: July 7, 2011 2:28 PM
To: 'bbettencourt@pens.com'
Subject: Your email re the Greenfield South Generating Station

Dear Mr. Bettencourt:

Your email concerning the Greenfield South Generating Station has been forwarded by the Premier to the Ministry of Energy for response.

Please understand that the Government of Ontario has set health, safety and environmental protection for individuals and communities as top priorities in the planning, building and operation of Ontario's electrical system.

The Greenfield South plant is replacing generation from the Lakeview coal plant and other coal plants which had a much higher health and environmental impact on your neighbourhood than the gas plant, even though the coal plants were farther away. The gas plant also will provide back up support for renewable generation that is helping to further reduce emissions, but cannot meet demand within urban communities such as yours.

The combined cycle gas generation technology to be used at Greenfield South is the cleanest form of reliable generation available. The impact of the plant operation will be much less than the emissions from a small portion of sources such as furnaces, automobiles, trains, barbeques and yard equipment that typically operate in your neighbourhood.

Greenfield South was subjected to a rigorous public and Ministry of the Environment review of its environmental impact. Before any approvals were issued significant design and operational improvements were made to reduce environmental impact further.

While normally companies are only required to meet the standards and conditions that were applicable at the time the approvals were issued, the Ministry of the Environment is taking the unusual step to review the environmental impact of Greenfield South again to ensure that the plant meets or betters the current standards and conditions.

Ontario's gas safety standards are very high and gas generating facilities are built and operated to the highest standards, so that they have proven to be of very low risk.

The Greenfield South plant is located in an industrial area of Mississauga, zoned by the City for industrial uses, including electrical generation. The zoning was also reviewed through a public process and approved by the Ontario Municipal Board.

I understand that you may continue to have concerns about Greenfield South, which is common in many communities when new generating facilities have been introduced. This happened, for example, when the Portlands Energy Centre, a 550 MW gas generating plant, was being planned and built. It partly replaced the Hearn coal fired generating station near downtown Toronto and communities such as Riverdale and the Beach. Portlands has now operated for several years without complaint or concern expressed by the local communities,

and it has become a destination for school and other plant tours and recreation in the Portlands area.

I trust you will find this information helpful, and thank you for writing.

Allan Jenkins
Senior Policy Specialist
Energy Markets

Poinen, Ruby (ENERGY)

From: Write2us (ENERGY)
Sent: July 7, 2011 11:46 AM
To: 'egottschling@sympatico.ca'
Subject: Your email concerning the greenfield South generating Station

Dear Mr. Gottschling:

Your email concerning the Greenfield South Generating Station has been forwarded by the Premier to the Ministry of Energy for response.

Please understand that the Government of Ontario has set health, safety and environmental protection for individuals and communities as top priorities in the design and operation of Ontario's electrical system.

The Greenfield South plant is replacing generation from the Lakeview coal plant and other coal plants which had a much higher health and environmental impact on your neighbourhood than the gas plant, even though the coal plants were farther away. The gas plant also will provide back up support for renewable generation that is helping to further reduce emissions, but cannot meet demand within urban communities such as yours.

The need for reliable supply to your community remains, and the combined cycle gas generation technology to be used at Greenfield South is the cleanest form of reliable generation available. The impact of the plant operation will be much less than other neighbourhood sources such as furnaces, vehicles, barbeques and yard equipment.

With respect to your concern about the environmental review process, Greenfield South's environmental report was subjected to a rigorous public and Ministry of the Environment review. Before any approvals were issued significant design and operational improvements were made to reduce environmental impact further.

While normally companies are only required to meet the standards and conditions that were applicable at the time the approvals were issued, the Ministry of the Environment is taking the unusual step to review the environmental impact of Greenfield South again to ensure that the plant meets or better the current standards and conditions.

Gas and other fuelled generation facilities are commonly located and have operated safely within communities around the world, with some large plants less than 200 meters from residences and other occupied buildings. Gas generation facilities at smaller scales are often attached to hospitals, to provide uninterruptible power.

Ontario's gas safety standards are very high and gas generating facilities are built and operated to the highest standards, so that they have proven to be of very low risk.

I understand that you may continue to have concerns about Greenfield South, which is common in many communities when generating facilities have been introduced. This happened, for example, when Toronto's Portlands Energy Centre, a 550 MW gas generating plant, was being planned and built. Portlands has now operated for several years without

complaint or concern expressed by the local community, and it has become a destination for school and other plant tours.

I trust you will find this information helpful, and thank you for writing.

Doug MacCallum
Manager
Energy Markets

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Sent: November-09-11 10:26 AM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Subject: 3 more
Attachments: GREENFILED SOUTH GENERATING STATION(1).pdf; GREENFILED SOUTH GENERATING STATION(2).pdf; GREENFILED SOUTH GENERATING STATION.PDF

Duplicate attachments removed

Ryan King | Sr. Advisor and Executive Assistant
Energy Supply, Transmission and Distribution Policy Division
Ministry of Energy | Tel: +1 416-314-6204

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: November-09-11 10:50 AM
To: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: URGENT - required by 10:45am

Thanks – I had to give Sylvia a hard mark up, so can't send my changes over. Apologies.

From: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 10:49 AM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: URGENT - required by 10:45am

Ok. Please disregard my edits in that area.

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 10:48 AM
To: King, Ryan (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: URGENT - required by 10:45am

Thanks, Ryan. I understand that relocation is still potentially on the table, even in the event of contract repudiation. Sylvia's original language is better at this point.

Carolyn

From: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 10:16 AM
To: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: URGENT - required by 10:45am

My suggested edits for LSB comment. I don't have all of the latest information but what I gather is that the discussions with the proponent about relocation were a non-starter hence the requirement to cancel the contract. If this is still the case then we should no longer be talking about relocating the plant but rather its cancellation and how this will be mitigated.

From: Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 10:03 AM
To: King, Ryan (ENERGY)
Subject: URGENT - required by 10:45am
Importance: High

Hi Ryan - CO has asked for some additional q's and a media protocol re greenfield repudiation. Attached and below. I've sent this to Carolyn as well.

Please feel free to add any q's missed/revise as necessary. Always appreciate your input.

Thank you. Need to send legal-approved to CO by 11am today.

KEY MESSAGES

- I understand that the OPA has had discussions with the proponent (Eastern Power) and has notified them that the OPA will not proceed with the contract.
- The OPA will continue the process for finding another site for the gas plant.
- The government will continue to ensure that the best interests of Ontario's communities and ratepayers remain the primary priority

(See media protocol on page 3)

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q. Who terminated the contract?

I understand the OPA has had discussions with the proponent (Eastern Power) and has notified them that the OPA will not proceed with the contract.

Q. Why? Were other solutions not viable?

Contract negotiations are commercially sensitive. These discussions are confidential. We are confident the OPA negotiated in the best interests of Ontarians.

Q. Did the OPA terminate the contract at the government's request?

No. The OPA, as the contract holder, has been in discussions with Eastern Power to resolve this matter in the best interests of Ontarians while honouring the conditions of the contract.

Q. Why wasn't the contract terminated sooner?

Discussions began as soon as they could between OPA and Eastern. This decision is the result of those discussions.

Q. If the OPA terminating the contract, how can you get the company (Eastern) to work with you to find another location?

The OPA is in discussion with the proponent.

Q. Will Eastern Power be the company to build the relocated plant? Do you have assurances from them on that?

The OPA is in discussion with Eastern Power.

Q. Will you put this back out to tender?

At this time, the OPA is in discussions with Eastern Power to find a satisfactory resolution.

Q. What is the process for finding another site?

The OPA considers available locations, local generation needs and transmission and distribution support. Once options are identified, the public will be consulted.

Construction

Q. Now that the OPA has terminated the contract, will work stop at the site?

My understanding is that the OPA has notified the proponent that it is not proceeding with the contract. The OPA continues to have discussions about stopping work at the site.

Q. Will legislation be required to stop construction?

Legislation is an option, however, the best option, and the one we are choosing at this time, is to have the OPA work with Eastern Power to find satisfactory resolution.

It is our expectation the OPA and Eastern Power will work together to find a satisfactory resolution to the site.

Q. Minister, your spokesperson said that legislation was not needed, is this true?

The best option, and the one we are choosing at this time, is to have the OPA work with Eastern Power to find satisfactory resolution to the site.

Contract Value

Q. Why should anyone want to contract with OPA or government after this?

The government and our agencies have long, successful track records for negotiating and fulfilling contracts in the best interest of Ontario taxpayers. Like any other business, energy partners work together to respond to changing conditions. Contracts are renegotiated or terminated on a small and large scale across businesses of all types.

Q. What's the status of negotiations with TransCanada?

OPA is in discussions with TransCanada. We do not have an update at this time.

Will the cost of these contract cancellations be made public knowledge at some time?

Our government is committed to conducting business in an open and transparent manner.

MEDIA PROTOCOL

Who Says What

Generally the Minister's Office responds to strategic questions and OPA responds to operational questions:

OPA (Colin Anderson or Kristen Jenkins)

- Status of contract negotiations, and process for finding another site
- History of Eastern site selection (required approvals, public consultation, etc).

Minister's Office (Minister or Erika Botond)

- Government's decision to relocate the plant
- Government's commitment to relocate the site.

Process

- The OPA immediately notifies the Ministry of Energy of any Greenfield-related media call (Communications Director, Media Manager and Spokesperson).
 - The Ministry immediately notifies Minister's Office, Deputy Minister's Office, Legal and Cabinet Office.
 - As appropriate, OPA or Ministry drafts response; the ministry secures approvals (Cabinet Office, DMO, Legal, Policy).
 - The Minister's Office confirms who takes the call.
-

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Sent: November-09-11 11:24 AM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Cc: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: Mississauga Correspondence

The file was too large to send. I am sending in several emails.

Hi Carolyn,

Here are the results of our correspondence search for the Mississauga Greenfield power plant.

The first six PDFs contain all that we received for that month together in one file. All together, these represent approximately 120 pieces of correspondence.

The other 24 PDFs are additional logged letters relating to the power plant.

We did not have time to be as thorough as we normally would be, so I apologize if there are some irrelevant files attached.

Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks,
Doreen



.Doreen...
Mississauga Correspondence...
Mississauga Correspondence...
Mississauga Correspondence...

Duplicate attachments removed

The file was too large to send. I am sending in several emails.



Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Sent: November-09-11 11:26 AM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Cc: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: Mississauga Correspondence

3rd Email

The file was too large to send. I am sending in several emails.

Hi Carolyn,

Here are the results of our correspondence search for the Mississauga Greenfield power plant.

The first six PDFs contain all that we received for that month together in one file. All together, these represent approximately 120 pieces of correspondence.

The other 24 PDFs are additional logged letters relating to the power plant.

We did not have time to be as thorough as we normally would be, so I apologize if there are some irrelevant files attached.

Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks,
Doreen



\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...



\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...



\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...



\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...\\NAK\2\00000-3128000.ppt...

Duplicate attachments removed



MAC: 20002 22226.pa...MAC: 20002 22226.pa...MAC: 20002 22226.pa...MAC: 20002 22226.pa...MAC: 20002 22226.pa...MAC: 20002 22226.pa...MAC: 20002 22226.pa...



MAC: 20006 22226.pa...MAC: 20006 22226.pa...MAC: 20006 22226.pa...

Duplicate attachments removed

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Sent: November-09-11 11:32 AM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Mississauga Correspondence

Hi Carolyn,

Thank you. Happy to help. Just want to confirm that you got three emails from me with PDF attachments. There should be 30 PDFs in all.

Doreen

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 11:25 AM
To: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Cc: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Mississauga Correspondence

I can't thank you enough for this help – and especially for doing it so quickly! I am grateful!

Carolyn

From: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 11:24 AM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Cc: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: Mississauga Correspondence

The file was too large to send. I am sending in several emails.

Hi Carolyn,

Here are the results of our correspondence search for the Mississauga Greenfield power plant.

The first six PDFs contain all that we received for that month together in one file. All together, these represent approximately 120 pieces of correspondence.

The other 24 PDFs are additional logged letters relating to the power plant.

We did not have time to be as thorough as we normally would be, so I apologize if there are some irrelevant files attached.

Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks,
Doreen

<< File: June - Mississauga Correspondence.pdf >> << File: August - Mississauga Correspondence.pdf >>
<< File: October - Mississauga Correspondence.pdf >>

Duplicate attachments removed

All 3 of these files appear to be the June letters. Would you please try the August and October files again?

From: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

Here are the results of our correspondence search for the Mississauga Greenfield power plant

The first six PDFs contain all that we received for that month together in one file. All together, these represent

The other 24 PDFs are additional logged letters relating to the power plant

We did not have time to be as thorough as we normally would be, so I apologize if there are some irrelevant files attached.

Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks



Cholesterol . . .

[REDACTED]

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: November-09-11 12:22 PM
To: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Mississauga Correspondence

I'm sorry to advise that these are also the same, June letters.

Carolyn

From: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 11:25 AM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Cc: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Mississauga Correspondence

2nd Email

The file was too large to send. I am sending in several emails.

Hi Carolyn,

Here are the results of our correspondence search for the Mississauga Greenfield power plant.

The first six PDFs contain all that we received for that month together in one file. All together, these represent approximately 120 pieces of correspondence.

The other 24 PDFs are additional logged letters relating to the power plant.

We did not have time to be as thorough as we normally would be, so I apologize if there are some irrelevant files attached.

Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks,
Doreen

<< File: July - Mississauga Correspondence.pdf >> << File: November - Mississauga Correspondence.pdf >>
>> << File: September - Mississauga Correspondence.pdf >>

Duplicate attachments removed

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: November-09-11 1:49 PM
To: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Mississauga Correspondence

Thank you – so sorry to get you at the doctor!

From: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 1:49 PM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY); Longkines, Minda (ENERGY)
Cc: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: Mississauga Correspondence

Sorry Carolyn. I'm at the doctor.

Minda -- There appears to be a problem with the scans you made this morning. I can't look at them here but apparently they all contain the June scans. Can you please check, fix and resend to Carolyn ASAP. And please respond to this email so I now you saw it. Thanks!

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
To: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Sent: Wed Nov 09 12:22:28 2011
Subject: RE: Mississauga Correspondence

I'm sorry to advise that these are also the same, June letters.

Carolyn

From: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 11:25 AM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Cc: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Mississauga Correspondence

2nd Email

The file was too large to send. I am sending in several emails.

Hi Carolyn,

Here are the results of our correspondence search for the Mississauga Greenfield power plant.

The first six PDFs contain all that we received for that month together in one file. All together, these represent approximately 120 pieces of correspondence.

The other 24 PDFs are additional logged letters relating to the power plant.

We did not have time to be as thorough as we normally would be, so I apologize if there are some irrelevant files attached.

Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks,

Doreen

<< File: July - Mississauga Correspondence.pdf >> << File: November - Mississauga Correspondence.pdf >> << File: September - Mississauga Correspondence.pdf >>

Duplicate attachments removed

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: November-09-11 2:14 PM
To: Kacaba, Jennifer (ENERGY)
Subject: As discussed
Attachments: Record of Public Comment Greenfield.doc

Docs on my desk to extent I have them.

This communication may be solicitor/client privileged and contain confidential information only intended for the person(s) to whom it is addressed. Any dissemination or use of this information by others than the intended recipient(s) is prohibited. If you have received this message in error please notify the writer and permanently delete the message and all attachments. Thank you.

Greenfield South - Public Documents

Policy Rationale:

The 280 MW gas-fired generation facility, under construction at 2315 Loreland Avenue, has received significant local opposition from citizens and local civic leaders from the time of the award of a Clean Energy Supply contract to Greenfield South Power Corporation in 2005 to date. The City of Mississauga has filed resolutions opposing the facility at its current location. In response to this opposition, the government asked the Ontario Power Authority to engage Greenfield South Power Corporation in finding an alternative location for the plant. These discussions, if unsuccessful, may necessitate more proscriptive measures to stop the plant going forward.

The following provides examples of the public comment of which the Ministry is aware on this matter.

Number	Title	Date	Brief Description	Policy Comments
Section A				
1	City of Mississauga Report MG.01.REP EC.14.LOR	January 26, 2006	Staff report to the Council of the City of Mississauga regarding Request for Individual Environmental Assessment Greenfield South Power Project Eastern Power Limited (Ward 1) recommending that the Commissioner of Transportation and Works be authorized to forward a letter to the Minister of the Environment request that the Minister review and vary a decision to deny the request for elevation of the project an individual Environmental Assessment	Documents the City staff's environmental concerns, including municipal water servicing, destruction of the natural environment and non-compliance with the City's Official Plan.
2	Correspondence	March 6, 2006	Correspondence from Markland Homes Association to the Minister of Energy regarding meeting with CHIP and expressing concern about the impact of the Greenfield South	

			Power Project on the community.	
3	Correspondence	February and March, 2006	Correspondence from an individual to the Minister of Energy regarding concern about the potential harm of a power plant close to residence.	
4	City of Mississauga Minutes of Session 18	October 24, 2007	Report of Closed Session discussion of OMB Decision on Appeals by Greenfield South Power Corporation resulting in a resolution that the City of Mississauga not continue with a Motion to the Divisional Court seeking leave to appeal the decision of the Ontario Municipal Board in the matter of certain appeals by Greenfield South Power Corporation.	
5	Letter requesting information	July 21, 2008	Letter from Mayor McCallion to Minister of Energy regarding the status of the Eastern Power project so that the citizens who strongly objected to the Eastern Power project may be informed.	
6	Letter	August 20, 2008	Letter from Minister of Energy and Infrastructure to Mayor McCallion regarding the status of power procurement activities in the southwest Greater Toronto Area and addressing environmental considerations related to Greenfield South.	
7	Correspondence	November 2, 2008	Correspondence from area resident to Minister of Energy and MPP Mississauga - South regarding Town Hall Meeting on 28 th October 2008 and expressing fear about power plants in neighbourhood.	
8	Miscellaneous Correspondence	Various, 2009	Correspondence from various individuals to the Minister of Energy and local MPP regarding concern for the Greenfield facility.	

9	Letter enclosing report	September 28, 2009	Letter from Mayor McCallion to Minister of Energy concluding there is no need for this plant based on enclosed report entitled Demand and Supply Considerations Oakville & Mississauga Areas dated September 17, 2009, providing assessment of OPA's load forecasting for the area designated Southwest GTA, an overview of the present and future loads.	
10	Why new natural gas power plants are a good fit for the GTA [NOT!]	April 25, 2010	Statement from Mississauga Residents Associations' Network (MIRANET) – Clean Air Subcommittee in response to Toronto Star Opinion Column.	Responds to TransCanada's proposal for gas plant in Oakville and expresses concern about natural gas plants generally.
11	Correspondence	May 5, 2010	Letters from Mayors McCallion, Burton and Trainer of Mississauga, Oakville and Haldimand respectively to the Minister of Energy expressing concern about fossil fuel generation in the Clarkson Airshed.	
12	Miscellaneous Correspondence	October 2010	Correspondence from various individuals to the Minister of Energy and local MPP regarding concern for the Greenfield facility.	
13	City of Mississauga Report EC.14.POW	February 8, 2011	Staff report to Planning and Development Committee regarding Proposed Review of the Land Use Planning Framework for Power Generation Facilities recommending that the Committee provide staff with direction on a preferred scope for a review of the land use planning framework for power generating facilities.	Notes that "the issue of power generating facilities and their appropriate location has been a recurrent issue for the last decade".
14	Miscellaneous Correspondence	June 2011	Correspondence from various individuals to the Premier, various Ministers and MPPs regarding concern for the Greenfield facility, with replies	

			where available.	
15	Resolution 0173-2011 of the Council of the City of Mississauga and related Minutes	June 22, 2011	Resolution by the Council of City of Mississauga to request from the Minister of the Environment a Full Environmental Assessment to be conducted on the Greenfield South proposal	Outlines Mississauga Council's concerns over location of the plant in a densely populated urban area and implications for emissions in the Etobicoke Lakeview airshed.
16	Request for Full Environmental Assessment from the City of Mississauga	June 24, 2011	Letter from Mayor McCallion to Minister of Energy regarding Mississauga Resolution 0173-2011 requesting a full Environmental Assessment following the Minister's statement that there would be a review of the Greenfield South power proposal for new environmental evidence	Outlines Mississauga Mayor's concerns over location of the plant and concern about health implications for residents of Mississauga.
17	Miscellaneous Correspondence	July 2011	Correspondence from various individuals to the Premier, various Ministers and MPPs regarding concern for the Greenfield facility, with replies where available.	
18	Recommendation GC- 0469-2011 of the Council of the City of Mississauga and related Minutes	July 6, 2011	Recommendation adopted by the Council of the City of Mississauga that endorses the report entitled " <i>Provincial Election 2011: Summary of Key Issues for the City of Mississauga</i> " and additional question for provincial political parties as follows: "would your party ensure a full Environmental assessment is conducted on the Greenfield South Power plant proposal" and "will you as a Provincial candidate oppose the construction of the Greenfield South power plant"	Demonstrates Mississauga Council's concern for the plant and its endorsement of questions to political parties in the context of a Provincial election as to how each party would address the plant.
19	Greenfield South Power Plant - Council Motion	July 8, 2011	Motion to the Council of the City of Mississauga requesting that Minister of Environment to conduct a Full Environmental	Demonstrates Mississauga Council's concern for the environmental implications

			Assessment and that the Minister of Energy conduct a full review to determine the necessity of manufacturing 280 MW in a densely populated urban area and consider alternatives to the proposal.	of the plant and its location in a densely populated urban area.
20	Miscellaneous Correspondence	August 2011	Correspondence from various individuals to the Premier, various Ministers and MPPs regarding concern for the Greenfield facility, with replies where available.	
21	Letter from the Ontario Liberal Party	August 19, 2011	Letter from Premier McGuinty to Mayor McCallion enclosing responses to the City of Mississauga's provincial election questionnaire.	Response acknowledges concerns of the community about the distance and height of buildings that have been constructed since the original approvals were given for the Greenfield South Power Plant.
22	Miscellaneous Correspondence	September 2011	Correspondence from various individuals to the Premier, various Ministers and MPPs regarding concern for the Greenfield facility, with replies where available.	
23	Miscellaneous Correspondence	October 2011	Correspondence from various individuals to the Premier, various Ministers and MPPs regarding concern for the Greenfield facility, with replies where available.	
24	Statement from Charles Sousa MPP	October 25, 2011	The government remains committed to the relocation of the plant, as well as developing more rigorous controls for location choices in future projects.	Demonstrates opposition from local MPPs
25	Miscellaneous Correspondence	November 2011	Correspondence from various individuals to the Premier, various Ministers and MPPs regarding concern for the Greenfield facility, with replies where available.	

26	Petition	To November 7, 2011	Petition to STOP the Sherway Power Plant in Mississauga/Etobicoke as the plant is near a waterway and a hospital and will result in damage to people, the environment and wildlife	Received 2,187 signatures to November 7, 2011
27		Greenfield South Power Corporation - Detailed Chronology	Undated	Chronology of events leading up to the approval and construction of the Greenfield South plant, beginning with the OPA's RFO for 'Clean Energy Supply' in September 2004, prepared by staff of the City of Mississauga. Includes history of zoning by-law appeals to the OMB
Section B				
1	Eastern Power Update - Ward 1 Councillor's Report	Spring/Summer 2006	Reports on site plan approval and release of the holding provision to permit construction of a 280 MW power generating facility.	Demonstrates community interest in project at early stages.
2	Proposed plant could be online by 2012	March 13, 2009	Reports of Ontario Municipal Board hearing at which the City of Mississauga provided evidence that it made changes to its by-laws as ordered by the OMB in 2007.	Documents residents' concerns about lack of public input upon award of contract. Quotes Sherway Homeowners and Recreational Association and Concerned Homeowners for Intelligent Power, which is characterized as a consortium of 8 ratepayers groups.

3	Permit issued for power plant – Mississauga.com	June 1, 2011	Report of building permit issued for construction of the 280 MW power plant and concerns of the Coalition of Homeowners for Intelligent Power and the Mississauga Residents' Associations Network related to its location near homes, Trillium Health Centre West Toronto and Etobicoke Creek.	Documents concerns of Coalition of Homeowners for Intelligent Power and the Mississauga Residents' Associations Network.
4	Power plant becomes election issue - Toronto Star	June 12, 2011	Following the issuing of the building permit for the plant, which despite the OMB ruling in 2007 was not issued until 2011, residents groups opposing the project vowed to make the plant an election issue in the Provincial election. Former Energy Minister and Etobicoke MPP Donna Cansfield announced her intention to fight the project, stating "this plant should not be built while there is any question as to its safety or necessity."	Documents concerns of the Markland Wood Homeowners Association, representing 1,300 Etobicoke homes.
5	MOE to review power plant – Inside Toronto	June 15, 2011	Report of Minister of Environment John Wilkinson's statement that the plans for the power plant would be reviewed in light of recent construction of a new set of condominium towers near the site.	Documents concerns of the Coalition Homeowners for Intelligent Power, which has opposed the plant since 2005.
6	Greenfield South Power Plant – Mississauga.com	July 8, 2011	Open letter from Mayor Hazel McCallion and Councillor Jim Tovey detailing the history of the project and stating that the City had run out of options with regard to opposing the proposal once the OMB decision amended the City's Official Plan and Zoning By-law. The Chief Building official was bound to issue a building permit once the project complied with required laws.	Documents City of Mississauga's attempt to block the plant through an Ontario Municipal Board hearing.
7	Calm crowd attended power plant	August 12, 2011	Letter to the Editor providing an account of a meeting about the future power plant,	Indicates extent of public interest in the gas plant at

	meeting – Inside Toronto		characterizing the meeting as “grass roots democracy in action”.	public meetings.
8	Group holds power plant rally – Mississauga.com	August 23, 2011	Provides notice of a rally to be held on September 15, 2011 for citizens concerned about the power plant.	Rally organized by Coalition of Homeowners for Intelligent Power, apparently representing 14,000 homes, with participation from the Mississauga Residents' Associations Network.
9	Residents ramp up power plant fight – Inside Toronto	Sept 16, 2011	Reports that hundreds of residents protested near the plant's location. Discontent has grown since the construction on the site began despite the Environment Minister's pledge to review the approval of the plant obtained in 2008. The purpose of the protest was to urge the Premier to cancel the project.	Rally organizers called for residents to make the power plant an issue in the provincial election.
10	Power plant review ongoing – Inside Toronto	Sept 19, 2011	Ongoing environmental review not complete, but residents asking that the review be completed before the Provincial election. Ministry of Environment spokesperson said that it was too early to speculate on when the review will be complete, what the outcome will be, and whether cancellation of the project is an option.	Documents residents' demand for a response prior to October 6 th .
11	Politicians should stop playing with Ontario's electricity –	Sept 29, 2011	Opinion piece by Tom Adams regarding the announcement from the Liberals that if elected, the Greenfield Plant would be relocated. Criticises the announcement as a political move to secure votes, with a lack of information provided regarding costs of the cancellation, including replacement generation. Also criticises the energy-related campaign promises of other political parties. With all 3	Documents concurrence of provincial political parties on cancelling the plant.

			parties now in opposition to the plant, there is no debate on the wider issues associated with the cancellation.	
12	Construction continues at Mississauga power plant – National Post	Oct 24, 2011	One month after the Liberals decided to halt the Greenfield project, construction is still ongoing. A generator was seen being delivered to the site. Spokesperson for the Ministry stated that there hasn't been time to work out the details of the cancellation yet, but that the government is committed to relocating the plant. Critics remain sceptical that the promise to relocate the plant was genuine.	Demonstrates ongoing community concern about the plant.
13	New Generator Unplugged for Power Plant – Toronto Sun	Oct 24, 2011	Article with criticism from both PC and NDP leaders on the continued construction of the plant. Both party leaders state that the longer construction continues, the greater the cost will be to tax payers if and when the plant is relocated.	Documents concurrence of provincial political parties on cancelling the plant. construction.
14	Liberals in talks to move plant – Mississauga.com	Oct 26, 2011	Ministry of Energy spokesman stated that discussions to relocate the plant are taking place, and that no legislation will be required to halt construction of the plant. Criticism by the opposition and residents persists as construction on the plant continues.	Refers to Applewood Acres Homeowners Association.
15	Miscellaneous	Between February 2, 2006 and November 2, 2011	Reports from miscellaneous sources related to the Greenfield South power plant.	
Section C				
1	Bill 8	March	Bill 8, The Separation Distances For Natural Gas Power Plants Act, 2010, a Private Members Bill	

	Hansard	March 22, 2010		
	Correspondence	April 23, 2010	Correspondence from an individual to the Premier, the Minister of Energy, the Minister of Environment and MPP in support of Bill 8.	
	Correspondence	March 24, 2011		

DRAFT

March 6, 2006 Letter from the Markland Homes Association regarding meeting with Minister of Energy

March 20, 2006 Email from Brian Moore to Minister of Energy expressing genuine worry about the effects of having a plant built close to his home.

November 2, 2008

DRAFT

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: November-09-11 2:16 PM
To: Petersen, Shannon (ENERGY); Kacaba, Jennifer (ENERGY)
Cc: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY); Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: Mississauga Correspondence

When I open these, they all seem to be the same letters. Please sort this out. I may be unavailable for the rest of the aft.
Apologies. 1st of 2 emails.

From: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 11:25 AM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Cc: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Mississauga Correspondence

2nd Email

The file was too large to send. I am sending in several emails.

Hi Carolyn,

Here are the results of our correspondence search for the Mississauga Greenfield power plant.

The first six PDFs contain all that we received for that month together in one file. All together, these represent approximately 120 pieces of correspondence.

The other 24 PDFs are additional logged letters relating to the power plant.

We did not have time to be as thorough as we normally would be, so I apologize if there are some irrelevant files attached.

Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks,
Doreen



Only... Mississauga... Release under... Separate under...
© Some people... Mississauga © Some... Mississauga © Some...

Duplicate attachments removed

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Sent: November-09-11 2:16 PM
To: Petersen, Shannon (ENERGY); Kacaba, Jennifer (ENERGY)
Cc: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY); Perun, Halyna N. (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: Mississauga Correspondence

2nd of 2 emails.

From: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 11:24 AM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Cc: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: Mississauga Correspondence

The file was too large to send. I am sending in several emails.

Hi Carolyn,

Here are the results of our correspondence search for the Mississauga Greenfield power plant.

The first six PDFs contain all that we received for that month together in one file. All together, these represent approximately 120 pieces of correspondence.

The other 24 PDFs are additional logged letters relating to the power plant.

We did not have time to be as thorough as we normally would be, so I apologize if there are some irrelevant files attached

Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks,
Doreen



Unmet ...
Mississauga ...
Unmet ...
Mississauga ...
Unmet ...
Mississauga ...

Duplicate attachments removed

Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY)

From: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Sent: November-09-11 2:59 PM
To: Kacaba, Jennifer (ENERGY)
Cc: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: Mississauga Correspondence
Attachments: June - Mississauga Correspondence.pdf; July - Mississauga Correspondence.pdf; August - Mississauga Correspondence.pdf; September - Mississauga Correspondence.pdf; October - Mississauga Correspondence.pdf; November - Mississauga Correspondence.pdf

Duplicate attachment removed (June - Mississauga Correspondence.pdf)

Hi Jennifer,

Just tried to call you. All of these files are different. I've tried opening them and several other people here have tried opening them. Please let me know if there's still a problem.

From: Longkines, Minda (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 1:58 PM
To: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Cc: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Mississauga Correspondence

Please find attached 6 PDFs re: Mississauga Correspondence by month.

I will send in another e-mail the 24 PDFs the additional logged letters relating to the power plant.

Thank you.

From: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 1:49 PM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY); Longkines, Minda (ENERGY)
Cc: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: Mississauga Correspondence

Sorry Carolyn. I'm at the doctor.

Minda -- There appears to be a problem with the scans you made this morning. I can't look at them here but apparently they all contain the June scans. Can you please check, fix and resend to Carolyn ASAP. And please respond to this email so I now you saw it. Thanks!

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
To: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Sent: Wed Nov 09 12:22:28 2011
Subject: RE: Mississauga Correspondence

I'm sorry to advise that these are also the same, June letters.

Carolyn

From: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Sent: November 9, 2011 11:25 AM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY)
Cc: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY); Kovesfalvi, Sylvia (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Mississauga Correspondence

2nd Email

The file was too large to send. I am sending in several emails.

Hi Carolyn,

Here are the results of our correspondence search for the Mississauga Greenfield power plant.

The first six PDFs contain all that we received for that month together in one file. All together, these represent approximately 120 pieces of correspondence.

The other 24 PDFs are additional logged letters relating to the power plant.

We did not have time to be as thorough as we normally would be, so I apologize if there are some irrelevant files attached.

Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks,

Doreen

<< File: July - Mississauga Correspondence.pdf >> << File: November - Mississauga Correspondence.pdf >> << File: September - Mississauga Correspondence.pdf >>

Gibbs, Diana (ENERGY)

From: delaidman@rogers.com
Sent: July 2, 2011 8:21 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Proposed Gas Fired Power Plant

I respectfully request that you immediately direct the appropriate bodies of your government to initiate a re-evaluation of the construction of the Gas Fired Power Plant presently proposed for Mississauga/Etobicoke. This plant is within one kilometer of many homes and its smoke can be blown over great distances of densely inhabited GTA communities especially to the east and south-east.

It is stated that the exhaust will contain fine particulates that can not be filtered by our bodies and therefore the health of the populations in the surrounding areas will be compromised.

Your government's decision to close the Oakville Generating Station and yet proceed with development of this Plant is very perplexing since similar problems apply to a much more densely populated area in the GTA.

Yours truly,
Donald L. Laidman
Elaine Laidman
416-621-6725
delaidman@rogers.com

from: Elaine Laidman
street: 5 Clearside Place
city: Etobicoke
province: ON
postcode: M9C 2G5
phone_ac: 416
phone_2: 621
phone_3: 6725

MC-2011-2845

Gibbs, Diana (ENERGY)

⊖FYI

From: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY)
Sent: July 4, 2011 11:41 AM
To: Gibbs, Diana (ENERGY); Renwick, Meredith (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: CSU 932503 - MR due July 25/11
Attachments: 1-10713442-INCOMING.doc;
COCORR_n10713801_v1_Reply_Document_for_Case__932503.doc

RC: Premier forwards
concerns about
Mississippi gas plant.
S: GTA New Supply
xref MC-2011-2796

For coding

From: Smith, Margaret (CAB)
Sent: July 4, 2011 11:37 AM
To: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY); Longkines, Minda (ENERGY)
Subject: CSU 932503 - MR due July 25/11

07/04/2011

July 4, 2011

bwalchuk@rogers.com

This e-mail account is not monitored. Please do not reply directly to this e-mail.
For further inquiries, direct your online message through
<http://www.premier.gov.on.ca/feedback/default.asp>.

Thanks for your online message about a power plant in your community. I value your views and am grateful to you for sharing them.

I appreciate the issue you raised. As it would best be addressed by my colleague the Honourable Brad Duguid, Minister of Energy, I've asked that the minister or a member of his staff respond to you directly.

Thanks again for contacting me. Please accept my best wishes.

Dalton McGuinty
Premier of Ontario

c: The Honourable Brad Duguid

Confidentiality Warning: This e-mail contains information intended only for the use of the individual named above. If you have received this e-mail in error, we would appreciate it if you could advise us through the Premier's website at <http://www.premier.gov.on.ca/feedback/default.asp> and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

feedbackid = 215205
sendto = CSU <incomingcsu@cab.gov.on.ca>
sendfrom = dmcguinty@premier.gov.on.ca
sendreply =
senderip = 173.34.126.205
senddate = 06/28/2011
preferredlanguage = English
requesturl =
<https://correspondence.premier.gov.on.ca/en/feedback/submitAddress.aspx?FeedbackID=215205&SID=2125364608>
senderPreFix = Mr.
sendername = Mr. Barry Walchuk
senderFirstName = Barry
senderLastName = Walchuk
senderaddress = 182 Markland Drive
sendercity = Etobicoke
senderpostalcode = M9C 1P7
senderprovince = Ontario
senderemail = bwalchuk@rogers.com
sendermessage = I wish to express my strong opposition to this power project and would request your action to fight against it. The residents of the area surrounding this project and those of Markland Woods in particular are being put upon again (we already have the noise and air pollution of an unwanted, expanded GTAA airport to contend with) especially when there is no need for this power plant. Furthermore to use Natural gas in this manner is a terrible waste and almost criminal act. The price of home heating will soar as suppliers cry shortage and they can not keep up with demand.

The favour of your reply and position to this matter is requested.
lastmodifieddate =

MC-2011-2844

Gibbs, Diana (ENERGY)

⊖ FYI

From: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY)
Sent: July 4, 2011 11:39 AM
To: Renwick, Meredith (ENERGY); Gibbs, Diana (ENERGY)
Subject: Fw: CSU 930893 - Mr due July 25/11

PC: Premier forwards
concerns about
Mississauga gas
plant.

Attachments: 1-10707823-INCOMING.doc; COCORR_n10714246_v1_Reply_Document_for_Case__
930893.doc

s: GTA News Supply

x-ref MC-2011-2796



1-10707823-INCOM
ING.doc (5 KB)...

For coding

----- Original Message -----

From: Smith, Margaret (CAB)
To: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY); Longkines, Minda (ENERGY)
Sent: Mon Jul 04 11:31:50 2011
Subject: CSU 930893 - Mr due July 25/11



COCORR_n107142
16_v1_Reply_Docu..

July 4, 2011

franco.noce@rogers.com

This e-mail account is not monitored. Please do not reply directly to this e-mail.
For further inquiries, direct your online message through
<http://www.premier.gov.on.ca/feedback/default.asp>.

Thanks for your online message regarding a power plant in your community. Your concerns are important to me.

I appreciate the issue you raised. As it would best be addressed by my colleague the Honourable Brad Duguid, Minister of Energy, I've asked that the minister or a member of his staff respond to you directly.

Thanks again for contacting me. Please accept my best wishes.

Dalton McGuinty
Premier of Ontario

c: The Honourable Brad Duguid

Confidentiality Warning: This e-mail contains information intended only for the use of the individual named above. If you have received this e-mail in error, we would appreciate it if you could advise us through the Premier's website at <http://www.premier.gov.on.ca/feedback/default.asp> and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

feedbackid = 214158
sendto = CSU <incomingcsu@cab.gov.on.ca>
sendfrom = dmccguinty@premier.gov.on.ca
sendreply =
senderip = 99.232.222.73
senddate = 06/12/2011
preferredlanguage = English
requesturl =
<https://correspondence.premier.gov.on.ca/en/feedback/submitAddress.aspx?FeedbackID=214158&SID=2115024436>
senderPreFix = Mr.
sendername = Mr. Franco Noce
senderFirstName = Franco
senderLastName = Noce
senderaddress = 147 Treeview Drive
sendercity = Toronto
senderpostalcode = M8W 4C4
senderprovince = Ontario
senderemail = franco.noce@rogers.com
sendermessage = Dear Mr McGuinty,

Please read the article below.

Your response was requested by the reporter. Please respond as I really want to hear your take on the matter that is of great concern to my neighbours and my family.

I appreciate your time.

Thank you.

<http://www.thestar.com/news/article/1007243--power-plant-becomes-election-issue>
lastmodifieddate =

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: jfarrell@oceanland.net
Sent: July 4, 2011 11:36 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Queensway Gas Fired Power plant

Please stop the Queensway Gas Fired Power plant. We are long time residents of Markland Wood and do not want to see our neighbourhood affected by this site-for our sake and our children's sake.

from: Joanne Farrell
street: 2 The Green Pines
city: Etobicoke
province: ON
postcode: M9C 2K5
phone_ac:
phone_2:
phone_3:

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: Jameslobrien@rogers.com
Sent: July 4, 2011 9:38 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Please help stop the Queensway Gas Fired Plant

Mr Duguid,

I am sending this email as a Markland Wood resident asking you to help stop the construction of the Queensway Gas Fired Power Plant. All indications point to the fact that this plant's location is a threat to our health and environment. Many experts have indicated that this plant should not be built in this area.

It is on the banks of a conservation area and is upwind from the largest population centre and the emissions of this plant will fall mostly over Etobicoke. A similar project in Oakville was cancelled yet this project is for now still going forward. The Energy Minister stated that changes in the province's electricity plan made it clear that this proposed natural gas plant was no longer needed yet this initiative has yet to be cancelled.

Markland Wood is a vibrant community with many families and young children. This is not the place to have this plant and I urge you to stop this from happening.

I appreciate your attention to this matter.

The O'Brien Family
from: O'Brien Family
street: 12 Mapledawn Road
city: Toronto
province: ON
postcode: M9C2V9
phone_ac: 416
phone_2: 626
phone_3: 9005

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: koakley28@hotmail.com
Sent: July 7, 2011 5:03 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: queensway natural gas fired power plant

Hello MHA President,

We have sold one of our houses and getting ready to sell another to move to the Markland wood neighbourhood.

Before we do we want to find out more about the energy company that has recently received a permit to build nearby and what we can do to stop it. I believe the Oakville residents have dealt with a similar issue a couple of years ago and ended up bringing in the very popular Erin Brockovich to help stop this project in it's tracks.

Any info and advice would be appreciated so that we can decide on where to start our family. Currently we reside in Richmond Hill and to move back into a heavily land taxed City, would only mean a move to Markland wood,period!

Sincerely,
Keith Oakley

from: Keith
street: 38 mistleflower court
city: richmond hill
province: ON
postcode: l4e3t9
phone_ac: 416
phone_2: 877
phone_3: 2805

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY)
Sent: July 8, 2011 12:11 PM
To: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: CSU 933174 - FYI

Attachments: 1-10715863-
FW_GREENFIELD_SOUTH_POWER_PLANT____REVIEW____WHAT_REVIEW____.msg;
COCORR_n10716878_v1_Reply_Document_for_Case__933174.doc

For coding

From: Smith, Margaret (CAB)
Sent: July 8, 2011 11:54 AM
To: Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY); Longkines, Minda (ENERGY)
Subject: CSU 933174 - FYI

⊖ FYI

R. Premier forwards, for
information, concerns about
Mississauga gas power

plant.

S: GTA New Supply

X-ref. MC-2011-2796.

07/08/2011

July 8, 2011

ir37@rogers.com

This e-mail account is not monitored. Please do not reply directly to this e-mail.
For further inquiries, direct your online message through
<http://www.premier.gov.on.ca/feedback/default.asp>.

Thank you for your further online message about Greenfield South Generating Station.
Your views are important to me.

As the Honourable Brad Duguid, Minister of Energy, would also be interested in this issue, I've passed along a copy of your message to him for his information. I trust that he will also give your views his careful consideration.

Thank you again for contacting me.

Dalton McGuinty
Premier of Ontario

c: The Honourable Brad Duguid

Confidentiality Warning: This e-mail contains information intended only for the use of the individual named above. If you have received this e-mail in error, we would appreciate it if you could advise us through the Premier's website at <http://www.premier.gov.on.ca/feedback/default.asp> and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: McGuinty_Dalton-MPP-CO
Sent: July 6, 2011 10:03 AM
To: dmcguinty@premier.gov.on.ca
Subject: FW: GREENFIELD SOUTH POWER PLANT REVIEW/ WHAT REVIEW///
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Green

From: Irene Wojcik Gabon [mailto:ir37@rogers.com]
Sent: July 5, 2011 11:26 PM
To: McGuinty_Dalton-MPP-CO; Hazel McCallion; Charles SOUSA (min)
Cc: Irene Gabon
Subject: Fw: GREENFIELD SOUTH POWER PLANT REVIEW/ WHAT REVIEW///

Good evening Premieri McGuinty

This excerpt from City of Mississauga Properties indicates that the Greenfield South Power Plant is well underway with the applications of more building permits. . The public has yet to hear from Ministers John Wilkinson and Brad Duguid about the "Review" of this Project. Every media report we read is vague and varies from one newspaper to another. Just what is this government prepared to do with regard to how much energy is really needed and what is the process of the "Review" a word we have heard so often in the past three weeks really mean? Ontarians here in Mississauga, and Etobicoke have a right to know just what your government is planning to do, if anything.

Mrs. Irene Gabon
1569 Sunnycove Drive
Mississauga, ON
L4X1B4
905 270 3494
ir37@rogers.com

----- Original Message -----

From: Irene Wojcik Gabon
To: O'Toole, Megan (National Post)
Cc: Irene Gabon
Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2011 10:38 PM
Subject: GREENFIELD SOUTH POWER PLANT REVIEW/ WHAT REVIEW///

Address: 2315 LORELAND AVE
Legal Description: CON 1 SDS PT L 2, 3, 43R7398 PT 1 LESS 43R24263 PT 1
Roll Number: 21-05-070-054-10901-0000

Building Permits

4 Permit(s) found Page: of 1

▫ App Number ▫ Address ▫ Scope ▫ Issue Date

07/08/2011

App Date	Description	Type Description	Status
BP 3NEW 11 5409 2011-07-04	2315 LORELAND AVE Unit - PHASE-2 NEW - (1) COMPLESSOR BLD, (2) TRANSFORMER ENCLOSURE & 1-CONC-PAD, GREENFIELD SOUTH POWER PLANT	NEW BUILDING SINGLE TENANT INDUSTRIAL	APPLICATION IN PROCESS
DRAIN 5 7583 R1 2009-11-12	2315 LORELAND AVE REVISED SITE SERVICING	REVISIONS SINGLE TENANT INDUSTRIAL	2009-12-04 ISSUED PERMIT
DRAIN 5 7583 SS 2009-03-17	2315 LORELAND AVE SITE SERVICING	OTHER INDUSTRIAL - OTHER	2009-05-28 ISSUED PERMIT
BP 3NEW 5 7583 2005-09-09	2315 LORELAND AVE NEW - POWER GENERATING PLANT, GREENFIELD SOUTH POWER CORP	NEW BUILDING SINGLE TENANT INDUSTRIAL	2011-05-30 ISSUED PERMIT

4 Permit(s) found Page: 1 of 1

ecityapp1:16350

07/08/2011



FIBA CANNING INC
2651 Markham Road, Toronto, ON. M1X 1M4
P: 416-299-1142 F: 416-299-0349
WWW.FIBACANNING.COM

MC-2011-2882

C: RT

⊖ FYI

PL FIBA Canning Inc.
President copies Minister on
letter to Premier upset about
Eastern Power given contract
for Mississauga gas plant
S: GTA New Supply

July 4, 2011

Dalton McGuinty
Premier of Ontario
Legislative Building
Queen's Park
Toronto, ON
M7A 1A1

RE: Eastern Power contract with OPG in Mississauga

Dear Premier McGuinty,

The award of a contract by metro Toronto to the above company to utilize its landfill gas for power generation has cost the tax payers of Metro Toronto millions of dollars in lost revenue. All orchestrated by the former Etobicoke Councilor Dick O'Brien.

In light of the above, why would OPG give a contract to this company to supply power to OPG from a plant to be built in Mississauga, when they did not have the resources to do so? The same as they did not have with the Metro contract.

The "quietly issued building permits" fits perfectly with Eastern Power's past performance regarding the co-operation they receive from Municipal staff.

Everything is in place now to shakedown Ontario's tax payers this time, in order for Eastern Power to receive at least one third of what Trans Canada Pipelines will received for the Oakville plant cancellation.

Yours Truly,

FIBA Canning Inc.
Hugh J Canning
President

CC:

Mayor Hazel McCallion, Mississauga, Ont.
Honourable Donna Cansfield, Minister of Energy
Laurel Broten, MPP for Etobicoke-Lakeshore





OFFICE OF THE MAYOR

MC-2011-2876

① MC-DG

Re. Mississauga Mayor
Hazel McCallion writes
to Minister asking for
full review of the necessity
of gas plant in Mississ-
auga instead of Nanticoke
S: GTA New Supply

June 24, 2011

The Honourable Brad Duguid
Minister of Energy
900 Bay Street
4th Floor
Hearst Block
Toronto, Ontario
M7A 2E1

Dear Mr. Minister:

Re: Request for Full Environmental Assessment - Greenfield South proposal taking into effect the cumulative impacts of all emissions within the Etobicoke Lakeview airshed

The Council of the Corporation of the City of Mississauga at its meeting on June 22, 2011, adopted the enclosed Resolution 0173-2011 requesting a full environmental assessment of the Greenfield South proposal taking into effect the cumulative impacts of all emissions within the Etobicoke Lakeview airshed, and a full review to determine the necessity of manufacturing 280 MW in a densely populated urban area as opposed to the Nanticoke transmission option where there is a three kilometre buffer zone.

This Resolution is in response to your statement that you will be reviewing the Greenfield South power proposal for new environmental evidence. We are requesting that you do your due diligence and consider the health of our residents first and foremost. I can assure you that this is a necessary step to protecting the health of the residents of Mississauga and beyond.




THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF MISSISSAUGA
300 CITY CENTRE DRIVE, MISSISSAUGA, ON L5B 3C1
TEL: 905-896-5555 FAX: 905-896-5879
mayor@mississauga.ca



On behalf of Mississauga Council, I urge you to take the necessary steps to consider the cumulative effects of emissions.

I look forward to your favourable reply.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Hazel McCallion', written over a horizontal line.

HAZEL McCALLION, C.M., LL.D.
MAYOR

cc: Mississauga MPPs
Chairman Emil Kolb, Regional Municipality of Peel
Chairman Gary Carr, Regional Municipality of Halton
Members of Council
Chief Medical Officer, Region of Peel
Town Clerk, Town of Oakville
City Clerk, City of Toronto
Municipal Services Office, Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, Central Region
Paul Mitcham, Commissioner, Community Services
Mary Ellen Bench, City Solicitor

Enc.



RESOLUTION 0173-2011
adopted by the Council of
The Corporation of the City of Mississauga
at its meeting on June 22, 2011

0173-2011 Moved by: Jim Tovey

Seconded by: Chris Fonseca

Whereas the Minister of the Environment has stated that his ministry will be reviewing the Greenfield South power proposal for new environmental evidence, And

Whereas the Ontario Municipal Board in their 2006 case, Greenfield vs. Mississauga, did not consider any environmental issues or concerns, And

Whereas Dr. Basillies report on the Clarkson airshed identified a stressed airshed and the need to consider accumulated emissions and their impacts on health in an environmental assessment, And

Whereas the Minister of the Environment has agreed with the recommendations in the report, on the need to consider cumulative emissions in the siting of power plants, And

Whereas the Etobicoke, Lakeview airshed has never had the benefit of such a detailed airshed, pollutant and particulate modeling program, And

Whereas the Premier was quoted this week as recommending that the Minister of Energy review the Greenfield South project to determine if the 280 MW is even required.

Therefore be it Resolved that the City of Mississauga request from the Minister of the Environment a Full Environmental Assessment to be conducted on the Greenfield South proposal taking into effect the cumulative impacts of all emissions within the Etobicoke Lakeview airshed.

And be it further Resolved that the City of Mississauga request the Minister of Energy to conduct a full review to determine the necessity of manufacturing 280 MW in a densely populated urban area as opposed to the Nanticoke transmission option where there is a three kilometre buffer zone.

Carried

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: cchin@rogers.com
Sent: July 11, 2011 9:20 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: STOP the Queensway Power Plant

My family moved to Markland Wood last year. I am troubled by the fact that a power plant will be developed near our neighbourhood. I'm sure you know that the health of everyone living here will be affected, particularly my two young kids.

PLEASE help to STOP the QUEENSWAY (Mississauga/Etobicoke) Power Plant.

Please make us proud of your work!

Regards

Ms. Chin

from: Cindy
street: 22 Boxbury Road
city: Etobicoke
province: ON
postcode: M9C 2W2
phone_ac: 416
phone_2: 626
phone_3: 7337

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: Longkines, Minda (ENERGY)
Sent: July 12, 2011 11:11 AM
To: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: CSU 933565- FYI
Attachments: 1-10717267-INCOMING.tif; Document2.doc

From: Smith, Margaret (CAB)
Sent: July 12, 2011 10:51 AM
To: John, JessyMary (ENE); Lindsay, Ken (ENERGY); Longkines, Minda (ENERGY)
Subject: CSU 933565- FYI

INFORMATION COPY

July 12, 2011

Ms. Chris Fonseca
Councillor, Ward 3
City of Mississauga
300 City Centre Drive
Mississauga, Ontario
L5B 3C1

Dear Councillor Fonseca:

Thank you for your further correspondence and attached petition regarding the Greenfield South Power Plant. I appreciate your sharing your constituents' concerns.

I note that you have also sent a copy of your correspondence to my colleagues the Honourable Brad Duguid, Minister of Energy, and the Honourable John Wilkinson, Minister of the Environment. I trust that the ministers will also give your constituents' views their careful consideration.

Once again, thank you for taking the time to share your community's concerns. Please accept my best wishes.

Yours truly,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY PREMIER July 12, 2011
--

Dalton McGuinty
Premier

c: The Honourable Brad Duguid
The Honourable John Wilkinson

Linda Downie

From: Chris Fonseca
Sent: July 5, 2011 10:44 AM
To: 'dmcguinty.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org'; 'bduguid.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org';
'rbartolucci.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org'; 'jwilkinson.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org'; MC
Subject: Greenfield South Power Plant - Mississauga
Attachments: CCE05072011_00000.pdf

Further to my letter dated June 20, 2011, please find attached a Petition from area residents opposed to the Greenfield South Power Plant, the original of which will be sent by regular mail.

Respectfully,
Chris Fonseca
Councillor, Ward 3 Mississauga
300 City Centre Drive
Mississauga, Ontario L5B 3C1
905-896-5300



Chris Fonseca
Councillor, Ward 3
905-896-5300

City of Mississauga
300 City Centre Drive
MISSISSAUGA ON L5B 3C1
FAX: 905-896-5463

"Stop the Mississauga Power Plant"

We the residents of Mississauga do not
want the proposed Power Plant, Stop
This Now!

	<u>NAMES</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>	<u>SIGNATURES</u>
①	GENO MOLINARO	3131 FAIRFOX CRES MISS. L4X2V4	G. Molinaro
②	FRANCES MOLINARO	3131 FAIRFOX CRES MISS. L4X2V4	Frances Molinaro
③	ALFRED MOLINARO	3131 FAIRFOX CRES MISS L4X2V4	Alfred Molinaro
④	COSTANTINA MOLINARO	3131 FAIRFOX CRES MISS L4X2V4	COSTANTINA MOLINARO.
⑤	CESARE ACETO	3127 FAIRFOX CRES MISS L4X2V4	Cesare Aceto
⑥	YOLANDA ACETO	3127 Fairfax - Yolanda Aceto MISS L4X2V4	Yolanda Aceto
⑦	PINA ACETO	3127 Fairfax CRES MISS. L4X2V4	Pina Aceto

CSU

Correspondence Services Unit
Cabinet Office

ATTACHMENT

NOT

SCANNED

Comment :

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: matheusaleh@yahoo.com
Sent: July 15, 2011 3:00 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Mississauga Proposed Power Plant

Good-Day,

There is a travesty in the plans for South Etobicoke. Mississauga has approved a power plant that sends its effluent plume over south Etobicoke.

This is the same energy plant which was kicked out of Oakville, due to poor air quality concerns. Now Etobicoke has more than three times the population of Oakville, so it is obvious that this three times bigger problem for South Etobicoke.

My first question is what action have you taken to prevent this from happening?. Why have you not communicated on this travesty? This is a critical moment, and one in which you will either shine or fail completely and utterly in. If your are concerned about children living in the South Etobicoke area and seniors you will take immediate action to prevent this. Do not delay or shrink back from taking action on such a critical issue.

Let is know what your are doing to improve the air quality in South Etobicoke, urgently and what steps you have taken to have this power plant kicked out.

Regards,
Robert Shaw
85 Fifth Street,
New Toronto.

from: Robert Shaw

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: esergo@pathcom.com
Sent: July 15, 2011 4:30 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Greenfield South Power

I am extremely disappointed in the decision to erect Greenfield South mixed use power plant. Considering the smog already in the area and the health concerns as a result of such I find it nerve racking to find out the plant is a go. Even though gas fired plants produce less harmful pollutants for the environment than coal, it's still overwhelming an already taxed air shed. It's completely irresponsible to have this built in this location period! I have two young children and I don't want to expose them to more pollution than they already are it is a threat to our environment and our health! Stop the Greenfield South Power Station!

from: Elvis Sergo

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: renato.zinga@bell.net
Sent: July 18, 2011 8:52 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: gas fired plant in Etobicoke

I am totally against, please stop it.
from: Renato Zinga

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: Skubik_Elizabeth [eskubik@liberal.ola.org]
Sent: July 21, 2011 11:56 AM
To: 'write2us@vsd43.korax.net'; Peters, Barry (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: Greenfield South Power Plant

From: PETER IMESON [mailto:jazznblues1@sympatico.ca]
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2011 9:22 AM
To: Duguid_Brad-MPP
Subject: Greenfield South Power Plant

I do not want any power plant built at this location. It is too close to Trillium Hospital, residential neighbourhoods (including mine) and will completely ruin the nearby green spaces and creek. Please find an alternate location.

Yours truly, Peter Imeson (Etobicoke resident)

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: Skubik_Elizabeth [eskubik@liberal.ola.org]
Sent: July 21, 2011 11:57 AM
To: 'write2us@vsd43.korax.net'; Peters, Barry (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: Greenfield South Power Plant Local Community Liaison - July 28th, 2011
Importance: High
Attachments: Greenfield South Power Plant Project - Local Community Liaison - Bruce Holbein-2011july15.pdf

From: josie.erent@talented-minds.com [mailto:josie.erent@talented-minds.com]
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2011 2:30 PM
To: bholbein@easternpower.on.ca; jim.tovey@mississauga.ca; mayor_ford@toronto.ca; mayor@mississauga.ca
Cc: Duguid_Brad-MPP; Broten_Laurel-MPP; Cansfield_Donna-MPP; McGuinty_Dalton-MPP-CO
Subject: FW: Greenfield South Power Plant Local Community Liaison - July 28th, 2011
Importance: High

I have called the Premier's office.....The date of this meeting is ridiculous and frankly ill timed before a long weeked.

We kindly ask all parties including MPPS on both sides of the Etobicoke Creek be invited to this meeting..

My father's property value will go down if this project goes ahead...Citizens have a right to a proper consultation that has been non existant with your company....

WE ASK THAT THE MEETING BE POSTPONED TILL SEPTEMBER /OCTOBER. 2011

josie eren
t416-232-0600

From: josie.erent@talented-minds.com [mailto:josie.erent@talented-minds.com]
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2011 2:15 PM
To: 'dmcguinty.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org'; 'bduguid.mpp@liberal.ola.org'; 'lbroten.mpp@liberal.ola.org'; 'dcansfield.mpp@liberal.ola.org'
Cc: 'jim.tovey@mississauga.ca'; 'AShely.DiProspero@mississauga.ca'; 'mayor_ford@toronto.ca'; 'mayor@mississauga.ca'
Subject: FW: Greenfield South Power Plant Local Community Liaison - July 28th, 2011

I have called the premier's office today to delay this meeting... this meeting is taking place the Thursday before the long weekend..

The time of this meeting is inappropriate and frankly ill timed.....considering the long weekend.

It is suggested the Etobicoke MPPs also be contacted regarding a future meeting possibly September/October 2011.

It is my understanding that Etobicoke MPPS have not been contacted concerning this gas power plant.

Thank you.

Josie Erent

07/21/2011

Drago Erent
Etobicoke Lakeshore
416-232-0600

From: Ashley DiProspero [mailto:Ashley.DiProspero@mississauga.ca]
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2011 1:17 PM
To: Jim Tovey
Subject: Greenfield South Power Plant Local Community Liaison - July 28th, 2011


Good Afternoon,

Please find attached the letter from Greenfield South Power Corporation.

Regards,

Ashley DiProspero
Administrative Assistant
to Councillor Jim Tovey, Ward 1
City of Mississauga

300 City Centre Drive
Mississauga, Ontario L5B 3C1
905-896-5085
ashley.diprospero@mississauga.ca
www.mississauga.ca



This e-mail may not be forwarded to anyone for any reason without express written permission of the author.

From: Ashley DiProspero
Sent: 2011/07/15 1:07 PM
To: Jim Tovey
Subject: Greenfield South Power Plant Local Community Liaison - July 28th, 2011
Importance: High

July 15, 2011

Good Afternoon,

Please find attached a letter that Councillor Tovey received today (Friday, July 15th, 2011) from the Greenfield South Power Corporation. The Greenfield South Power Corporation has advised Councillor Tovey that they will be holding a Local Community Liaison meeting on **July 28th, 2011** starting at **7:00PM** at **Gamma's Banquet Hall: 1852 Dundas Street East** (SE Corner Wharton

07/21/2011

Way).

Should you have any questions regarding this meeting please contact the Greenfield South Power Corporation. The Greenfield South Power Corporation contact information can be found on their website: www.greenfieldsouth.ca.

Once again, Councillor Tovey would like to thank you for writing in with your concerns regarding the Power Plant. Councillor Tovey will continue to keep residents up-to-date on this issue.

Regards,

Ashley DiProspero

Administrative Assistant

to Councillor Jim Tovey, Ward 1

City of Mississauga

300 City Centre Drive

Mississauga, Ontario L5B 3C1

905-896-5085

ashley.diprospero@mississauga.ca

www.mississauga.ca



This e-mail may not be forwarded to anyone for any reason without express written permission of the author.

Greenfield South Power Project Local Community Liaison

Construction of the Greenfield South Power (GSPC) Project at 2315 Loreland Avenue in Mississauga has recently begun. (south of Mattawa Ave. on the east side of Loreland)

GSPC will be holding regular information meetings (approx. every other month) during the construction phase with those interested members of the local community that live or work in closest proximity to the project. These meetings are intended to provide an opportunity for informal dialog between these residents and the project's representatives about current and planned activities on the site and any local impacts that may occur.

Our first local liaison meeting will be held on July 28, 2011, 7 pm, at Gramma's Banquet Hall, 1852 Dundas Street east (SE corner Wharton Way). Those wishing to participate are requested to pre-register before July 21 to the email address below, through our website (address below) or directly by telephone at 416-234-1301 ext 102. Please confirm your name and address when responding.

GSPC will also be providing regular project information updates on its website. Please visit us at: www.greenfieldsouth.ca

Contact Information:

Dr. Bruce E. Holbein, Ph.D.
Greenfield South Power Corporation
Suite 410, 2275 Lakeshore Blvd. W
Telephone: 416-234-1301 Fax: 416-234-8336
Email: bholbein@easternpower.on.ca
GSPC website: <http://www.greenfieldsouth.ca>

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: Smith, Margaret (CAB)

Sent: July 22, 2011 8:39 AM

To: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY); Longkines, Minda (ENERGY)

Subject: CSU 934486 - FYI

Attachments: 1-10720872-CSU_Incoming_Mail.doc;
COCORR_n10721013_v1_Reply_Document_for_Case__934486.doc

July 22, 2011

renato.zinga@bell.net

This e-mail account is not monitored. Please do not reply directly to this e-mail.
For further inquiries, direct your online message through
<http://www.premier.gov.on.ca/feedback/default.asp>.

Thanks for your online message about the Greenfield South Power Plant. Your views are important to me.

As the Honourable Brad Duguid, Minister of Energy, would also be interested in this issue, I've passed along a copy of your message to him for his information. I trust that he will also give your views his careful consideration.

Thanks again for contacting me. Please accept my best wishes.

Dalton McGuinty
Premier of Ontario

c: The Honourable Brad Duguid

Confidentiality Warning: This e-mail contains information intended only for the use of the individual named above. If you have received this e-mail in error, we would appreciate it if you could advise us through the Premier's website at [<http://www.premier.gov.on.ca/feedback/default.asp>](http://www.premier.gov.on.ca/feedback/default.asp) and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

feedbackid = 216031
sendto = CSU <incomingcsu@cab.gov.on.ca>
sendfrom = dmcguinty@premier.gov.on.ca
sendreply =
senderip = 174.95.201.16
senddate = 07/18/2011
preferredlanguage = English
requesturl =
<https://correspondence.premier.gov.on.ca/en/feedback/submitAddress.aspx?FeedbackID=216031&SID=2133522184>
senderPreFix = Mr.
sendername = Mr. Renato Zinga
senderFirstName = Renato
senderLastName = Zinga
senderaddress = 42 West Wareside Road
sendercity = etobicoke
senderpostalcode = m9c 3j1
senderprovince = Ontario
senderemail = renato.zinga@bell.net
sendermessage = Regarding the Gas Powered Plant in south Etobicoke.
I am totally against it, please stop it.
Thank you
Renato
lastmodifieddate =

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: Smith, Margaret (CAB)

Sent: July 22, 2011 8:37 AM

To: Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY); Longkines, Minda (ENERGY)

Subject: CSU 934476- FYI

Attachments: 1-10720871-CSU_Incoming_Mail.doc;
COCORR_n10721007_v1_Reply_Document_for_Case__934476.doc

07/22/2011

July 22, 2011

daphnekorczak@hotmail.com

This e-mail account is not monitored. Please do not reply directly to this e-mail.
For further inquiries, direct your online message through
<http://www.premier.gov.on.ca/feedback/default.asp>.

Thanks for your online message about the Greenfield South Power Plant. Your views are important to me.

As the Honourable Brad Duguid, Minister of Energy, would also be interested in this issue, I've passed along a copy of your message to him for his information. I trust that he will also give your views his careful consideration.

Thanks again for contacting me. Please accept my best wishes.

Dalton McGuinty
Premier of Ontario

c: The Honourable Brad Duguid

Confidentiality Warning: This e-mail contains information intended only for the use of the individual named above. If you have received this e-mail in error, we would appreciate it if you could advise us through the Premier's website at <http://www.premier.gov.on.ca/feedback/default.asp> and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

feedbackid = 216030
sendto = CSU <incomingcsu@cab.gov.on.ca>
sendfrom = dmcguinty@premier.gov.on.ca
sendreply =
senderip = 173.32.48.105
senddate = 07/18/2011
preferredlanguage = English
requesturl =
<https://correspondence.premier.gov.on.ca/en/feedback/submitAddress.aspx?FeedbackID=216030&SID=2133512308>
senderPreFix =
sendername = Daphne Korczak
senderFirstName = Daphne
senderLastName = Korczak
senderaddress = 6 Greencoat Road
sendercity = Toronto
senderpostalcode = M9C 2T8
senderprovince = Ontario
senderemail = daphnekorczak@hotmail.com
sendermessage = Donna Cansfield is not representing her constituents in Markland Wood with respect to the Queensway/Etobicoke Power Plant. We want this to STOP. We will not be poisoned in our own homes, for NO good reason amid a myriad of deception and half-truths. I am writing to ask you to please stop this act of terror now - we will remember.
lastmodifieddate =

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: dite_jakupi@hotmail.com
Sent: July 24, 2011 11:04 AM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Queensway Power Plant (Etobicoke/Mississauga)

RE: STOP THE QUEENSWAY POWER PLANT (Etobicoke/ Mississauga)

On behalf of all the residents on Nawbrook Road, Mississauga, ON

The building of the power plant is not only an environmental hazard but it will also negatively impact health, livelihood and property prices. This is unacceptable and must be stopped. Ontario and Canada's political and business leaders supporting this initiative and other power plants in Mississauga/Etobicoke where there is stable/existing residential and commercial success must be stopped immediately. Politicians have been elected to support the best interests of the people FIRST - no exceptions. STOP THE QUEENSWAY POWER PLANT NOW.

from: Dite Jakupovski

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: Duguid_Brad-MPP [bduguid.mpp@liberal.ola.org]
Sent: July 25, 2011 11:35 AM
To: Write2us (ENERGY); Peters, Barry (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: IF THIS GAS POWER PLANT PROJECT IS BUILT IN MISSISSAUGA...ONTARIO LIBERALS WILL LOSE SEAT...

From: josie.erent@talented-minds.com [mailto:josie.erent@talented-minds.com]
Sent: Saturday, July 23, 2011 7:40 PM
To: torsun.editor@sunmedia.ca; McGuinty_Dalton-MPP-CO; Hoskins_Eric-MPP-CO; Cansfield_Donna-MPP; Broten_Laurel-MPP; sgrewal@thestar.ca
Cc: Duguid_Brad-MPP; McGuinty_Dalton-MPP-CO; 'Hudak, Tim'; ahorwarth_qp@ndp.on.ca; mayor_ford@toronto.ca; mayor@mississauga.ca
Subject: IF THIS GAS POWER PLANT PROJECT IS BUILT IN MISSISSAUGA...ONTARIO LIBERALS WILL LOSE SEAT...

WE WILL NOT BE TREATED AS 2ND CLASS CITIZENS IN ETOBICOKE BY A BUNCH OF LYING ARROGANT LIBERALS

WHO ALLOW THIS PROJECT TO GO THROUGH...YOU ARE BUNCH OF LIARS WHO DO NOT DESERVE TO BE RE-ELECTED.

YOU ARE BUNCH OF LIBERAL LIARS WHO DO NOT CARE ABOUT THE HEALTH AND SAFETY OF MISSISSAUGA OR ETOBICOKE*(TORONTO) CITY RESIDENTS.

JE

Flynn not fighting for Oakville

Re: Flynn is fighting for Oakville residents, Oakville Beaver, Sept. 10.

I have to say I was quite amused when I read the letter written by Roberto Walcott.

We must remember that Oakville MPP Kevin Flynn was parliamentary assistant to the Minister of Energy and was instrumental in creating the grievously misnamed Green Energy Act.

This act removed all the cards from municipalities across the province and gave the provincial government complete authority over power projects. Flynn cannot distance himself from this. It is in the Parliamentary Hansard.

In February 2009, Dalton McGuinty said:

"Municipalities will no longer be able to reject wind turbines, solar panels, or bio-fuel plants because they don't like them. We can't allow interests to oppose these simply because they don't like them."

McGuinty's quote omitted the words "gas-fired power plants" but the legislation included them.

Hansard records show that Flynn was warned about the act and the consequences that could come about but his response was "don't be silly". Those consequences have happened and the residents of south east Oakville are now fighting the proposed plant but have few tools available to them. Regardless of the opinion of Walcott, Flynn did not have the foresight needed to understand the ramifications of his own legislation to the detriment of all Oakville residents.

If Flynn is truly sincere that he represents his constituents, he should leave the Liberal caucus and sit as an Independent, Flynn will then have the freedom to say what he wants. Such a move would then give him some credibility with Oakville voters as his current attempts to distance himself from the government have no substance. In other words, Flynn should walk the walk not just talk the talk.

If Walcott wants to stop the power plant in Oakville, he had best open his eyes, broaden his horizons and focus on another solution. At the Association of Municipalities of Ontario held last month in Windsor, Tim Hudak, leader of the PC party of Ontario spoke to Mayors and Councillors from across Ontario. Hudak was quoted in the press as follows:

"Any government I lead will empower local decision making. Your voters put their faith in you to make best decisions for your local communities. So should your Premier. That's why we are going to restore the decision-making powers the Green Energy Act took away."

This policy would be a trump card for Oakville and the fight against the proposed power plant.

W. Boyd
Oakville Resident

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: Irene Wojcik Gabon [ir37@rogers.com]
Sent: July 26, 2011 6:13 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Fw: Local Community Liaison on July 28, 2011

direct email to Sr. Policy analyst

----- Original Message -----

From: "Irene Wojcik Gabon" <ir37@rogers.com>
To: <info@greenfieldsouth.ca>
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2011 5:54 PM
Subject: Re: Local Community Liaison on July 28, 2011

> In response to this email, I submit that I received an email from the
> Mayor of Mississauga and from Jim Tovey to whom you mailed an undated
> document some time before July 15th, 2011. The emails received were in
> response to our interest in the Greenfield South Project. I question
> your motives in sending letters by mail to elected people who do not
> "live closest to the site". What did you expect them to do? File the
> letter?
> In the interest of fairness, Gregory and Hubert, if this is a sincere
> effort on the part of Eastern Power Ltd./Greenfield South Project to
> liaise with the Community which was undefined, yet is broad, I ask
> you that you honour those who responded to the Request for Registration
> and allow them in and set the parameters for presentation and a Q & A
> period. THAT would be the honourable and respectful decision to allow
> full disclosure.
> You cannot change horses in mid stream.

> Irene Wojcik Gabon,
> 1569 Sunnycove Drive
> Mississauga, ON
> L4X1B4
> 905 270 3494

> ----- Original Message -----

> **From:** <info@greenfieldsouth.ca>
> **To:** <undisclosed-recipients:>
> **Sent:** Tuesday, July 26, 2011 5:02 PM
> **Subject:** Local Community Liaison on July 28, 2011

> Thank you for your interest in the Greenfield South Power Project.

> Due to us giving priority to residents and businesses located closest to
> the site we are unable to accommodate you at the meeting on July 28, 2011
> but you could try to attend a future meeting - the next one will be held
> in about 2 months time. Please check for the date in September on our
> website:

> www.greenfieldsouth.ca

> Sincerely,

> GREENFIELD SOUTH POWER CORPORATION

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: jandan.eichner@sympatico.ca
Sent: July 26, 2011 3:41 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: queensway gas fired power plant

As a mother of two little boys and an Etobicoke resident who was " until finding out about this, a proud resident " I am BEGGING you to Stop the Queensway Gas Fired Power Plant from being built!!!

We know the Province needs power. That's not the issue. The issue is power plants in neighbourhoods. This is not about "my backyard" . These are industrial plants, and they don't belong in anybody's backyard. We're baffled as to why any responsible company would even propose such a thing. And we're mystified as to why our elected representatives haven't put a stop to it. There are plenty of industrial zones in Mississauga and Etobicoke that would provide more appropriate locations, although perhaps not so conveniently sited next to existing Hydro lines... cost seems to be the driving force here, not the net community wellbeing."

This whole process has been marked by secrecy and suspected backroom deals. In fact, that as part of the tender process, they were not allowed to talk to any local politicians, residents or to the media. That is incredibly annoying and ridiculous!

Eastern Power Limited runs two recycling facilities and has no record related to building or operation of power plants. The company is virtually non-existent in Internet searches. Yet they somehow won 3 government contracts, two of which they have abandoned. We are deeply sceptical about the fitness and capacity to be a major supplier of power to the people of Ontario , and we see no evidence of good corporate citizenship.

The proposed power plant is not subject to a full environmental assessment, even though it is being built within a few hundred metres of existing residences, and on the banks of the Etobicoke Creek, upwind of the densest population center in Canada . This is not acceptable.

Cleaner coal technology is being developed in Europe and the United States . There are experts who argue that the new "clean" coal is better for the environment than natural gas. Lakeview Generating Station could have been retrofitted with this new technology, at a fraction of the cost, with no new impact on the local community as it is an existing site. Yet, no one wishes to discuss this option.

The proposed plant will have much shorter stacks than Lakeview, and therefore, instead of a very broad dispersal pattern of emissions as would be seen with taller stacks, any emissions from these shorter chimneys will fall over the local neighborhoods, which include houses, schools, and a major hospital. We understand that natural gas plants emit higher levels of PM2.5, the tiniest particulate matter that gets deep inside our lungs. This is particularly worrisome for the elderly, very young, and of course those with asthma and other related breathing disorders.

Eastern Power Limited will be allowed to burn fuel oil as much as 10% of the time. This is much dirtier and a source of more serious pollutants. Being a "for profit" private company, Eastern Power Limited is expected to take advantage of fluctuations in prices to burn oil when it becomes more profitable. There will be 60,000 gallons of fuel storage tanks right near the banks of the Etobicoke creek, which runs into Lake Ontario . It is unconscionable that this plan got past the first stage! The plant will use up to 1,000,000 gallons of water a day for cooling purposes and the resulting hot water could enter the sewer system and make its way into Lake Ontario .

There are issues with noise and vibrations in the local community, and a much more serious concern with the constant water plume, which is predicted to darken the neighborhood, and cause black ice and bad fog under certain weather conditions.

Most peculiar is the fact that the Ministry of Environment's own guidelines suggest that THESE PLANTS SHOULD NOT BE BUILT WITHIN 1000 METRES OF RESIDENCES. This plant, as

proposed, will be as close as 250 metres from the nearest homes. How is it possible the Ministry of the Environment overlooked their own guidelines? In fact the Ministry has ignored its own guidelines in several instances.

DO THE RIGHT THING and stop this facility from being built!

from: Janet Gibson Eichner

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: pschlatter@ebay.com
Sent: July 27, 2011 2:42 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Proposed Queensway Gas Fired Power Plant

Hello Mr. Duguid.

My name is Philip Schlatter and I am a homeowner in Markland Wood. I am writing to you to voice my concern about the proposed Queensway Gas Fired Power Plant. I am completely opposed to this power plant being built in my back yard. I have a young family with kids aged 2 and 4 years old and fear for their future health. I moved to Markland Wood because it is a great community with access to great schools. We love living here but I certainly don't want to subject my kids to the harmful emissions of this gas fired power plant for their formative growing years (nor do I want to be subjected to them either)! Perhaps I am naive in saying this but we live in a country with incredible amounts of undeveloped land...do we really need to build a Gas Powered plant in the heart of the GTA? Please help stop the building of this power plant!

Thank you.

Philip Schlatter
from: Philip Schlatter

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: Skubik_Elizabeth [eskubik@liberal.ola.org]
Sent: July 28, 2011 4:04 PM
To: 'write2us@energy.gov.on.ca'; Peters, Barry (ENERGY); Feairs, Jon (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: Stop the Queensway (Mississauga / Etobicoke) Power Plant

From: jeff liu [mailto:jeffliuca@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2011 10:41 PM
To: jeffliuca@gmail.com
Subject: Stop the Queensway (Mississauga / Etobicoke) Power Plant

Hi,

If you are against this project, please help spread this petition site:

<http://www.thepetitionsite.com/1/Stop-the-Queensway-Power-Plant/>

If you are for the project, please see the anger of the residents living in this area.

Thanks.

Jeff

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: ron.kelly@rogers.com
Sent: July 28, 2011 5:32 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Stop the proposed gas-fired plant across from Sherway Gardens

As a long time resident of Etobicoke, a long time member of the Markland Wood Homeowners Association, and a long time Liberal supporter I am writing to you to express my opposition to the Queensway Gas-fired Power Plant being discussed. This location is ill advised and poorly selected with emissions falling directly on residential neighbourhoods. This project must be stopped immediately.

Should this project continue I will no longer be willing to vote for the Liberal party in the next or subsequent elections. Much more care and thought must be placed upon selecting sites for such facilities that directly impact residential areas. This project must be stopped.

Thank you.

Regards,

Ron Kelly
from: Ron Kelly

Gibbs, Diana (ENERGY)

From: Duguid_Brad-MPP
Sent: July 28, 2011 4:10 PM
To: write2us@energy.gov.on.ca; Peters, Barry (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: July 16th / 2011 Energy Demand as per IESO website at 13:00

From: Dean Trentowsky [mailto:wtd16@hotmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, July 23, 2011 11:13 AM
To: Wilkinson_John-MPP-CO
Cc: McGuinty_Dalton-MPP-CO; Duguid_Brad-MPP; Duncan_Dwight-MPP; Mitchell_Carol-MPP-CO; Jeffrey_Linda-MPP-CO; Leona Dombrowsky; Cansfield_Donna-MPP; Levac_Dave-MPP; minister.moe
Subject: RE: July 16th / 2011 Energy Demand as per IESO website at 13:00

From the IESO website:
 Today at 11:00 am, the demand is 20,000 MW...45MW supplied by wind.

My previous comments still stand.

W. Dean Trentowsky
 Mitchell, ON.
 519 - 274 - 3933

From: wtd16@hotmail.com
 To: jwilkinson.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org
 CC: dmcguinty.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org; bduguid.mpp@liberal.ola.org; dduncan.mpp@liberal.ola.org; cmitchell.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org; ljeffrey.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org; dombrowsky.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org; dcansfield.mpp@liberal.ola.org; dlevac.mpp@liberal.ola.org; minister.moe@ontario.ca
 Subject: July 16th / 2011 Energy Demand as per IESO website at 13:00
 Date: Sat, 16 Jul 2011 14:08:07 -0400

From the IESO website:

Saturday, July 16th / 2011 @ 13:00
 19,202 MW total demand

Power Output
 Nuclear - 8811 MW
 Water - 4220 MW
 Gas - 3509 MW
 Coal - 2360 MW
 Wind- 0032 MW
 Other- 0133 MW

The much talked about industrial wind turbines (IWT's) are producing 32 MW (0.17%) of the total power required in Ontario at this very moment.

Today is the hottest day of the year. There is no wind at all. The smog and air pollution will be stagnant in the skies of Ontario with no wind to disperse it or to spin the IWT's.

Wasn't the idea of having wind energy to reduce air pollution?

On a day like today, due to the heat we are using an increased amount of electricity due to the heat, and at the

07/29/2011

same time we need to have minimized emissions to keep air quality at optimum levels.

On a day like today, wind power does not contribute electricity and it does not reduce harmful emissions. On a day like today, wind power is USELESS!!!!!!

Even on the days when it is windy, the amount of wind power generated is equal to or less than the amount of "excess" power generation.

I dare say that the Mc Guinty Green Energy program is wayyyyy off target ...again.

Is it not high time to admit Mr. Minister that the whole IWT plan needs to be stopped and given a SERIOUS rethink? You owe that much to Ontario along with the overdue answers to our questions about the ongoing health concerns regarding IWT's.

W. Dean Trentowsky
Mitchell, ON.
519 - 274 - 3933

Gibbs, Diana (ENERGY)

From: Duguid_Brad-MPP
Sent: July 28, 2011 4:12 PM
To: write2us@energy.gov.on.ca; Peters, Barry (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: IWT

From: justtrotonby@aol.com [mailto:justtrotonby@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, July 23, 2011 11:38 AM
To: Duguid_Brad-MPP
Subject: IWT

I live in Mapleton Township, Wellington County where NextEra Energy is planning surrounding my home with 10 large IWT. I have written to you in the past and received generic lectures on the green energy act - nothing that would help me. I watched you on CTV news last Tuesday where you said that wind power was unreliable, you wanted to do away with coal and go to more nuclear. The output of wind that day was only enough to power 3,000 homes - not the 330,000 that you say they will power. That is only 3% and 1 one hundredth of their potential. We were not in danger of not having enough energy that day but it certainly was not thanks to the wind industry. Why do you insist on cramming these inefficient energy modes down our throat when you know full well they are making us sick, devaluating our properties. Your energy department is trying to cram through wind turbine projects in case there is a change in government. I thought you were elected to serve the people - me for instance. Why won't you listen to me instead of the foreign companies that will line their pockets with my money and make me sick to boot. Why did the Ministry of Energy interfere by writing a letter to Justin Rangoini (CanWea) stating that 9 projects on the FIT program (one of them NextEra Energy) didn't have to do the stage 2 archaeological report before they submitted their final submission? Since when does one ministry interfere with the business of another ministry? Doris Dumais of the Ontario MOE say they don't but her signature was on that letter too. The stage two archaeological report should have been part of NextEra's final submission but now we likely won't even see it. How transparent is that?. CanWea works for the developers and gets money from the provincial government. How is this fair. Weren't you voted in by the people of Ontario and not the lobbyist group CANWEA who works for the developers? I hope you don't get reelected. I hope you don't sleep at night when you see how many more people you are driving from their homes. You make bad business decisions. Donna Weaver Box 10, Arthur, Ontario, N0G 1A0

Gibbs, Diana (ENERGY)

From: catherine.george@sympatico.ca
Sent: July 29, 2011 2:09 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Mississauga Power Plant

Mississauga Power Plant

As residents of south Etobicoke we are writing to let you know that we are adamantly opposed to the building of a gas-fired power plant across from Sherway Gardens.

Yes, we know the Provincial government and environmentalists have done studies proving emissions from gas-fired plants are less harmful than coal-fired plants. But that does not mean they are safe.

Why build a power plant in the heart of southern Ontario's most populated region when some rural areas (like Nanicoke) are willing to accommodate them as job creation programs?

Heavily populated areas are not the place for power plants. We are exposed to enough toxic emissions from the QEW and other major arteries in Etobicoke/Mississauga. Please support concerned area residents and urge Provincial authorities to rethink this irresponsible decision.

Catherine and Cal George

11 Lady Bank Road

Etobicoke, Ont.

M8Z 4J4

from: Catherine and Cal George

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: Duguid_Brad-MPP
Sent: July 29, 2011 12:02 PM
To: Peters, Barry (ENERGY); write2us@vsd43.korax.net
Subject: FW: Mississauga/Etobicoke - Residents saying NO to the Gas Fired Power Plant

-----Original Message-----

From: Simon Chan [<mailto:ut.simon@gmail.com>]
Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2011 11:41 PM
To: kentp@parl.gc.ca; j.wilkinson@ontario.ca; Wilkinson_John-MPP-CO;
brad.duguid@ontario.ca; Duguid_Brad-MPP; dmccguinty.mpp@liberal.ola.org;
Cansfield_Donna-MPP-CO; Broten_Laurel-MPP-CO
Cc: mayor_ford@toronto.ca; councillor_grimes@toronto.ca;
councillor_milczyn@toronto.ca; jim.tovey@mississauga.ca;
mayor@mississauga.ca; ontario.municipal.board@ontario.ca
Subject: Mississauga/Etobicoke - Residents saying NO to the Gas Fired
Power Plant

Good Afternoon,

As a long time South Etobicoke resident, it's quite upsetting to hear about the construction of a gas-fired power plant adjacent to Sherway Gardens Mall and directly across from Trillium Hospital. Residents across the region from Oakville to High Park are strongly opposed to the location of this power plant due to its extremely close proximity to residential homes, condos, a major railway line, environmentally sensitive Etobicoke creek, a large complex shopping mall, major hospital and a hospice.

Since this plant was approved by the OMB in 2007, the area has gone through tremendous growth and development in and around the Sherway Gardens area. Four 22+ story towers standing less than a few hundred meters away from this proposed power plant will be directly in the southeast downwind direction of plumes that will be emitted from the power plant stacks. This is unacceptable as there will be close to 2,000 residents living in the One Sherway condos including many seniors and kids with respiratory problems whose balconies may directly face the downwind of these pollutants no matter how minute it may be.

Numerous reports have also indicated that the airshed in this region is completely taxed from the industrial plants and highways nearby. This plant will negatively affect hospital patients who already have weakened immune systems and may have severe respiratory issues. These patients

and
guests (who could be fathers, mothers, brothers and sisters of loved
ones)
will be walking through the areas directly across from this power plant
at
Trillium hospital and The Dorothy Lea Hospice during their most
stressful
period of time.

In the end, It's quite disheartening to see our elected MP/MPP officials
take the silence approach in this issue towards their very own
constituents
that have elected them to represent their voices and eyes for their
neighborhoods. I hope that everyone understands that this a major issue
for
residents in this region and your decisions will impact thousands of
families across the GTA where many are already quite upset with the lack
of
response from their local MP/MPP's. There is no better time than right
now for
constituents to figure out who they can trust and support so I hope
someone
can step up to the plate and restore the faith that constituents have
placed
in your hands to ensure that our families grow in a safe and healthy
environment.

Please put out the orders to halt the construction immediately and put
this
plant in areas that where residents support and welcome it (Ex:
Nanticoke)

Sincerely,

Simon Chan

C: 416 305 8602

South Etobicoke Resident

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: mbarre01@guelphhumber.ca
Sent: July 30, 2011 2:29 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Help Stop the Proposed Queensway Power Plant

I am asking that the Ontario government takes action to help stop the proposed Queensway Power Plant on the Etobicoke-Mississauga border. The location is less than ideal because it will be releasing emissions on local communities and the Queensway Hospital. I am concerned that the air quality will be reduced because of the pollutants and emissions emitted. In addition, this will affect the Etobicoke Creek watershed because I read the cooling water will be expelled into the creek that borders the power plant site.

I am a co-op student at Toronto Hydro and I understand there is a growing need for electricity as Toronto, and surrounding regions continues to develop. I realize that the demand has to be met by building more generating facilities, but the selected location on the Etobicoke-Mississauga boarder is not a viable solution.

As a resident in the Markland Wood Community in Etobicoke, this power plant is being built practically in my backyard. One may oppose the power plant as a NIMBY (Not in my backyard) problem, but I see this as a problem that can have a profound influence of the nearby residence and the environment.

Regards,

Matthew Barrett
Co-op Student at Toronot Hydro, Warehouse Management and Administration Forth Year,
Business Management Business Administration, University of Guelph-Humber

from: Matthew Barrett

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: Duguid_Brad-MPP [bduguid.mpp@liberal.ola.org]
Sent: August 2, 2011 4:34 PM
To: Peters, Barry (ENERGY); Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: Etobicoke Power Plant construction- Community Meeting
Attachments: residents turned away.jpg; MPP Charles Sousa - Miss. South.jpg

From: Heather Emerson [mailto:oneeyeblicking@hotmail.com]

Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 4:18 PM

To: Anna (Theo); Daphne (Sam) Korczak; Ines (Analia); kcollett53@hotmail.com; Kinga and Arthur (Maksym); Lina (Lauren); Loanne Voskans; Monica and Paul (Grace); Nadia (Eli); Rebecca (Nate and Nolan); yvonne (Noah); dilekdag@gmail.com; hezfen@hotmail.com; jlvalvano@hotmail.com; chiaraarevalo@sympatico.ca; frosthorne@gmail.com; jacqueline.dowhaniuk@gmail.com; karen_andrews@rogers.com; kd1020@hotmail.com; lori_rob@rogers.com; marciacorreale@hotmail.ca; marisasalitulo@rogers.com; missymartini11@yahoo.com; nikki.mcintosh@medtronic.com; Karen Munchkins; Sara Schlatter (Brady); stephanienyilas@yahoo.ca; ssteale001@sympatico.ca; Vanessa & Shane; Adrianna (Alexandria, Ella) Bogris; Aylin (Ava); Candace Freyseng (Pasha); Elena (Nikolina); Roy (Sam); Robyne (Luke); Rose Bianchini; Sarah (Alyssa); Tamara (Milica); Violetta (Samantha); Broten_Laurel-MPP-CO; Sousa_Charles-MPP; hazel.mccallion@mississauga.ca; mayor_ford@toronto.ca; councillor_milczyn@toronto.ca; councillor_grimes@toronto.ca; d.cansfield.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org; Councillor Doug Holyday - Etobicoke; Duguid_Brad-MPP; jim.tovey@mississauga.ca; bob.collins@powerauthority.on.ca; media@powerauthority.on.ca; andrew.pride@powerauthority.on.ca; Derek Emerson
Cc: d3748@rogers.com; Greg Rohn - home; aschaefer@rogers.com; news@marklandwood.com; terry.davidson@sunmedia.ca; kevin.connor@sunmedia.ca; news.to@citynews.ca; news@nowtoronto.com; city@thestar.ca; torsun.citydesk@sunmedia.ca; news@ctv.ca; globalnews.tor@globaltv.com; breakingnews@cp24.com
Subject: RE: Etobicoke Power Plant construction- Community Meeting

Hello concerned residents, media and elected officials,

With Cancer-awareness being at an all-time high, the continued construction of the Etobicoke PowerPlant is a shocking disappointment and I'm sure you will appreciate this update:

Yesterday's community meeting was a good success for those of us who oppose the construction of a local Power Plant and I've attached two photos. The Eastern Power company selected the smallest possible venue and tried to turn residents away **and we showed up anyhow**. Good for us! More than 150 angry residents from all different areas of Etobicoke and Mississauga pushed their way into the meeting to let the elected members know that this will be an election issue. Donna Cansfield, MPP and Charles Sousa, MPP were among them and I'm sure they're getting the message - **the person who stops the construction will get my vote**. The issue seems to be that there will be a cost associated with cancelling the PowerPlant's permits and to that I ask: What price should we put on our health, our children's health and the well being of the Etobicoke Creek habitat? Keep up the pressure. **HELP MAKE THIS AN ELECTION ISSUE**. Please forward the link to the petition to your friends to sign.

<http://www.thepetitionsite.com/1/Stop-the-Queensway-Power-Plant/>

All the emails you need are on this message if you would like to "Reply All" and make your opposition known as well. And here are the communications links for the elected Ministers who require public

pressure to help them oppose the continued construction of this dangerously irresponsible project.

John Wilkinson, Minister of Environment <http://www.ene.gov.on.ca/environment/en/main/contacts/minister/index.htm>
Brad Duguid, Minister of Energy – <http://www.mei.gov.on.ca/en/about/index.php?page=contact-information>

For those looking for info about the power plant, check out Rogers TV's recent program about the issue: <http://goo.gl/7WqN9>

Cheers,

Heather, Derek and baby Kira Emerson
18 Fieldstone Rd., M9C 2J6
416 621-5107

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: Duguid_Brad-MPP [bduguid.mpp@liberal.ola.org]
Sent: August 2, 2011 4:33 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY); Peters, Barry (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: Greenfield South Power Plant - City of Mississauga
Attachments: MPP Broten letter.pdf; MOE letter.pdf

From: Heather W [mailto:hlynnw@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, July 29, 2011 5:22 PM
To: Cansfield_Donna-MPP; Sousa_Charles-MPP; Broten_Laurel-MPP-CO; councillor_grimes@toronto.ca; councillor_milczyn@toronto.ca; mayor_ford@toronto.ca; Wilkinson_John-MPP-CO; mayor@mississauga.ca; McGuinty_Dalton-MPP-CO; Duguid_Brad-MPP
Subject: Re: Greenfield South Power Plant - City of Mississauga

Further to my previous email, I would like to know why the public was shut out of a public information session about the 280-megawatt Greenfield power plant on Loreland Ave. in east Mississauga.

I registered to attend the July 28th session and was told that I could not be accommodated because the meeting was being geared towards local residents to discuss the local impacts of construction.

In response to my previous email, I was under the impression that the Certificate of Approval for the facility was undergoing a review and that I would receive information on the findings of that review. I am concerned as to why there are public meetings taking place about construction impacts when a full environmental review of the facility has yet to be undertaken. See attached emails for pertinent responses.

As you are all aware, plans to build the plant, in the works for years, have been and will continue to be opposed for a variety of reasons, including its proximity to homes, Trillium Health Centre West Toronto and Etobicoke Creek. The emissions from the plant know no boundaries and the broader community has a right to know what's happening with the proposal and what the province is doing to halt it.

Please advise on the status of the environmental review of this project.

Heather

On Sun, Jun 19, 2011 at 4:43 PM, Heather W <hlynnw@gmail.com> wrote:

I am extremely concerned with hearing that the City of Mississauga issued a building to clear the way for Eastern Power Ltd to build a 280-megawatt gas-fired plant in the southwest of Mississauga just west of the Sherway Gardens mall.

As I am sure you are aware, the site backs onto the Etobicoke Creek, a hospital, residential areas, and new condominiums that were not taken into consideration in the initial approval of the project.

The emissions from the plant area expected to pose air pollution and human health and safety risks. The plume that could come out of the Greenfield South plant, which is the escaping particulate matter that's carcinogenic and unhealthy, blows east and southeast, affecting all of the residents in the Long Branch, Mimico, Lakeshore,

West Toronto and downtown Toronto areas.

I understand that the Minister of Energy pulled the plug on the Oakville plant in October 2010, citing that "changes in demand and supply" as well as successful conservation efforts meant the Oakville plant was no longer necessary for the Southern GTA's energy needs. With this confirmation from the province that the need for the energy is no longer an issue for the area, why would the similar Greenfield South project still go ahead?

I greatly appreciate that Minister John Wilkinson has decided to review the MOE's decision to approve plans for the plant. I understand that MOE issued a certificate of approval in 2008, but with the changes in the provincial energy picture and the surrounding land use changes, the project is being called into question. The initial approvals were granted when local, gas-fired powered generation was seen to be the right solution, but seemingly the picture has changed given the recent decision in Oakville. I think it's important for residents to know whether this is the right source of energy, if needed at all, and whether our airshed in the western and downtown portions of Toronto is any less sensitive than that in Oakville.

With the cancellation of the Oakville plant, with work still being done on developing Ontario's long-term energy plan, and given the lack of information relating to the environmental assessment process demonstrating the impact of this project, this plant should not be built with so many unanswered questions as to its safety or necessity.

Simply stated, the natural-gas-fired power station is too close to residential and environmental areas and just like the Oakville plant, needs to be axed by Premier Dalton McGuinty. There is simply no need for the additional energy capacity and the unknown health and environmental risks are far too great.

As a resident of South Etobicoke, I am seeking ample proof as to why, months after the province cancelled a gas-fired power plant planned for Oakville, we are potentially going to be still stuck with a similar project our backyard. The plant seems unnecessary and potentially dangerous to public health and safety.

Please let me know what is being done to halt this project.

Thank you,
Heather



LAUREL BROTEN, MPP

Etobicoke - Lakeshore

June 20th, 2011.

Dear Heather;

Thank you for your recent email expressing your concerns regarding the construction of the Greenfield South energy project in the City of Mississauga.

As you may be aware, this site underwent an Environmental Assessment and was granted a certificate of approval in 2008. Strengthened by the feedback from the community consultation at the time, the plant will be required to meet stringent safety and environmental requirements that include; ambient air monitoring for two years and stack emission monitoring to assess any off-site impacts. As well, a community advisory committee will be established to work together to monitor activities and share information.

For many years, I have been active, along with other residents in Etobicoke-Lakeshore to ensure that we have "Good Air, Safe Power". The GASP Group worked in support of the demolition of Lakeview Generating Station in 2005.

As MPP for Etobicoke-Lakeshore and a resident of this community, I have always been concerned about air quality and quality of life in Etobicoke-Lakeshore and can appreciate that both our community and surrounding areas have questions about the possible impact on local air quality and the environment.

Although consultations were part of the Environmental Assessment process, there have not been any consultations in several years, during which time many new residents have moved into Etobicoke-Lakeshore. As such, I have asked that the Minister of the Environment and the Minister of Energy conduct a review of environmental impacts. If the results indicate that the air quality or environment in this community will be compromised by the construction of the Greenfield South power plant, you can be assured that I will not support its construction.

Working to find a solution to our community needs, as well as the province's plans to replace our energy supply with new, clean reliable sources of energy must be balanced with the need to address potential environmental impacts. I look forward to continuing to work with you to ensure these issues are addressed.

Once again, thank you for taking the time to write.

Yours truly,

Laurel C. Broten, MPP
Etobicoke-Lakeshore

Constituency Office

701 Evans Avenue, Suite 100, Etobicoke ON, M9C 1A3

Tel 416-259-2249 | Fax 416-259-3704 | Email lbrotten.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org

Ministry of the Environment

Environmental Assessment and
Approvals Branch

2 St. Clair Avenue West
Floor 12A
Toronto ON M4V 1L5
Tel.: 416 314-8001
Fax: 416 314-8452

Ministère de l'Environnement

Direction des évaluations et des
autorisations environnementales

2, avenue St. Clair Ouest
Étage 12A
Toronto ON M4V 1L5
Tél. : 416 314-8001
Téléc. : 416 314-8452



ENV1283MC-2011-1824

June 29, 2011

Ms. Heather W.
hlynnw@gmail.com

Dear Ms. Heather W:

Thank you for your e-mail of June 19, 2011 bringing to the Minister's attention your concerns about the construction of the Greenfield South gas-fired generating station in south Mississauga. I am pleased to respond on behalf of the Minister.

You indicated your concern about the issuance of a construction permit for this facility. Please be aware that it is the responsibility of our municipal partner, the City of Mississauga, to issue construction permits and to determine appropriate land uses according to municipal zoning requirements. The Ministry of the Environment encourages municipalities to consider our D-Series Guidelines to establish separation distances between incompatible land uses when preparing official plans and making zoning decisions. I would encourage you to contact the City of Mississauga about your concerns with this project.


The proponent in this case, Eastern Power, fulfilled the technical and regulatory requirements of the Environmental Screening Process to the satisfaction of the Minister by preparing comprehensive scientific studies and consulting with the public. Approval to proceed with this undertaking was given by the Minister in July 2008. A Certificate of Approval (Air) was issued by the ministry in December 2008, after a detailed technical review of the project confirmed that the facility could meet our stringent emission standards. The Certificate of Approval (Air) imposed conditions on the facility requiring it to be operated to meet provincial emission standards and in an environmentally responsible way.

Nevertheless, the ministry is aware of the concerns raised by you and other local residents. We are also aware that some land uses have changed in the area, for example, people are living in buildings that are taller than what was present previously. This is why the ministry has decided to review the Certificate of Approval (Air) for the Greenfield South plant to ensure that the plant can be operated in a way that is fully protective of the public health and the environment. We will notify you of the results once the review has been completed.

Ms. Heather W.
Page 2.

Your advocacy on behalf of the local environment is commendable and I appreciate your interest in this matter. I trust this information is helpful. If you have any further questions or concerns, please contact Mr. Ian Greason, P.Eng. at 416-212-3417 or ian.greason@ontario.ca.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Doris Dumais', with a large, stylized initial 'D'.

Doris Dumais
Director – Approvals Program
Environmental Assessment and Approvals Branch

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: Duguid_Brad-MPP [bduguid.mpp@liberal.ola.org]
Sent: August 2, 2011 4:27 PM
To: Peters, Barry (ENERGY); Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: Etobicoke Power Plant construction- Community Meeting

From: katie collett [mailto:kcollett53@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, August 01, 2011 2:09 PM
To: anna.anderson@utoronto.ca; daphnekorczak@hotmail.com
Cc: Heather Emmerson; inescolucci@hotmail.com; kinga@thinkers.cx; j_levans@rogers.com; fullblast@sympatico.ca; monicakathrine@yahoo.com; nadia.douglas@gmail.com; r_ruddle@yahoo.com; yvonne.cheng@gmail.com; dilekdag@gmail.com; hezfen@hotmail.com; jlvalvano@hotmail.com; chiaraarevalo@sympatico.ca; frosthhome@gmail.com; jacqueline.dowhaniuk@gmail.com; karen_andrews@rogers.com; kd1020@hotmail.com; lori_rob@rogers.com; marciacorreale@hotmail.ca; marisasalitulo@rogers.com; missymartini11@yahoo.com; nikki.mcintosh@medtronic.com; krawczyk123@hotmail.com; sarandipitous@rogers.com; stephanienyilas@yahoo.ca; ssteele001@sympatico.ca; vanessacarty@rogers.com; adrianna.bogris@gmail.com; aylin_ugur@hotmail.com; candace.freyseng@rogers.com; elenakontrec@yahoo.ca; royboyce@rogers.com; rrobyne_@hotmail.com; rbianchini@hotdocs.ca; smichaelis@rogers.com; tamara.miljevic@yahoo.ca; naranjov@yahoo.com; Broten_Laurel-MPP-CO; Sousa_Charles-MPP; hazel.mccallion@mississauga.ca; mayor_ford@toronto.ca; councillor_milczyn@toronto.ca; councillor_grimes@toronto.ca; d.cansfield.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org; councillor_holyday@toronto.ca; Duguid_Brad-MPP; jim.tovey@mississauga.ca; bob.collins@powerauthority.on.ca; media@powerauthority.on.ca; andrew.pride@powerauthority.on.ca; derek.emerson@xpedx.com; d3748@rogers.com; rohn0562@rogers.com; aschaefer@rogers.com; news@marklandwood.com; terry.davidson@sunmedia.ca; kevin.connor@sunmedia.ca; news.to@citynews.ca; news@nowtoronto.com; city@thestar.ca; torsun.citydesk@sunmedia.ca; news@ctv.ca; globalnews.tor@globaltv.com; breakingnews@cp24.com
Subject: RE: Etobicoke Power Plant construction- Community Meeting

I have already sent a message stating our position opposing the power plant and my families' own reasons and views for our opposition. But, I would also like to state my full and wholehearted agreement with the statements made by Anna Anderson and family and Daphne Korczak and family (messages below). We will not provide support for any political figure who is involved in letting this project go through to completion.

Katie Gray and family

Residents of Markland Woods Community

Date: Fri, 29 Jul 2011 21:08:25 -0400
From: anna.anderson@utoronto.ca
To: daphnekorczak@hotmail.com
CC: oneeyeblicking@hotmail.com; inescolucci@hotmail.com; kcollett53@hotmail.com; kinga@thinkers.cx; j_levans@rogers.com; fullblast@sympatico.ca; monicakathrine@yahoo.com; nadia.douglas@gmail.com; r_ruddle@yahoo.com; yvonne.cheng@gmail.com; dilekdag@gmail.com; hezfen@hotmail.com; jlvalvano@hotmail.com; chiaraarevalo@sympatico.ca; frosthhome@gmail.com; jacqueline.dowhaniuk@gmail.com; karen_andrews@rogers.com; kd1020@hotmail.com; lori_rob@rogers.com; marciacorreale@hotmail.ca; marisasalitulo@rogers.com; missymartini11@yahoo.com; nikki.mcintosh@medtronic.com; krawczyk123@hotmail.com; sarandipitous@rogers.com; stephanienyilas@yahoo.ca; ssteele001@sympatico.ca; vanessacarty@rogers.com; adrianna.bogris@gmail.com; aylin_ugur@hotmail.com; candace.freyseng@rogers.com; elenakontrec@yahoo.ca; royboyce@rogers.com; rrobyne_@hotmail.com; rbianchini@hotdocs.ca; smichaelis@rogers.com; tamara.miljevic@yahoo.ca; naranjov@yahoo.com; lbrotten.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org; csousa.mpp@liberal.ola.org; hazel.mccallion@mississauga.ca; mayor_ford@toronto.ca; councillor_milczyn@toronto.ca; councillor_grimes@toronto.ca; d.cansfield.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org; councillor_holyday@toronto.ca; bduguid.mpp@liberal.ola.org;

jim.tovey@mississauga.ca; bob.collins@powerauthority.on.ca; media@powerauthority.on.ca;
 andrew.pride@powerauthority.on.ca; derek.emerson@xpedx.com; d3748@rogers.com; rohn0562@rogers.com;
 aschaefer@rogers.com; news@marklandwood.com; terry.davidson@sunmedia.ca; kevin.connor@sunmedia.ca;
 news.to@citynews.ca; news@nowtoronto.com; city@thestar.ca; torsun.citydesk@sunmedia.ca; news@ctv.ca;
 globalnews.tor@globaltv.com; breakingnews@cp24.com
 Subject: RE: Etobicoke Power Plant construction- Community Meeting

My request to participate in Thursday meeting was turned down. Why? Because I do not live close enough to the construction site.What?!

I really do not care if I do not reside in close proximity to the plant - our house is on the border between Mississauga and Etobicoke. My family is AGAINST this project. But nobody asks us!!!! The air is already heavily polluted in the city. This plant is going to be a heavy burden on our lungs. By-products of burning will slowly but surely ruin us and our children. I am so angry! I guess our family will have to move somewhere else in GTA. If it is the matter of election, the officials will not get my voice.

Anna Anderson and family.

Quoting Daphne Korczak <daphnekorczak@hotmail.com>:

>
 > Dear elected officials, media and power authorities,
 >
 > How does this happen in a civilized society? A systematic assault
 > on children and families, whose only crime is living in their homes
 > (and paying high taxes and land transfer fees to do so) and
 > breathing the air that their captors intend to use to pollute them?
 > I thought Toronto was better than this.
 >
 > "The issue seems to be that there will be a cost associated with
 > cancelling the PowerPlant's permits " - This is akin to a
 > shoplifter refusing to turn himself in because he will have to give
 > the stolen goods back! We paid for the cancellation of the island
 > airport/bridge construction - a project that would not physically
 > harm anybody...it was just noise and traffic...this is health and
 > life-threatening disease. The permits should never have been
 > granted in the first instance; using this error as an obstacle to
 > doing the right thing is an unthinkable perversion of logic and
 > ethics.
 >
 > Where are the investigative journalists?? Is a systematic
 > application of terror not worth pursuing? Who is responsible? Who
 > is accountable? We will find out.
 >
 > This issue will be pursued. Which side will you be on when it is?
 >
 > Do the right thing and cancel this project now.
 >
 >
 > Daphne Korczak and family
 >
 >
 >
 >
 >
 >
 > From: oneeyeblicking@hotmail.com

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

 \triangleright \triangleright

> photos. The Eastern Power company selected the smallest possible
 > venue and tried to turn residents away and we showed up anyhow.
 > Good for us! More than 150 angry residents from all different areas
 > of Etobicoke and Mississauga pushed their way into the meeting to
 > let the elected members know that this will be an election issue.
 > Donna Cansfield, MPP and Charles Sousa, MPP were among them and I'm
 > sure they're getting the message - the person who stops the
 > construction will get my vote. The issue seems to be that there
 > will be a cost associated with cancelling the PowerPlant's permits
 > and to that I ask: What price should we put on our health, our
 > children's health and the well being of the Etobicoke Creek habitat?
 > Keep up the pressure. HELP MAKE THIS AN ELECTION ISSUE. Please
 > forward the link to the petition to your friends to sign.
 >
 > <http://www.thepetitionsite.com/1/Stop-the-Queensway-Power-Plant/>
 > All the emails you need are on this message if you would like to
 > "Reply All" and make your opposition known as well. And here are
 > the communications links for the elected Ministers who require
 > public pressure to help them oppose the continued construction of
 > this dangerously irresponsible project.
 >
 >
 >
 > John Wilkinson, Minister of Environment
 > <http://www.ene.gov.on.ca/environment/en/main/contacts/minister/index.htmBrad>
 > Duguid, Minister of Energy –
 > <http://www.mei.gov.on.ca/en/about/index.php?page=contact-information>
 >
 >
 > For those looking for info about the power plant, check out Rogers
 > TV's recent program about the issue: <http://goo.gl/7WqN9>
 >
 > Cheers,
 >
 > Heather, Derek and baby Kira Emerson
 > 18 Fieldstone Rd., M9C 2J6
 > 416 621-5107
 >
 >
 >
 >
 >

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: gsgray1@yahoo.ca
Sent: August 2, 2011 3:50 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Power Plant in South/East Mississauga

To Brad Duguid

This email is in response to my protest over the building of the Gas-Fired Power Plant on 2315 Loreland Avenue in south/east Mississauga. I am in acknowledgement that the province is in need of power supply, given the recent closing of the coal fired plant in Mississauga. However, with the vast amount of land with sparse population in this province (even north Mississauga) - why would such a plant be even thought about being built in a densely populated surrounding area, plus Canada's largest city (Toronto) down wind when there is too much pollution in the air today ? Count me in, along with several other thousands of people that live in the surrounding area that this is the WRONG location to build such a plant. I look forward to your response to my stated protest...Regards, Gary
from: Gary Gray

DRC-2011-3312

⊖ DRC-RJ

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: Duguid_Brad-MPP [bduguid.mpp@liberal.ola.org]
Sent: August 12, 2011 12:23 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: Nanticoke option

Pl. Frequent writer
 asks if government is
 looking at Nanticoke
 instead of Mississauga
 gas plant location
 S: GTA New
 Supply

From: Irene Wojcik Gabon [mailto:ir37@rogers.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2011 11:13 PM
To: Duguid_Brad-MPP
Cc: Charles SOUSA (min); Frank Clegg; Stewart, John
Subject: Nanticoke option

Good evening Minister Duguid

I read an item in the local Mississauga News that you were going to look at Nanticoke and that is reproduced here for your information for verification as I find nothing in my massive records on power generating facilities specifically Oakville and Greenfield South projects, the former is dead, the latter should be but isn't. My records, which are government records and reports and newspaper clippings indicate that Nanticoke was looked at several years ago and it was not a feasible option. For Haldemands County which will soon face hundreds of job losses, due to Bicks pickles and US Steel takeover of Stelco, it would be a great job incentive, create jobs and keep the economy moving. Also Marie Trainer lost the mayoral race in October, 2010.

The favour of a reply is respectfully requested.
 Mrs. Irene Gabon
 1569 Sunnycove Dr.
 Mississauga, ON. L4X1B4
 905 270 3494
 ir37@rogers.com

Jim Tovey, Ward 1 Councillor

- |
- Aug 09, 2011 - 9:21 PM
- |
-

Not accurate

Dear Editor:

Re: "No alternative," letter to the editor, July 29 edition of *The News*.

The writer is not adequately informed on the issue.

He wrote that "Local politicians and the anti-electricity generation seem to be opposed to producing power in any form. They do not however, present any ideas for an alternative." This assertion is not accurate.

The Ontario Power Authority publicly identified the Nanticoke alternative in August of 2007. This option would see two coal generators converted to (gas-fired) units and the power then could be transmitted through an existing corridor to the southwest Greater Toronto Area.

Nanticoke is not densely populated.

Haldimand County Mayor Marie Trainor wants to convert Nanticoke to natural gas. A complete Nanticoke conversion could provide plenty of electricity for Mississauga.

Energy Minister Brad Duguid says he's looking at (Nanticoke as an) optio

08/12/2011

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: daitchkd@hotmail.com
Sent: August 14, 2011 10:34 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Proposed Gas Power Plant in Mississauga/Etobicoke

Hello Brad

I am writing on behalf of me and my husband. We live in Applewood Acres and have been for 3 years now. A few of the reasons we moved here were because of the old growth trees, close to transit, nice neighbours, close to the lake, all giving this area the peaceful feel of nature so close to Toronto.

It greatly disappoints us to learn that all this may be tarnished by the proposed plans to build a gas power plant right in our backyard. Can you please raise this concern at your level to ensure this plant does not get built.

I know that no one wants one of these in their backyard, but it seems very short sighted to build the plant this close to old neighbourhoods that are very scarce, and decrease not only their property values, but the value of everything around the plant.

We appreciate any effort you can make to prevent this from happening.

Thank you

from: Kim and Azzam Salti

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

DRC-2011-3354 (DRC-RJ)

From: Olsheski, Mark (ENERGY)
Sent: August 15, 2011 7:51 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Fw: Greenfield South Power Plant
Importance: High

Re. Request that
Greenfield South Power
Plant be cancelled.
s. GTA New Supply

For response pls. MO

From: Duguid_Brad-MPP-CO <bduguid.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org>
To: Olsheski, Mark (ENERGY)
Sent: Mon Aug 15 19:38:26 2011
Subject: FW: Greenfield South Power Plant

FYI.

*Heather Wray, Manager
Constituency Office for*

*Brad Duguid, MPP
Scarborough Centre
416-615-2183*

From: D Santarossa [mailto:den.santa@sympatico.ca]
Sent: August 15, 2011 11:09 AM
To: Duguid_Brad-MPP-CO
Subject: RE: Greenfield South Power Plant
Importance: High

Dear Hon. Doug Duguid,

RE: Greenfield South Power Plant

As a resident of Mississauga who also grew up in the neighbourhood just a few hundred metres north of the Greenfield South Power Plant site, I, along with many, many residents and business personnel in both Mississauga and Etobicoke, am immensely concerned and intensely opposed to the construction of this power plant on the chosen site! The site for this plant, as you are aware, is located in a densely populated area in extremely close proximity to residential neighbourhoods, condominiums, businesses, schools, Trillium Health Centre, a major shopping mall, Etobicoke Creek, etc. I query: Has the Minister ever physically visited the site? The emissions from this plant will compromise the health and threaten the safety of the citizens and natural environments in Mississauga, Etobicoke, and Toronto!

I have been in contact with the City of Mississauga and was informed that this matter must be addressed and stopped at the provincial level given the OMB's decision in the case of Greenfield South vs. Mississauga which ordered the City to issue a building permit to Greenfield. The Minister of the Environment has stated that his ministry will be reviewing the Greenfield South power proposal

08/16/2011

regarding environmental issues and concerns, yet, construction has begun and continues. As per my telephone conversation with Donna Cansfield, MPP, she indicated that she has submitted a request to stop construction of the power plant during the review process. Could you kindly provide the status of this request? Also, as Resolved at a June Council meeting, the City of Mississauga has requested a Full Environmental Assessment to be conducted on the Greenfield South proposal taking into account the cumulative impacts of all emissions on the Etobicoke Lakeview airshed. Could you also kindly provide the status of this request? At the same meeting, the City of Mississauga also resolved to request that the Minister of Energy conduct a full review to determine the necessity of this power plant in a densely populated area as opposed to the Nanticoke alternative where there is a three kilometre buffer zone. Please also provide the status of this request. Given that the Ontario government cancelled the Oakville power plant project stating that the power capacity from this plant was no longer needed, the question remains: Why is the Greenfield South power plant needed?

It is my understanding that the Greenfield South Power Plant can only be cancelled by the Premier and Cabinet at this point. I trust that the health and welfare of the citizens and the natural environment will indisputably take precedence over lower project costs, and that as our elected government official you, along with the Premier and Cabinet, will cancel the Greenfield South Power Plant without further delay.

Sincerely,

Nadia Santarossa
Mississauga Resident

08/16/2011

Gibbs, Diana (ENERGY)

From: Olsheski, Mark (ENERGY)

Sent: August 22, 2011 1:52 PM

To: Write2us (ENERGY)

Subject: Re: Invitation to Mississauga / Etobicoke Community Rally Against Sherway Power Plant - September 15 - 7pm

⊖FYI c: RJ ME-2011-3433
PC: General invitation to community rally against Mississauga gas plant.
J: GTA new supply

That sounds fine.

From: Write2us (ENERGY)

To: Olsheski, Mark (ENERGY)

Sent: Mon Aug 22 13:51:17 2011

Subject: RE: Invitation to Mississauga / Etobicoke Community Rally Against Sherway Power Plant - September 15 - 7pm

In that case, would you object if we FYI'd it and copied it to ESTDP?

From: Olsheski, Mark (ENERGY)

Sent: August 22, 2011 1:50 PM

To: Write2us (ENERGY)

Subject: Re: Invitation to Mississauga / Etobicoke Community Rally Against Sherway Power Plant - September 15 - 7pm

Can process as an invitation - the Minister will not be attending though.

From: Write2us (ENERGY)

To: Duguid_Brad-MPP; Olsheski, Mark (ENERGY)

Sent: Mon Aug 22 13:46:45 2011

Subject: RE: Invitation to Mississauga / Etobicoke Community Rally Against Sherway Power Plant - September 15 - 7pm

Hi Mark.

Do you want this processed as an invitation (i.e., sent for recommendation)?

Thanks,
Diana

Diana Gibbs
Correspondence Writer/Editor
Ministry of Energy and
Ministry of Infrastructure
880 Bay Street
2nd Floor
Toronto ON M7A 2C1
416-327-2485

From: Duguid_Brad-MPP [mailto:bduguid.mpp@liberal.ola.org]

Sent: August 22, 2011 1:23 PM

08/22/2011

To: Olsheski, Mark; Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: Invitation to Mississauga / Etobicoke Community Rally Against Sherway Power Plant - September 15 - 7pm

?

From: Greg Rohn [mailto:greg@gmdwholesale.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 3:31 PM
To: Duguid_Brad-MPP
Subject: Invitation to Mississauga / Etobicoke Community Rally Against Sherway Power Plant - September 15 - 7pm

August 18, 2011

Mississauga and Etobicoke Residents to Hold Community Rally Against Sherway Power Plant

You are formally invited to attend our meeting on Thursday, September 15 at 7pm. We hope to see you there.

DATE: Thursday, September 15, 2011

LOCATION: Le Treport Convention Centre, 1075 Queensway East (just west of Stanfield Road) in Mississauga

TIME: Program will start at 7:00 p.m. Demonstration outside the Hall from 6:00 p.m.

All local politicians from Mississauga and Etobicoke, the Ministers (Energy, Environment and Health) involved in this project and Premier Dalton McGuinty, are invited to attend. Speakers will include members of CHIP, experts in the field and members of other organizations who have fought similar battles including MIRANET (Mississauga Residents' Associations Network). We hope you will also be able to briefly address the citizens as well. This will be the prime opportunity for concerned residents to get more information and to voice their concerns to those who have the power to stop the construction.

CHIP (Coalition of Homeowners for Intelligent Power) represents over 14,000 homes in East Mississauga and Etobicoke. We have been working for almost 8 years in opposition to the proposed natural gas power plant now under construction by Eastern Power, on Loreland Ave. in Mississauga.

The Sherway power plant is in the wrong location. The Liberal Government recently cancelled another gas plant in Oakville, under heavy pressure from residents. The reasons for the cancellation of the Oakville plant, all hold true in relation to the Sherway plant. It sits adjacent to the Trillium Hospital and Dorothy Ley Hospice, as well as Sherway Gardens, the new condos at Sherway, local schools, businesses and 1000's of residential homes – the closest homes are 100m away! The sensitive Etobicoke Creek watershed and a major rail line are right beside the plant. The plant will also contribute to an already over-taxed air shed which includes other industry, several major highways and is under the airport flight paths. The Lakeview - Etobicoke air shed requires cumulative standards for air emissions – there is no room for a power plant.

For more information please contact **Greg Rohn** – Interim Chair of CHIP @ greg@gmdwholesale.com or by phone 647-388-0562.

Best regards,
Greg Rohn
Interim Chair – CHIP

www.chipcanada.org

www.facebook.com/StopTheSherwayPowerPlant

08/22/2011

Gibbs, Diana (ENERGY)

From: Duguid_Brad-MPP [bduguid.mpp@liberal.ola.org]
Sent: August 22, 2011 1:16 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY); Olsheski, Mark
Subject: FW: Power Plant Mississauga Toronto

From: Elizabeth Anders [mailto:elizanders2003@yahoo.ca]
Sent: Sunday, August 21, 2011 7:23 PM
To: Duguid_Brad-MPP
Subject: Power Plant Mississauga Toronto

Please use your influence to stop this power plant from being set up in our urban area, or anywhere else. I do not need to convince you of the huge health hazards and medical expences involved, or how a plant like this is absolutely contary to the Ontario Liberal Green Energy Initiative. How did it get even initial approval?

Thank you,

Elizabeth Anders
South Etobicoke

Gibbs, Diana (ENERGY)

8- miss. gas plant - Aug

From: andrei_novak@hotmail.com
Sent: August 23, 2011 9:50 AM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Sherway Power Plant

Dear Mr. Duguid,

I am writing to you in regards to the gas-fired power plant in east Mississauga which is being built across from Sherway Gardens mall. As I strongly believe that this is the wrong project in the wrong place, I would like to express my deep concern about the air we breathe, the danger to our parks, the ecosystems surrounding the area, and most of all the future of our children.

In addition, I am appalled by the manner in which the approval process was handled, short-sightedness of the officials and double standards with which the government is treating its citizens. If Oakville location did not get approved, how is Mississauga location more suitable and safer? If anything, this area is even more densely populated than the rejected Oakville power plant area. Oh, wait a minute maybe its because Oakville has a higher density of CEOs and High Net Worth individuals with deep pockets who got organized and hired fancy lawyers to fight the government???

I want you to stop the Sherway plant now!!!

Andrei Novak.

from: Andrei Novak

Gibbs, Diana (ENERGY)

From: Irene Wojcik Gabon [ir37@rogers.com]
Sent: August 25, 2011 12:48 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Cc: Irene Gabon
Subject: Re: Conversion of Nanticoke Generating Station units

Add to file
DEC-2011-3312(2)
Additional incoming

Dear Mr Jenkins,

Your response certainly clarifies some statements made and my queries on the Nanticoke situation and whether or not a conversion to natural gas would be a solution to the energy needed here in the SWGTA. For this time you have spent, I thank you.

Now can you please tell me what is Exhibit "E" ?

Much to learn.

Irene Gabon, Mississauga.

----- Original Message -----

From: Write2us (ENERGY)
To: ir37@rogers.com
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2011 11:37 AM
Subject: Conversion of Nanticoke Generating Station units

Dear Mrs. Gabon:

Thank you for your email requesting information on the subject of conversion of Nanticoke Generating Station units to fire on natural gas.

Councilor Tovey is correct in that Ontario Power Generation is examining the feasibility of converting two units at Nanticoke to fire on natural gas. The possibility of conversion for system reliability purposes was identified in Ontario's Long Term Energy Plan. No decision has been made to proceed with conversion at this time.

Your information is also correct in that conversion of Nanticoke to natural gas has been previously studied and rejected. Electricity system planning is a continuous process and plans change with time as technologies advance and availability and costs of various forms of generation change.

Natural gas is now available at a much lower cost and future availability is projected to be much greater than before, making conversion somewhat more economical than the previous study indicated.

Having said this, conversion is still a relatively expensive option for other than peak generation purposes, and converted coal units are much less efficient and produce higher emissions than the combined cycle generating stations

The Councilor is not correct in asserting that converting the Nanticoke units is a solution for producing power for the South West GTA. If converted, Nanticoke GS would be run as a peaking plant, to stabilize power in its region of the grid, while minimizing the cost and impacts of the generation. In comparison to the combined cycle generating stations located within the SWGTA, Nanticoke would be too costly and have too high an impact on the

08/25/2011

environment to run to the extent needed to provide reliable power to the SWGTA.

I trust you will find this information helpful, and thank you for writing.

Allan Jenkins
Senior Policy Specialist
Energy Markets

08/25/2011

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: purawec4@sympatico.ca
Sent: August 28, 2011 3:20 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Proposed gas-fired power plant across from Sherway Gardens

This power plant is planned for the wrong site -- a residential community right next to it, along with a hospital, nursing home and shopping centre nearby. The health of the residents will be at risk. Please stop this proposed power plant.

Anne

from: Anne Purawec

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: Duguid_Brad-MPP [bduguid.mpp@liberal.ola.org]
Sent: August 29, 2011 3:08 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Invitation to Mississauga / Etobicoke Community Rally Against Sherway Power Plant - September 15 - 7pm

Omit.

Elizabeth Skubik
Office Manager & Scheduler
Office of the Hon. Brad Duguid
Minister of Energy
tel: 416-327-0356
fax: 416-314-3186

From: Write2us (ENERGY) [mailto:Write2us@ontario.ca]
Sent: Monday, August 22, 2011 1:47 PM
To: Duguid_Brad-MPP; Olsheski, Mark
Subject: RE: Invitation to Mississauga / Etobicoke Community Rally Against Sherway Power Plant - September 15 - 7pm

Hi Mark.

Do you want this processed as an invitation (i.e., sent for recommendation)?

Thanks,
Diana

Diana Gibbs
Correspondence Writer/Editor
Ministry of Energy and
Ministry of Infrastructure
880 Bay Street
2nd Floor
Toronto ON M7A 2C1
416-327-2485

From: Duguid_Brad-MPP [mailto:bduguid.mpp@liberal.ola.org]
Sent: August 22, 2011 1:23 PM
To: Olsheski, Mark; Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: Invitation to Mississauga / Etobicoke Community Rally Against Sherway Power Plant - September 15 - 7pm

?

From: Greg Rohn [mailto:greg@gmdwholesale.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 18, 2011 3:31 PM
To: Duguid_Brad-MPP
Subject: Invitation to Mississauga / Etobicoke Community Rally Against Sherway Power Plant - September 15 - 7pm

August 18, 2011

08/29/2011

Mississauga and Etobicoke Residents to Hold Community Rally Against Sherway Power Plant

You are formally invited to attend our meeting on Thursday, September 15 at 7pm. We hope to see you there.

DATE: Thursday, September 15, 2011

LOCATION: Le Treport Convention Centre, 1075 Queensway East (just west of Stanfield Road) in Mississauga

TIME: Program will start at **7:00 p.m.** Demonstration outside the Hall from **6:00 p.m.**

All local politicians from Mississauga and Etobicoke, the Ministers (Energy, Environment and Health) involved in this project and Premier Dalton McGuinty, are invited to attend. Speakers will include members of CHIP, experts in the field and members of other organizations who have fought similar battles including MIRANET (Mississauga Residents' Associations Network). We hope you will also be able to briefly address the citizens as well. This will be the prime opportunity for concerned residents to get more information and to voice their concerns to those who have the power to stop the construction.

CHIP (Coalition of Homeowners for Intelligent Power) represents over 14,000 homes in East Mississauga and Etobicoke. We have been working for almost 8 years in opposition to the proposed natural gas power plant now under construction by Eastern Power, on Loreland Ave. in Mississauga.

The Sherway power plant is in the wrong location. The Liberal Government recently cancelled another gas plant in Oakville, under heavy pressure from residents. The reasons for the cancellation of the Oakville plant, all hold true in relation to the Sherway plant. It sits adjacent to the Trillium Hospital and Dorothy Ley Hospice, as well as Sherway Gardens, the new condos at Sherway, local schools, businesses and 1000's of residential homes – the closest homes are 100m away! The sensitive Etobicoke Creek watershed and a major rail line are right beside the plant. The plant will also contribute to an already over-taxed air shed which includes other industry, several major highways and is under the airport flight paths. The Lakeview - Etobicoke air shed requires cumulative standards for air emissions – there is no room for a power plant.

For more information please contact **Greg Rohn** – Interim Chair of CHIP @ greg@gmdwholesale.com or by phone 647-388-0562.

Best regards,
Greg Rohn
Interim Chair – CHIP

www.chipcanada.org

www.facebook.com/StopTheSherwayPowerPlant

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: jon.ottewell@gmail.com
Sent: August 31, 2011 7:45 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Proposed Gas-fired Power Plant

Dear Brad Duguid,

I'd like to take this opportunity to discuss your position on the proposed location of the gas-fired power plant across from Sherway Gardens in South Etobicoke. Although the plant's proposed location is just within the border of Mississauga, the emissions from this plant will fall directly on the neighboring residential community of Etobicoke.

With the proposed site within such close proximity to so many young families, including so many vulnerable citizens at the nearby nursing home and Queensway Hospital, this site choice seems particularly ill-conceived for the choice of a gas / oil fired power plant and completely at odds with the Ontario Government's commitment to green energy.

In my opinion, stopping this environmental atrocity is the most pressing issue facing your Ministry. I hope you will take the necessary steps to ensure that the current generation and future generations will be able to live and breathe in the vibrant community we call home.

Sincerely,
Jonathan Ottewell
from: Jonathan Ottewell

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

Sent: September 1, 2011 10:08 AM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: [Possible SPAM]:Loreland Ave. Power plant

It appears that you're building a Power plant in the middle of Etobicoke/Mississauga residential areas. Not a good thing whot? Power plant should be built near Lakeview coal generating plant, since power lines are there already and other infrastucture, once coal fired plant is shot down, what do you think?

Thank you

Branko Mikan
3225 Gatliff Ave.
Mississauga, Ont
L4X 2L3
from: Branko Mikan

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: rjsteele51@hotmail.com
Sent: September 12, 2011 8:58 AM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Stop the Queensway Power Plant

Stop the Queensway Power Plant. This should never have been approved with homes so close to the approved site. I have two young children and am very concerned about air quality if this plant becomes operational. Do the right thing and consistent with the decision in Oakville

from: Richard Steele

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: monteiromedia@rogers.com
Sent: September 14, 2011 11:04 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: STOP THE SHERWAY POWER PLANT!

STOP THE SHERWAY POWER PLANT!

I refuse to accept this. It is unsafe to my health and to my senior parents. How dare you let this be built next to homes, condos, schools, a hospiatl, a hospice, a major rail line, the Etobicok Creek.

This is simply the wrong location.

What are you doing to stop this?

I want to hear from you!

You are accountable!
from: Lori

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: dnaford@rogers.com
Sent: September 15, 2011 11:35 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Greenfield South power plant

Dear Sir

I just attended a rally to appose the Greenfield South power plant which has started construction in Mississauga.

We hear many speakers take the floor. This is a bad move by the Government to put a power plant in a residential area. Laurel Broten, MPP of Etobicoke South did speak at the meeting and she said that she had made a request that your department do an environmental assessment on the site. Common sense should tell you that the site is a bad location for a power plant. Save tax payers money and stop this plant

from: Andrew Ford

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: quyen.do@gmail.com
Sent: September 16, 2011 10:52 AM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Stop The Sherway Power Plant

Dear Minister,

This Gas Fired Power Plant would further pollute the air in an environment with an already over-stressed air quality in Toronto/Mississauga. The proposed site is environmentally unsound as this site would cause, among other pollutants, carcinogens to fall on:

- Trillium Hospital, Dorothy Ley Hospice
- The Sherway Gardens Shopping Mall
- Condos at One Sherway, Summerville Pines Seniors Housing
- Thousands of homes and parks in Eastern Mississauga and the whole city of Toronto
- The Ecologically Sensitive Etobicoke Creek Valley

Opponents include Toronto's Medical Officer of Health, The Coalition of Homeowners for Intelligent Power, the City of Mississauga, and many more residents.

We are calling for an immediate stop to the construction of this power plant at this location! Please act now.

Thanks for serving Ontario.

Yours truly,

Quyen Do and family
from: Quyen Do

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: Duguid_Brad-MPP-CO [bduguid.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org]
Sent: September 16, 2011 12:17 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: FW: "No" to the Sherway Power Plant
Importance: High

Monica Annand, Constituency Assistant
 for Brad Duguid MPP
 Scarborough Centre
 1450 Midland Ave Suite 204
 416-615-2183

From: Simon Chan [mailto:simon.chan@paradigmquest.com]
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2011 12:17 PM
To: Duguid_Brad-MPP-CO; write2us@energy.gov.on.ca; Wilkinson_John-MPP-CO; j.wilkinson@ontario.ca; McGuinty_Dalton-MPP-CO; Cansfield_Donna-MPP-CO; Cansfield_Donna-MPP-CO; Broten_Laurel-MPP-CO
Subject: "No" to the Sherway Power Plant
Importance: High

Hi,

As a long time South Etobicoke resident, I'm very concerned about the future impact of the Sherway/Mississauga Power Plant on the environment, economy, and health of those in the surrounding area. Many notable doctors have voiced their concerns for the poor air quality in the already taxed air shed within this area of the Greater Toronto Area. The future health of our elderly and the next generation of kids with respiratory problems will continue to increase and the cost to our healthcare system will also continue to grow as a result.

The stacks from the plant will blow directly downwind into the One Sherway condominiums, which means hundreds of residents will no longer be able to use their balcony's due to the poorer air quality. The impact on the four towers, totaling over 1000 homes and a few thousand residents will be astronomical both financially and health-wise. The future of Sherway Gardens mall, the farmer's market, and many small businesses in the gentrifying Queensway Avenue could see a significant downturn in business if customers prefer to stay away from the more polluted areas around the power plant. Any expansion by Sherway Gardens to include outdoor patio/seating would also be scuttled if this plant continues to proceed. As you can see the negative economic impact on the area will suffer as result, not to even mention the decrease of property values in most of South Etobicoke which also means that residents will eventually cutback on their consumption as well.

We should all understand that since the permit was issued in 2005, the area has gone through tremendous change in the last 5 years to grow into a more urban and dense area than before. There is a sensitive Etobicoke creek, major railway lines, a large mall, four condo towers, schools, and residential houses within a kilometer of this power plant. Not only is there Trillium hospital directly across the street but also a Hopsice has been put in place as well for already weakened loved ones going through their final stages of life. If last night's rally was any indication, many residents are very frustrated and angry with the lack of response from our elected officials. This plant is simply in the wrong location and needs to be stopped as soon as possible.

09/16/2011

Thanks,

Simon Chan | Finance | Paradigm Quest Inc.

📍 390 Bay Street | Suite 500 | Toronto, ON | M5H 2Y2

☎ 416-366-8606 Ext. 2307 | Fax 416-366-8607

✉ simon.chan@paradigmquest.com

..... Inspiring change

This email and all attachments transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the addressee and may contain legally privileged and confidential information. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or other use of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message and please delete it from your computer.

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: rainstorm077@hotmail.com
Sent: September 16, 2011 9:35 AM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: STOP THE SHERWAY POWER PLANT!

<http://www.mississauga.com/news/article/1083349--power-plant-fight-wages-on>
from: Lori

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: pappot1@gmail.com
Sent: September 18, 2011 9:20 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Sherway Power Plant hazard

Please STOP the Sherway Power plant. We are a young family in this community and the idea of having a power plant emitting pollution and making our airshed toxic is frightening. We need to ensure that we do all we can to create a future for our kids that still has hope. This power plant is simply being built in the wrong location, what kind of world do we live in when we now have to build power plants 100 meters from the closest home? We want to create green communities and this power plant cannot be part of that plan. We have been telling everyone we know to step up and take a stand on this issue and they are. We will not stop spreading the news that the power plant is in the wrong place and we will stand strong to ensure that this plant is stopped.

PLEASE STOP THE SHERWAY POWER PLANT- NOTHING ELSE MATTERS TO US NOW- THIS IS A KEY ISSUE IN THIS ELECTION- MAKE YOUR CONSTITUENTS HAPPY AND STOP THE POWER PLANT
from: Fatima De Sousa

Pitkeathly, Doreen (ENERGY)

From: fatima.formariz@ymail.com
Sent: September 20, 2011 2:52 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Sherway Power Plant

As a Markland Wood resident in Central Etobicoke, I am extremely concerned with the continued construction of the Sherway Power Plant and the lack of attention given to addressing concerns around the issues that have been continuously expressed by the community. I am looking towards those who continue to "represent" these communities to make a strong commitment to solve this matter. It is not too late to reverse this short-sighted decision to allow Eastern to build a gas powered plant in an area that already has one of the poorest air quality in the GTA.

Isn't it time that our elected officials look beyond the usual three year plan and implement a course of action that will put us on the road to developing viable alternate sources of energy that do not risk human health and the health of our planet. My vote will not be going to the candidate who echos the latest flavour of the month cause but one with substance, a track record for putting in practice what they say and one who is looking towards the future not just the immediated electoral term.

I beleive there are many Canadians who think as I do. Many Canadian who are fed up with the subterfuges of our elected officials who speak in eight second sound bites and say nothing of substance. Don't tell me what you think I want to hear, and then assure me that you are listening to the people. Credit me with greater intelligence please!

My candidate will have the strength to lead by their convictions, have the vision to create and envision a better community, have the empathy to understand the human condition that we share and the courage to protect what needs to be protected.

I'm still looking.....

from: Fatima Formariz

Renwick, Meredith (ENERGY)

From: dsnm2@rogers.com
Sent: September 20, 2011 10:02 PM
To: Write2us (ENERGY)
Subject: Stop the Sherway Gas Fired Power Plant

FYI ⊖
PC - citizen opposed
to Mississauga
gas power plant
S - GTA New Supply

I am writing to you today to express my strong support to stop the proposed Sherway Gas Fired Power Plant. I believe this is the wrong project in the wrong place. This location is far too close to residential homes, hospitals, nursing homes. etc. I believe this plant is hazardous to my family's health and a substantial risk to the already compromised sensitive air shed of the area.

Needless to say a full environmental assessment will prove that the decision to move forward with this power plant in this location would be a grave decision.

The Liberal party can not count on my support in the upcoming election without confirmation that this project will be cancelled. Our Conservative candidate has confirmed that, if elected, she would cancel the project. As your leader has already said "there is never a wrong time to make the right decision" I want to know when the right decision will be made.

from: Sandy Schroder